

ZF

Lawrence C Paulson and others

October 1, 2005

Contents

1	Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory	11
1.1	Substitution	17
1.2	Bounded universal quantifier	17
1.3	Bounded existential quantifier	17
1.4	Rules for subsets	18
1.5	Rules for equality	19
1.6	Rules for Replace – the derived form of replacement	19
1.7	Rules for RepFun	20
1.8	Rules for Collect – forming a subset by separation	20
1.9	Rules for Unions	21
1.10	Rules for Unions of families	21
1.11	Rules for the empty set	21
1.12	Rules for Inter	22
1.13	Rules for Intersections of families	22
1.14	Rules for Powersets	23
1.15	Cantor’s Theorem: There is no surjection from a set to its powerset.	23
2	Unordered Pairs	23
2.1	Unordered Pairs: constant <i>Upair</i>	23
2.2	Rules for Binary Union, Defined via <i>Upair</i>	24
2.3	Rules for Binary Intersection, Defined via <i>Upair</i>	24
2.4	Rules for Set Difference, Defined via <i>Upair</i>	24
2.5	Rules for <i>cons</i>	25
2.6	Singletons	25
2.7	Descriptions	26
2.8	Conditional Terms: <i>if-then-else</i>	26
2.9	Consequences of Foundation	27
2.10	Rules for Successor	28
2.11	Miniscoping of the Bounded Universal Quantifier	29
2.12	Miniscoping of the Bounded Existential Quantifier	29

2.13	Miniscoping of the Replacement Operator	30
2.14	Miniscoping of Unions	30
2.15	Miniscoping of Intersections	31
2.16	Other simprules	32
3	Ordered Pairs	32
3.1	Sigma: Disjoint Union of a Family of Sets	33
3.2	Projections <i>fst</i> and <i>snd</i>	34
3.3	The Eliminator, <i>split</i>	34
3.4	A version of <i>split</i> for Formulae: Result Type <i>o</i>	35
4	Basic Equalities and Inclusions	35
4.1	Bounded Quantifiers	35
4.2	Converse of a Relation	36
4.3	Finite Set Constructions Using <i>cons</i>	36
4.4	Binary Intersection	38
4.5	Binary Union	39
4.6	Set Difference	40
4.7	Big Union and Intersection	42
4.8	Unions and Intersections of Families	43
4.9	Image of a Set under a Function or Relation	50
4.10	Inverse Image of a Set under a Function or Relation	51
4.11	Powerset Operator	52
4.12	RepFun	53
4.13	Collect	53
5	Least and Greatest Fixed Points; the Knaster-Tarski Theorem	54
5.1	Monotone Operators	55
5.2	Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using <i>lfp</i>	55
5.3	General Induction Rule for Least Fixedpoints	56
5.4	Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using <i>gfp</i>	57
5.5	Coinduction Rules for Greatest Fixed Points	58
6	Booleans in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory	58
6.1	Laws About 'not'	61
6.2	Laws About 'and'	61
6.3	Laws About 'or'	61
7	Disjoint Sums	62
7.1	Rules for the <i>Part</i> Primitive	62
7.2	Rules for Disjoint Sums	63
7.3	The Eliminator: <i>case</i>	64
7.4	More Rules for <i>Part</i> (<i>A</i> , <i>h</i>)	65

8	Functions, Function Spaces, Lambda-Abstraction	66
8.1	The Pi Operator: Dependent Function Space	66
8.2	Function Application	67
8.3	Lambda Abstraction	68
8.4	Extensionality	69
8.5	Images of Functions	70
8.6	Properties of $restrict(f, A)$	71
8.7	Unions of Functions	72
8.8	Domain and Range of a Function or Relation	72
8.9	Extensions of Functions	73
8.10	Function Updates	73
8.11	Monotonicity Theorems	74
8.11.1	Replacement in its Various Forms	74
8.11.2	Standard Products, Sums and Function Spaces	75
8.11.3	Converse, Domain, Range, Field	75
8.11.4	Images	75
9	Quine-Inspired Ordered Pairs and Disjoint Sums	76
9.1	Quine ordered pairing	77
9.1.1	QSigma: Disjoint union of a family of sets Generalizes Cartesian product	77
9.1.2	Projections: $qfst$, $qsnd$	78
9.1.3	Eliminator: $qsplit$	78
9.1.4	$qsplit$ for predicates: result type o	79
9.1.5	$qconverse$	79
9.2	The Quine-inspired notion of disjoint sum	80
9.2.1	Eliminator – $qcase$	81
9.2.2	Monotonicity	82
10	Inductive and Coinductive Definitions	82
11	Injections, Surjections, Bijections, Composition	82
11.1	Surjections	83
11.2	Injections	83
11.3	Bijections	84
11.4	Identity Function	84
11.5	Converse of a Function	85
11.6	Converses of Injections, Surjections, Bijections	86
11.7	Composition of Two Relations	86
11.8	Domain and Range – see Suppes, Section 3.1	86
11.9	Other Results	87
11.10	Composition Preserves Functions, Injections, and Surjections	87
11.11	Dual Properties of inj and $surj$	88
11.11.1	Inverses of Composition	88

11.11.2	Proving that a Function is a Bijection	89
11.11.3	Unions of Functions	89
11.11.4	Restrictions as Surjections and Bijections	89
11.11.5	Lemmas for Ramsey's Theorem	90
12	Relations: Their General Properties and Transitive Closure	90
12.1	General properties of relations	91
12.1.1	irreflexivity	91
12.1.2	symmetry	91
12.1.3	antisymmetry	91
12.1.4	transitivity	91
12.2	Transitive closure of a relation	92
13	Well-Founded Recursion	96
13.1	Well-Founded Relations	96
13.1.1	Equivalences between <i>wf</i> and <i>wf-on</i>	96
13.1.2	Introduction Rules for <i>wf-on</i>	97
13.1.3	Well-founded Induction	97
13.2	Basic Properties of Well-Founded Relations	98
13.3	The Predicate <i>is-recfun</i>	99
13.4	Recursion: Main Existence Lemma	99
13.5	Unfolding <i>wftrec</i> (<i>r</i> , <i>a</i> , <i>H</i>)	100
13.5.1	Removal of the Premise <i>trans</i> (<i>r</i>)	100
14	Transitive Sets and Ordinals	101
14.1	Rules for Transset	101
14.1.1	Three Neat Characterisations of Transset	101
14.1.2	Consequences of Downwards Closure	101
14.1.3	Closure Properties	102
14.2	Lemmas for Ordinals	103
14.3	The Construction of Ordinals: 0, succ, Union	103
14.4	<i>j</i> is 'less Than' for Ordinals	104
14.5	Natural Deduction Rules for Memrel	105
14.6	Transfinite Induction	106
14.6.1	Proving That <i>j</i> is a Linear Ordering on the Ordinals	107
14.6.2	Some Rewrite Rules for <i>j</i> , <i>le</i>	107
14.7	Results about Less-Than or Equals	108
14.7.1	Transitivity Laws	108
14.7.2	Union and Intersection	109
14.8	Results about Limits	110
14.9	Limit Ordinals – General Properties	111
14.9.1	Traditional 3-Way Case Analysis on Ordinals	112

15 Special quantifiers	113
15.1 Quantifiers and union operator for ordinals	113
15.1.1 simplification of the new quantifiers	113
15.1.2 Union over ordinals	114
15.1.3 universal quantifier for ordinals	115
15.1.4 existential quantifier for ordinals	115
15.1.5 Rules for Ordinal-Indexed Unions	116
15.2 Quantification over a class	116
15.2.1 Relativized universal quantifier	116
15.2.2 Relativized existential quantifier	117
15.2.3 One-point rule for bounded quantifiers	119
15.2.4 Sets as Classes	119
16 The Natural numbers As a Least Fixed Point	119
16.1 Injectivity Properties and Induction	121
16.2 Variations on Mathematical Induction	122
16.3 quasinat: to allow a case-split rule for <i>nat-case</i>	123
16.4 Recursion on the Natural Numbers	124
17 Epsilon Induction and Recursion	124
17.1 Basic Closure Properties	125
17.2 Leastness of <i>eclose</i>	125
17.3 Epsilon Recursion	126
17.4 Rank	127
17.5 Corollaries of Leastness	128
18 Partial and Total Orderings: Basic Definitions and Properties	130
18.1 Immediate Consequences of the Definitions	130
18.2 Restricting an Ordering's Domain	132
18.3 Empty and Unit Domains	132
18.3.1 Relations over the Empty Set	132
18.3.2 The Empty Relation Well-Orders the Unit Set	133
18.4 Order-Isomorphisms	133
18.5 Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6	135
18.6 Towards Kunen's Theorem 6.3: Linearity of the Similarity Relation	136
18.7 Miscellaneous Results by Krzysztof Grabczewski	137
19 Combining Orderings: Foundations of Ordinal Arithmetic	138
19.1 Addition of Relations – Disjoint Sum	139
19.1.1 Rewrite rules. Can be used to obtain introduction rules	139
19.1.2 Elimination Rule	139
19.1.3 Type checking	139

19.1.4	Linearity	140
19.1.5	Well-foundedness	140
19.1.6	An <i>ord-iso</i> congruence law	140
19.1.7	Associativity	140
19.2	Multiplication of Relations – Lexicographic Product	141
19.2.1	Rewrite rule. Can be used to obtain introduction rules	141
19.2.2	Type checking	141
19.2.3	Linearity	141
19.2.4	Well-foundedness	141
19.2.5	An <i>ord-iso</i> congruence law	141
19.2.6	Distributive law	142
19.2.7	Associativity	142
19.3	Inverse Image of a Relation	143
19.3.1	Rewrite rule	143
19.3.2	Type checking	143
19.3.3	Partial Ordering Properties	143
19.3.4	Linearity	143
19.3.5	Well-foundedness	143
19.4	Every well-founded relation is a subset of some inverse image of an ordinal	144
19.5	Other Results	145
19.5.1	The Empty Relation	145
19.5.2	The "measure" relation is useful with wfrec	145
19.5.3	Well-foundedness of Unions	146
19.5.4	Bijections involving Powersets	146
20	Order Types and Ordinal Arithmetic	146
20.1	Proofs needing the combination of Ordinal.thy and Order.thy	147
20.2	Ordermap and ordertype	148
20.2.1	Unfolding of ordermap	148
20.2.2	Showing that ordermap, ordertype yield ordinals	148
20.2.3	ordermap preserves the orderings in both directions	148
20.2.4	Isomorphisms involving ordertype	149
20.2.5	Basic equalities for ordertype	149
20.2.6	A fundamental unfolding law for ordertype.	150
20.3	Alternative definition of ordinal	150
20.4	Ordinal Addition	150
20.4.1	Order Type calculations for radd	150
20.4.2	ordify: trivial coercion to an ordinal	151
20.4.3	Basic laws for ordinal addition	151
20.4.4	Ordinal addition with successor – via associativity!	153
20.5	Ordinal Subtraction	154
20.6	Ordinal Multiplication	155
20.6.1	A useful unfolding law	155

20.6.2	Basic laws for ordinal multiplication	156
20.6.3	Ordering/monotonicity properties of ordinal multiplication	157
20.7	The Relation Lt	157
21	Finite Powerset Operator and Finite Function Space	158
21.1	Finite Powerset Operator	158
21.2	Finite Function Space	160
21.3	The Contents of a Singleton Set	161
22	Cardinal Numbers Without the Axiom of Choice	161
22.1	The Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem	162
22.2	lesspoll: contributions by Krzysztof Grabczewski	163
22.3	The finite cardinals	167
22.4	The first infinite cardinal: Omega, or nat	168
22.5	Towards Cardinal Arithmetic	168
22.6	Lemmas by Krzysztof Grabczewski	169
22.7	Finite and infinite sets	170
23	The Cumulative Hierarchy and a Small Universe for Recursive Types	173
23.1	Immediate Consequences of the Definition of $Vfrom(A, i)$. .	174
23.1.1	Monotonicity	174
23.1.2	A fundamental equality: $Vfrom$ does not require ordinals!	174
23.2	Basic Closure Properties	174
23.2.1	Finite sets and ordered pairs	174
23.3	0, Successor and Limit Equations for $Vfrom$	175
23.4	$Vfrom$ applied to Limit Ordinals	175
23.4.1	Closure under Disjoint Union	176
23.5	Properties assuming $Transset(A)$	176
23.5.1	Products	177
23.5.2	Disjoint Sums, or Quine Ordered Pairs	177
23.5.3	Function Space!	177
23.6	The Set $Vset(i)$	178
23.6.1	Characterisation of the elements of $Vset(i)$	178
23.6.2	Reasoning about Sets in Terms of Their Elements' Ranks	179
23.6.3	Set Up an Environment for Simplification	179
23.6.4	Recursion over $Vset$ Levels!	179
23.7	The Datatype Universe: $univ(A)$	179
23.7.1	The Set $univ(A)$ as a Limit	180
23.8	Closure Properties for $univ(A)$	180
23.8.1	Closure under Unordered and Ordered Pairs	180
23.8.2	The Natural Numbers	181

23.8.3	Instances for 1 and 2	181
23.8.4	Closure under Disjoint Union	181
23.9	Finite Branching Closure Properties	182
23.9.1	Closure under Finite Powerset	182
23.9.2	Closure under Finite Powers: Functions from a Natu- ral Number	182
23.9.3	Closure under Finite Function Space	182
23.10*	For QUniv. Properties of Vfrom analogous to the "take- lemma" *	183
24	A Small Universe for Lazy Recursive Types	183
24.1	Properties involving Transset and Sum	184
24.2	Introduction and Elimination Rules	184
24.3	Closure Properties	184
24.4	Quine Disjoint Sum	185
24.5	Closure for Quine-Inspired Products and Sums	185
24.6	Quine Disjoint Sum	186
24.7	The Natural Numbers	186
24.8	"Take-Lemma" Rules	186
25	Datatype and CoDatatype Definitions	187
26	Arithmetic Operators and Their Definitions	187
26.1	<i>natify</i> , the Coercion to <i>nat</i>	188
26.2	Typing rules	190
26.3	Addition	191
26.4	Monotonicity of Addition	192
26.5	Multiplication	194
27	Arithmetic with simplification	195
27.1	Difference	196
27.2	Remainder	196
27.3	Division	197
27.4	Further Facts about Remainder	198
27.5	Additional theorems about \leq	198
27.6	Cancellation Laws for Common Factors in Comparisons . . .	199
27.7	More Lemmas about Remainder	200
27.7.1	More Lemmas About Difference	201
28	Lists in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory	202
28.1	The function zip	215

29	Equivalence Relations	221
29.1	Suppes, Theorem 70: r is an equiv relation iff $\text{converse}(r) \circ r = r$	222
29.2	Defining Unary Operations upon Equivalence Classes	223
29.3	Defining Binary Operations upon Equivalence Classes	224
30	The Integers as Equivalence Classes Over Pairs of Natural Numbers	225
30.1	Proving that <i>intrel</i> is an equivalence relation	226
30.2	Collapsing rules: to remove <i>intify</i> from arithmetic expressions	227
30.3	<i>zminus</i> : unary negation on <i>int</i>	228
30.4	<i>znegative</i> : the test for negative integers	229
30.5	<i>nat-of</i> : Coercion of an Integer to a Natural Number	230
30.6	<i>zmagnitude</i> : magnitude of an integer, as a natural number	230
30.7	<i>op \$+</i> : addition on <i>int</i>	231
30.8	<i>op \$×</i> : Integer Multiplication	233
30.9	The "Less Than" Relation	235
30.10	Less Than or Equals	236
30.11	More subtraction laws (for <i>zcompare-rls</i>)	237
30.12	Monotonicity and Cancellation Results for Instantiation of the CancelNumerals Simprocs	238
30.13	Comparison laws	239
30.13.1	More inequality lemmas	239
30.13.2	The next several equations are permutative: watch out!	239
31	Arithmetic on Binary Integers	240
31.0.3	The Carry and Borrow Functions, <i>bin-succ</i> and <i>bin-pred</i>	243
31.0.4	<i>bin-minus</i> : Unary Negation of Binary Integers	243
31.0.5	<i>bin-add</i> : Binary Addition	243
31.0.6	<i>bin-mult</i> : Binary Multiplication	244
31.1	Computations	244
31.2	Simplification Rules for Comparison of Binary Numbers	245
32	The Division Operators Div and Mod	250
32.1	Uniqueness and monotonicity of quotients and remainders	254
32.2	Correctness of posDivAlg, the Division Algorithm for $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$	255
32.3	Some convenient biconditionals for products of signs	255
32.4	Correctness of negDivAlg, the division algorithm for $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$	257
32.5	Existence shown by proving the division algorithm to be correct	258
32.6	division of a number by itself	261
32.7	Computation of division and remainder	262
32.8	Monotonicity in the first argument (divisor)	264

32.9	Monotonicity in the second argument (dividend)	264
32.10	More algebraic laws for zdiv and zmod	265
32.11	proving $a \text{ zdiv } (b * c) = (a \text{ zdiv } b) \text{ zdiv } c$	267
32.12	Cancellation of common factors in "zdiv"	268
32.13	Distribution of factors over "zmod"	268
33	Cardinal Arithmetic Without the Axiom of Choice	269
33.1	Cardinal addition	270
33.1.1	Cardinal addition is commutative	271
33.1.2	Cardinal addition is associative	271
33.1.3	0 is the identity for addition	271
33.1.4	Addition by another cardinal	271
33.1.5	Monotonicity of addition	271
33.1.6	Addition of finite cardinals is "ordinary" addition	271
33.2	Cardinal multiplication	272
33.2.1	Cardinal multiplication is commutative	272
33.2.2	Cardinal multiplication is associative	272
33.2.3	Cardinal multiplication distributes over addition	272
33.2.4	Multiplication by 0 yields 0	272
33.2.5	1 is the identity for multiplication	273
33.3	Some inequalities for multiplication	273
33.3.1	Multiplication by a non-zero cardinal	273
33.3.2	Monotonicity of multiplication	273
33.4	Multiplication of finite cardinals is "ordinary" multiplication	273
33.5	Infinite Cardinals are Limit Ordinals	274
33.5.1	Establishing the well-ordering	274
33.5.2	Characterising initial segments of the well-ordering	275
33.5.3	The cardinality of initial segments	275
33.5.4	Toward's Kunen's Corollary 10.13 (1)	276
33.6	For Every Cardinal Number There Exists A Greater One	276
33.7	Basic Properties of Successor Cardinals	277
33.7.1	Removing elements from a finite set decreases its cardinality	277
33.7.2	Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski, proofs by lcp	278
34	Theory Main: Everything Except AC	278
34.1	Iteration of the function F	279
34.2	Transfinite Recursion	279
34.3	Remaining Declarations	280
35	The Axiom of Choice	280

36 Zorn's Lemma	281
36.1 Mathematical Preamble	282
36.2 The Transfinite Construction	282
36.3 Some Properties of the Transfinite Construction	282
36.4 Hausdorff's Theorem: Every Set Contains a Maximal Chain .	283
36.5 Zorn's Lemma: If All Chains in S Have Upper Bounds In S, then S contains a Maximal Element	284
36.6 Zermelo's Theorem: Every Set can be Well-Ordered	284
37 Cardinal Arithmetic Using AC	285
37.1 Strengthened Forms of Existing Theorems on Cardinals . . .	286
37.2 The relationship between cardinality and le-pollence	286
37.3 Other Applications of AC	287
38 Infinite-Branching Datatype Definitions	288

1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory

theory *ZF* **imports** *FOL* **begin**

global

typedecl *i*
arities *i* :: *term*

consts

<i>0</i>	:: <i>i</i>	(<i>0</i>)	— the empty set
<i>Pow</i>	:: <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>		— power sets
<i>Inf</i>	:: <i>i</i>		— infinite set

Bounded Quantifiers

consts

<i>Ball</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i> => <i>o</i>] => <i>o</i>
<i>Bex</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i> => <i>o</i>] => <i>o</i>

General Union and Intersection

consts

<i>Union</i>	:: <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>
<i>Inter</i>	:: <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>

Variations on Replacement

consts

<i>PrimReplace</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i>] => <i>o</i>] => <i>i</i>
<i>Replace</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i>] => <i>o</i>] => <i>i</i>
<i>RepFun</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>] => <i>i</i>
<i>Collect</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i> => <i>o</i>] => <i>i</i>

Definite descriptions – via Replace over the set "1"

consts

The :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i$ (**binder** *THE* 10)
If :: $[o, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ ((*if* (-)/ *then* (-)/ *else* (-)) [10] 10)

syntax

old-if :: $[o, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (*if* '(-,-,-)')

translations

$if(P, a, b) \Rightarrow If(P, a, b)$

Finite Sets

consts

Upair :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$
cons :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$
succ :: $i \Rightarrow i$

Ordered Pairing

consts

Pair :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$
fst :: $i \Rightarrow i$
snd :: $i \Rightarrow i$
split :: $[[i, i] \Rightarrow 'a, i] \Rightarrow 'a::\{\}$ — for pattern-matching

Sigma and Pi Operators

consts

Sigma :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$
Pi :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$

Relations and Functions

consts

domain :: $i \Rightarrow i$
range :: $i \Rightarrow i$
field :: $i \Rightarrow i$
converse :: $i \Rightarrow i$
relation :: $i \Rightarrow o$ — recognizes sets of pairs
function :: $i \Rightarrow o$ — recognizes functions; can have non-pairs
Lambda :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$
restrict :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$

Infixes in order of decreasing precedence

consts

“ :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** 90) — image
— “ :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** 90) — inverse image
‘ :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** 90) — function application

Int :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** 70) — binary intersection

$Un \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } 65) \text{ --- binary union}$
 $- \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } 65) \text{ --- set difference}$

 $<= \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } 50) \text{ --- subset relation}$
 $: \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } 50) \text{ --- membership relation}$

nonterminals *is patterns*

syntax

$:: i => is \quad (-)$
 $@Enum \quad :: [i, is] => is \quad (-, / -)$
 $\sim: \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } 50)$
 $@Finset \quad :: is => i \quad (\{(-)\})$
 $@Tuple \quad :: [i, is] => i \quad (<(-, / -)>)$
 $@Collect \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{-: - ./ -\}))$
 $@Replace \quad :: [pttrn, pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{- ./ -: -, -\}))$
 $@RepFun \quad :: [i, pttrn, i] => i \quad ((1\{- ./ -: -\}) [51, 0, 51])$
 $@INTER \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3INT -: - ./ -) 10)$
 $@UNION \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3UN -: - ./ -) 10)$
 $@PROD \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3PROD -: - ./ -) 10)$
 $@SUM \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3SUM -: - ./ -) 10)$
 $-> \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixr } 60)$
 $* \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixr } 80)$
 $@lam \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3lam -: - ./ -) 10)$
 $@Ball \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => o \quad ((3ALL -: - ./ -) 10)$
 $@Bex \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => o \quad ((3EX -: - ./ -) 10)$

$@pattern \quad :: patterns => pttrn \quad (<->)$
 $\quad :: pttrn => patterns \quad (-)$
 $@patterns \quad :: [pttrn, patterns] => patterns \quad (-, / -)$

translations

$x \sim: y \quad == \sim (x : y)$
 $\{x, xs\} \quad == cons(x, \{xs\})$
 $\{x\} \quad == cons(x, 0)$
 $\{x:A. P\} \quad == Collect(A, \%x. P)$
 $\{y. x:A. Q\} \quad == Replace(A, \%x y. Q)$
 $\{b. x:A\} \quad == RepFun(A, \%x. b)$
 $INT x:A. B \quad == Inter(\{B. x:A\})$
 $UN x:A. B \quad == Union(\{B. x:A\})$
 $PROD x:A. B \quad == Pi(A, \%x. B)$
 $SUM x:A. B \quad == Sigma(A, \%x. B)$
 $A -> B \quad == Pi(A, -K(B))$
 $A * B \quad == Sigma(A, -K(B))$
 $lam x:A. f \quad == Lambda(A, \%x. f)$

$ALL\ x:A. P == Ball(A, \%x. P)$
 $EX\ x:A. P == Bex(A, \%x. P)$

$\langle x, y, z \rangle == \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle$
 $\langle x, y \rangle == Pair(x, y)$
 $\% \langle x, y, zs \rangle . b == split(\%x \langle y, zs \rangle . b)$
 $\% \langle x, y \rangle . b == split(\%x\ y. b)$

syntax (*xsymbols*)

$op\ * \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixr } \times 80)$
 $op\ Int \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \cap 70)$
 $op\ Un \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \cup 65)$
 $op\ -> \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixr } \rightarrow 60)$
 $op\ <= \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \subseteq 50)$
 $op\ : \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \in 50)$
 $op\ \sim: \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \notin 50)$
 $@Collect \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{- \in - ./ -\}))$
 $@Replace \quad :: [pttrn, pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{- ./ - \in -, -\}))$
 $@RepFun \quad :: [i, pttrn, i] => i \quad ((1\{- ./ - \in -\}) [51,0,51])$
 $@UNION \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\bigcup - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@INTER \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\bigcap - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $Union \quad :: i => i \quad (\bigcup - [90] 90)$
 $Inter \quad :: i => i \quad (\bigcap - [90] 90)$
 $@PROD \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\Pi - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@SUM \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\Sigma - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@lam \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\lambda - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@Ball \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => o \quad ((3\forall - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@Bex \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => o \quad ((3\exists - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@Tuple \quad :: [i, is] => i \quad ((-, / -))$
 $@pattern \quad :: patterns => pttrn \quad (\langle - \rangle)$

syntax (*HTML output*)

$op\ * \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixr } \times 80)$
 $op\ Int \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \cap 70)$
 $op\ Un \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \cup 65)$
 $op\ <= \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \subseteq 50)$
 $op\ : \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \in 50)$
 $op\ \sim: \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \notin 50)$
 $@Collect \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{- \in - ./ -\}))$
 $@Replace \quad :: [pttrn, pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{- ./ - \in -, -\}))$
 $@RepFun \quad :: [i, pttrn, i] => i \quad ((1\{- ./ - \in -\}) [51,0,51])$
 $@UNION \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\bigcup - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@INTER \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\bigcap - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $Union \quad :: i => i \quad (\bigcup - [90] 90)$
 $Inter \quad :: i => i \quad (\bigcap - [90] 90)$
 $@PROD \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\Pi - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@SUM \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\Sigma - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@lam \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3\lambda - \in - ./ -) 10)$

$@Ball \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \forall - \in - / -) 10)$
 $@Bex \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \exists - \in - / -) 10)$
 $@Tuple \quad :: [i, is] \Rightarrow i \quad ((\langle -, / - \rangle))$
 $@pattern \quad :: patterns \Rightarrow pttrn \quad (\langle - \rangle)$

finalconsts

$0 \text{ Pow Inf Union PrimReplace}$
 $op :$

defs

$Ball\text{-}def: \quad Ball(A, P) == \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow P(x)$
 $Bex\text{-}def: \quad Bex(A, P) == \exists x. x \in A \ \& \ P(x)$

 $subset\text{-}def: \quad A \leq B == \forall x \in A. x \in B$

local

axioms

$extension: \quad A = B \longleftrightarrow A \leq B \ \& \ B \leq A$
 $Union\text{-}iff: \quad A \in Union(C) \longleftrightarrow (\exists B \in C. A \in B)$
 $Pow\text{-}iff: \quad A \in Pow(B) \longleftrightarrow A \leq B$

$infinity: \quad 0 \in Inf \ \& \ (\forall y \in Inf. succ(y) \in Inf)$

$foundation: \quad A = 0 \mid (\exists x \in A. \forall y \in x. y \sim A)$

$replacement: \quad (\forall x \in A. \forall y \ z. P(x, y) \ \& \ P(x, z) \longrightarrow y = z) \implies$
 $\quad b \in PrimReplace(A, P) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. P(x, b))$

defs

$Replace\text{-}def: \quad Replace(A, P) == PrimReplace(A, \%x y. (EX!z. P(x, z)) \ \& \ P(x, y))$

RepFun-def: $\text{RepFun}(A, f) == \{y . x \in A, y = f(x)\}$

Collect-def: $\text{Collect}(A, P) == \{y . x \in A, x = y \ \& \ P(x)\}$

Upair-def: $\text{Upair}(a, b) == \{y. x \in \text{Pow}(\text{Pow}(0)), (x=0 \ \& \ y=a) \mid (x=\text{Pow}(0) \ \& \ y=b)\}$

cons-def: $\text{cons}(a, A) == \text{Upair}(a, a) \text{ Un } A$

succ-def: $\text{succ}(i) == \text{cons}(i, i)$

Diff-def: $A - B == \{x \in A . \sim(x \in B)\}$

Inter-def: $\text{Inter}(A) == \{x \in \text{Union}(A) . \forall y \in A. x \in y\}$

Un-def: $A \text{ Un } B == \text{Union}(\text{Upair}(A, B))$

Int-def: $A \text{ Int } B == \text{Inter}(\text{Upair}(A, B))$

the-def: $\text{The}(P) == \text{Union}(\{y . x \in \{0\}, P(y)\})$

if-def: $\text{if}(P, a, b) == \text{THE } z. P \ \& \ z = a \mid \sim P \ \& \ z = b$

Pair-def: $\langle a, b \rangle == \{\{a, a\}, \{a, b\}\}$

fst-def: $\text{fst}(p) == \text{THE } a. \exists b. p = \langle a, b \rangle$

snd-def: $\text{snd}(p) == \text{THE } b. \exists a. p = \langle a, b \rangle$

split-def: $\text{split}(c) == \%p. c(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p))$

Sigma-def: $\text{Sigma}(A, B) == \bigcup x \in A. \bigcup y \in B(x). \{\langle x, y \rangle\}$

converse-def: $\text{converse}(r) == \{z. w \in r, \exists x y. w = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& \ z = \langle y, x \rangle\}$

domain-def: $\text{domain}(r) == \{x. w \in r, \exists y. w = \langle x, y \rangle\}$

range-def: $\text{range}(r) == \text{domain}(\text{converse}(r))$

field-def: $\text{field}(r) == \text{domain}(r) \text{ Un } \text{range}(r)$

relation-def: $\text{relation}(r) == \forall z \in r. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle$

function-def: $\text{function}(r) == \forall x y. \langle x, y \rangle : r \dashrightarrow (\forall y'. \langle x, y' \rangle : r \dashrightarrow y = y')$

image-def: $r \text{ `` } A == \{y : \text{range}(r) . \exists x \in A. \langle x, y \rangle : r\}$

vimage-def: $r \text{ - `` } A == \text{converse}(r) \text{ `` } A$

lam-def: $\text{Lambda}(A, b) == \{\langle x, b(x) \rangle . x \in A\}$

apply-def: $f \text{ ` } a == \text{Union}(f \text{ `` } \{a\})$

Pi-def: $Pi(A,B) == \{f \in Pow(Sigma(A,B)). A \leq domain(f) \ \& \ function(f)\}$

restrict-def: $restrict(r,A) == \{z : r. \exists x \in A. \exists y. z = \langle x,y \rangle\}$

$\langle ML \rangle$

1.1 Substitution

lemma *subst-elem*: $[\![\ b \in A; \ a = b \]\!] ==> a \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.2 Bounded universal quantifier

lemma *ballI* [*intro!*]: $[\![\ !x. x \in A ==> P(x) \]\!] ==> \forall x \in A. P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *strip = impI allI ballI*

lemma *bspec* [*dest?*]: $[\![\ \forall x \in A. P(x); \ x : A \]\!] ==> P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-ballE* [*elim*]:
 $[\![\ \forall x \in A. P(x); \ x \sim : A ==> Q; \ P(x) ==> Q \]\!] ==> Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ballE*: $[\![\ \forall x \in A. P(x); \ P(x) ==> Q; \ x \sim : A ==> Q \]\!] ==> Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-bspec*: $[\![\ x : A; \ \forall x \in A. P(x) \]\!] ==> P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ball-triv* [*simp*]: $(\forall x \in A. P) <-> ((\exists x. x \in A) --> P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ball-cong* [*cong*]:
 $[\![\ A = A'; \ !x. x \in A' ==> P(x) <-> P'(x) \]\!] ==> (\forall x \in A. P(x)) <-> (\forall x \in A'. P'(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.3 Bounded existential quantifier

lemma *bexI* [*intro*]: $[\![\ P(x); \ x : A \]\!] ==> \exists x \in A. P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-bexI*: $[\mid x \in A; P(x) \mid] \implies \exists x \in A. P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bexCI*: $[\mid \forall x \in A. \sim P(x) \implies P(a); a: A \mid] \implies \exists x \in A. P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bexE* [*elim*!]: $[\mid \exists x \in A. P(x); !!x. [\mid x \in A; P(x) \mid] \implies Q \mid] \implies Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-triv* [*simp*]: $(\exists x \in A. P) <-> ((\exists x. x \in A) \& P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-cong* [*cong*]:
 $[\mid A=A'; !!x. x \in A' \implies P(x) <-> P'(x) \mid]$
 $\implies (\exists x \in A. P(x)) <-> (\exists x \in A'. P'(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.4 Rules for subsets

lemma *subsetI* [*intro*!]:
 $(!!x. x \in A \implies x \in B) \implies A \leq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subsetD* [*elim*]: $[\mid A \leq B; c \in A \mid] \implies c \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subsetCE* [*elim*]:
 $[\mid A \leq B; c \sim A \implies P; c \in B \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-subsetD*: $[\mid c \in A; A \leq B \mid] \implies c \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *contra-subsetD*: $[\mid A \leq B; c \sim B \mid] \implies c \sim A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-contra-subsetD*: $[\mid c \sim B; A \leq B \mid] \implies c \sim A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-refl* [*simp*]: $A \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-trans*: $[[A \leq B; B \leq C]] \implies A \leq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-iff*:
 $A \leq B \iff (\forall x. x \in A \implies x \in B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.5 Rules for equality

lemma *equalityI* [intro]: $[[A \leq B; B \leq A]] \implies A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equality-iffI*: $(\forall x. x \in A \iff x \in B) \implies A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *equalityD1* = *extension* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *conjunct1*, standard]
lemmas *equalityD2* = *extension* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *conjunct2*, standard]

lemma *equalityE*: $[[A = B; [[A \leq B; B \leq A]] \implies P]] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equalityCE*:
 $[[A = B; [[c \in A; c \in B]] \implies P; [[c \sim A; c \sim B]] \implies P]] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *setup-induction*: $[[p: A; \forall z. z: A \implies p=z \implies R]] \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6 Rules for Replace – the derived form of replacement

lemma *Replace-iff*:
 $b : \{y. x \in A, P(x,y)\} \iff (\exists x \in A. P(x,b) \ \& \ (\forall y. P(x,y) \implies y=b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ReplaceI* [intro]:
 $[[P(x,b); x: A; \forall y. P(x,y) \implies y=b]] \implies$
 $b : \{y. x \in A, P(x,y)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ReplaceE*:
 $[[b : \{y. x \in A, P(x,y)\};$
 $\forall x. [[x: A; P(x,b); \forall y. P(x,y) \implies y=b]] \implies R$
 $]] \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ReplaceE2* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket b : \{y. x \in A, P(x,y)\}; \\ & \quad !!x. \llbracket x : A; P(x,b) \rrbracket ==> R \\ & \rrbracket ==> R \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Replace-cong* [*cong*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket A=B; !!x y. x \in B ==> P(x,y) <-> Q(x,y) \rrbracket ==> \\ & \quad Replace(A,P) = Replace(B,Q) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.7 Rules for RepFun

lemma *RepFunI*: $a \in A ==> f(a) : \{f(x). x \in A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-eqI* [*intro*]: $\llbracket b=f(a); a \in A \rrbracket ==> b : \{f(x). x \in A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFunE* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket b : \{f(x). x \in A\}; \\ & \quad !!x. \llbracket x \in A; b=f(x) \rrbracket ==> P \rrbracket ==> \\ & \quad P \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-cong* [*cong*]:

$$\llbracket A=B; !!x. x \in B ==> f(x)=g(x) \rrbracket ==> RepFun(A,f) = RepFun(B,g)$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-iff* [*simp*]: $b : \{f(x). x \in A\} <-> (\exists x \in A. b=f(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *triv-RepFun* [*simp*]: $\{x. x \in A\} = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.8 Rules for Collect – forming a subset by separation

lemma *separation* [*simp*]: $a : \{x \in A. P(x)\} <-> a \in A \ \& \ P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CollectI* [*intro!*]: $\llbracket a \in A; P(a) \rrbracket ==> a : \{x \in A. P(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CollectE* [*elim!*]: $\llbracket a : \{x \in A. P(x)\}; \llbracket a \in A; P(a) \rrbracket ==> R \rrbracket ==> R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CollectD1*: $a : \{x \in A. P(x)\} ==> a \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CollectD2*: $a : \{x \in A. P(x)\} \implies P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-cong* [*cong*]:

$$[| A=B; \forall x. x \in B \implies P(x) \iff Q(x) |] \implies Collect(A, \%x. P(x)) = Collect(B, \%x. Q(x))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.9 Rules for Unions

declare *Union-iff* [*simp*]

lemma *UnionI* [*intro*]: $[| B: C; A: B |] \implies A: Union(C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UnionE* [*elim!*]: $[| A \in Union(C); \forall B. [A: B; B: C] \implies R |] \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.10 Rules for Unions of families

lemma *UN-iff* [*simp*]: $b : (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) \iff (\exists x \in A. b \in B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-I*: $[| a: A; b: B(a) |] \implies b: (\bigcup x \in A. B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-E* [*elim!*]:

$$[| b : (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)); \forall x. [x: A; b: B(x)] \implies R |] \implies R$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-cong*:

$$[| A=B; \forall x. x \in B \implies C(x)=D(x) |] \implies (\bigcup x \in A. C(x)) = (\bigcup x \in B. D(x))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.11 Rules for the empty set

lemma *not-mem-empty* [*simp*]: $a \sim: 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *emptyE* [*elim!*] = *not-mem-empty* [*THEN notE, standard*]

lemma *empty-subsetI* [*simp*]: $0 \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equals0I*: $[\![\text{!!}y. y \in A \implies \text{False}]\!] \implies A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equals0D* [*dest*]: $A = 0 \implies a \sim : A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *sym* [*THEN equals0D, dest*]

lemma *not-emptyI*: $a \in A \implies A \sim = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-emptyE*: $[\![A \sim = 0; \text{!!}x. x \in A \implies R]\!] \implies R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.12 Rules for Inter

lemma *Inter-iff*: $A \in \text{Inter}(C) \iff (\forall x \in C. A : x) \ \& \ C \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InterI* [*intro!*]:
 $[\![\text{!!}x. x : C \implies A : x; C \neq 0]\!] \implies A \in \text{Inter}(C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InterD* [*elim*]: $[\![A \in \text{Inter}(C); B \in C]\!] \implies A \in B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InterE* [*elim*]:
 $[\![A \in \text{Inter}(C); B \sim : C \implies R; A \in B \implies R]\!] \implies R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.13 Rules for Intersections of families

lemma *INT-iff*: $b : (\bigcap x \in A. B(x)) \iff (\forall x \in A. b \in B(x)) \ \& \ A \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-I*: $[\![\text{!!}x. x : A \implies b : B(x); A \neq 0]\!] \implies b : (\bigcap x \in A. B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-E*: $[\![b : (\bigcap x \in A. B(x)); a : A]\!] \implies b \in B(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-cong*:
 $[\![A = B; \text{!!}x. x \in B \implies C(x) = D(x)]\!] \implies (\bigcap x \in A. C(x)) = (\bigcap x \in B. D(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.14 Rules for Powersets

lemma *PowI*: $A \leq B \implies A \in \text{Pow}(B)$
<proof>

lemma *PowD*: $A \in \text{Pow}(B) \implies A \leq B$
<proof>

declare *Pow-iff* [*iff*]

lemmas *Pow-bottom* = *empty-subsetI* [*THEN PowI*]

lemmas *Pow-top* = *subset-refl* [*THEN PowI*]

1.15 Cantor's Theorem: There is no surjection from a set to its powerset.

lemma *cantor*: $\exists S \in \text{Pow}(A). \forall x \in A. b(x) \sim S$
<proof>

<ML>

end

2 Unordered Pairs

theory *upair* **imports** *ZF*
uses *Tools/typechk.ML* **begin**

<ML>

lemma *atomize-ball* [*symmetric, rulify*]:
 $(!!x. x:A \implies P(x)) \implies \text{Trueprop} (\text{ALL } x:A. P(x))$
<proof>

2.1 Unordered Pairs: constant *Upair*

lemma *Upair-iff* [*simp*]: $c : \text{Upair}(a,b) \leq \neg (c=a \mid c=b)$
<proof>

lemma *UpairI1*: $a : \text{Upair}(a,b)$
<proof>

lemma *UpairI2*: $b : \text{Upair}(a,b)$
<proof>

lemma *UpairE*: $[| a : \text{Upair}(b,c); a=b \implies P; a=c \implies P |] \implies P$
<proof>

2.2 Rules for Binary Union, Defined via *Upair*

lemma *Un-iff* [*simp*]: $c : A \text{ Un } B \leftrightarrow (c:A \mid c:B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnI1*: $c : A \implies c : A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnI2*: $c : B \implies c : A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *UnI1* [*elim?*] *UnI2* [*elim?*]

lemma *UnE* [*elim!*]: $[\mid c : A \text{ Un } B; \ c:A \implies P; \ c:B \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnE'*: $[\mid c : A \text{ Un } B; \ c:A \implies P; \ [\mid c:B; \ c\sim:A \mid] \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnCI* [*intro!*]: $(c \sim: B \implies c : A) \implies c : A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Rules for Binary Intersection, Defined via *Upair*

lemma *Int-iff* [*simp*]: $c : A \text{ Int } B \leftrightarrow (c:A \ \& \ c:B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IntI* [*intro!*]: $[\mid c : A; \ c : B \mid] \implies c : A \text{ Int } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IntD1*: $c : A \text{ Int } B \implies c : A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IntD2*: $c : A \text{ Int } B \implies c : B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IntE* [*elim!*]: $[\mid c : A \text{ Int } B; \ [\mid c:A; \ c:B \mid] \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 Rules for Set Difference, Defined via *Upair*

lemma *Diff-iff* [*simp*]: $c : A - B \leftrightarrow (c:A \ \& \ c\sim:B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DiffI* [*intro!*]: $[\mid c : A; \ c \sim: B \mid] \implies c : A - B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DiffD1*: $c : A - B \implies c : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DiffD2*: $c : A - B \implies c \sim : B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DiffE* [*elim!*]: $[[c : A - B; \quad [c:A; c\sim:B] \implies P] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5 Rules for *cons*

lemma *cons-iff* [*simp*]: $a : cons(b,A) <-> (a=b \mid a:A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consI1* [*simp, TC*]: $a : cons(a,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consI2*: $a : B \implies a : cons(b,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consE* [*elim!*]: $[[a : cons(b,A); \quad a=b \implies P; \quad a:A \implies P] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consE'*:
 $[[a : cons(b,A); \quad a=b \implies P; \quad [a:A; \quad a\sim=b] \implies P] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consCI* [*intro!*]: $(a\sim:B \implies a=b) \implies a : cons(b,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-not-0* [*simp*]: $cons(a,B) \sim = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *cons-neq-0* = *cons-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard*]

declare *cons-not-0* [*THEN not-sym, simp*]

2.6 Singletons

lemma *singleton-iff*: $a : \{b\} <-> a=b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singletonI* [*intro!*]: $a : \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *singletonE* = *singleton-iff* [*THEN iffD1, elim-format, standard, elim!*]

2.7 Descriptions

lemma *the-equality* [intro]:

$\llbracket P(a); !!x. P(x) ==> x=a \rrbracket ==> (THE\ x. P(x)) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-equality2*: $\llbracket EX! x. P(x); P(a) \rrbracket ==> (THE\ x. P(x)) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *theI*: $EX! x. P(x) ==> P(THE\ x. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-0*: $\sim (EX! x. P(x)) ==> (THE\ x. P(x))=0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *theI2*:

assumes $p1: \sim Q(0) ==> EX! x. P(x)$

and $p2: !!x. P(x) ==> Q(x)$

shows $Q(THE\ x. P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-eq-trivial* [simp]: $(THE\ x. x = a) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-eq-trivial2* [simp]: $(THE\ x. a = x) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.8 Conditional Terms: *if-then-else*

lemma *if-true* [simp]: $(if\ True\ then\ a\ else\ b) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-false* [simp]: $(if\ False\ then\ a\ else\ b) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-cong*:

$\llbracket P<->Q; Q ==> a=c; \sim Q ==> b=d \rrbracket$

$==> (if\ P\ then\ a\ else\ b) = (if\ Q\ then\ c\ else\ d)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-weak-cong*: $P<->Q ==> (if\ P\ then\ x\ else\ y) = (if\ Q\ then\ x\ else\ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-P*: $P \implies (if\ P\ then\ a\ else\ b) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-not-P*: $\sim P \implies (if\ P\ then\ a\ else\ b) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-if* [*split*]:
 $P(if\ Q\ then\ x\ else\ y) <-> ((Q \multimap P(x)) \ \&\ (\sim Q \multimap P(y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *split-if-eq1* = *split-if* [*of* $\%x. x = b$, *standard*]
lemmas *split-if-eq2* = *split-if* [*of* $\%x. a = x$, *standard*]

lemmas *split-if-mem1* = *split-if* [*of* $\%x. x : b$, *standard*]
lemmas *split-if-mem2* = *split-if* [*of* $\%x. a : x$, *standard*]

lemmas *split-ifs* = *split-if-eq1* *split-if-eq2* *split-if-mem1* *split-if-mem2*

lemma *if-iff*: $a: (if\ P\ then\ x\ else\ y) <-> P \ \&\ a:x \mid \sim P \ \&\ a:y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-type* [*TC*]:
 $[| P \implies a: A; \ \sim P \implies b: A |] \implies (if\ P\ then\ a\ else\ b): A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-if-asm*: $P(if\ Q\ then\ x\ else\ y) <-> (\sim((Q \ \&\ \sim P(x)) \mid (\sim Q \ \&\ \sim P(y))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *if-splits* = *split-if* *split-if-asm*

2.9 Consequences of Foundation

lemma *mem-asy*: $[| a:b; \ \sim P \implies b:a |] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-irrefl*: $a:a \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-not-refl*: $a \sim: a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-imp-not-eq*: $a:A \implies a \sim = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-imp-not-mem*: $a=A \implies a \sim : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.10 Rules for Successor

lemma *succ-iff*: $i : succ(j) \iff i=j \mid i:j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succI1* [*simp*]: $i : succ(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succI2*: $i : j \implies i : succ(j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succE* [*elim!*]:
 $[\mid i : succ(j); i=j \implies P; i:j \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succCI* [*intro!*]: $(i \sim : j \implies i=j) \implies i : succ(j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-not-0* [*simp*]: $succ(n) \sim = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *succ-neq-0* = *succ-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard, elim!*]

declare *succ-not-0* [*THEN not-sym, simp*]
declare *sym* [*THEN succ-neq-0, elim!*]

lemmas *succ-subsetD* = *succI1* [*THEN* [2] *subsetD*]

lemmas *succ-neq-self* = *succI1* [*THEN mem-imp-not-eq, THEN not-sym, standard*]

lemma *succ-inject-iff* [*simp*]: $succ(m) = succ(n) \iff m=n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *succ-inject* = *succ-inject-iff* [*THEN iffD1, standard, dest!*]

2.11 Miniscoping of the Bounded Universal Quantifier

lemma *ball-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(ALL\ x:A. P(x) \ \&\ Q) &<-> (ALL\ x:A. P(x)) \ \&\ (A=0 \mid Q) \\
(ALL\ x:A. P(x) \mid Q) &<-> ((ALL\ x:A. P(x)) \mid Q) \\
(ALL\ x:A. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) &<-> ((EX\ x:A. P(x)) \dashrightarrow Q) \\
(\sim(ALL\ x:A. P(x))) &<-> (EX\ x:A. \sim P(x)) \\
(ALL\ x:0.P(x)) &<-> True \\
(ALL\ x:succ(i).P(x)) &<-> P(i) \ \&\ (ALL\ x:i. P(x)) \\
(ALL\ x:cons(a,B).P(x)) &<-> P(a) \ \&\ (ALL\ x:B. P(x)) \\
(ALL\ x:RepFun(A,f). P(x)) &<-> (ALL\ y:A. P(f(y))) \\
(ALL\ x:Union(A).P(x)) &<-> (ALL\ y:A. ALL\ x:y. P(x))
\end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *ball-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(ALL\ x:A. P \ \&\ Q(x)) &<-> (A=0 \mid P) \ \&\ (ALL\ x:A. Q(x)) \\
(ALL\ x:A. P \mid Q(x)) &<-> (P \mid (ALL\ x:A. Q(x))) \\
(ALL\ x:A. P \dashrightarrow Q(x)) &<-> (P \dashrightarrow (ALL\ x:A. Q(x)))
\end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *ball-simps3*:

$$(ALL\ x:Collect(A,Q).P(x)) <-> (ALL\ x:A. Q(x) \dashrightarrow P(x))$$

<proof>

lemmas *ball-simps* [simp] = *ball-simps1 ball-simps2 ball-simps3*

lemma *ball-conj-distrib*:

$$(ALL\ x:A. P(x) \ \&\ Q(x)) <-> ((ALL\ x:A. P(x)) \ \&\ (ALL\ x:A. Q(x)))$$

<proof>

2.12 Miniscoping of the Bounded Existential Quantifier

lemma *bex-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(EX\ x:A. P(x) \ \&\ Q) &<-> ((EX\ x:A. P(x)) \ \&\ Q) \\
(EX\ x:A. P(x) \mid Q) &<-> (EX\ x:A. P(x)) \mid (A\sim=0 \ \&\ Q) \\
(EX\ x:A. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) &<-> ((ALL\ x:A. P(x)) \dashrightarrow (A\sim=0 \ \&\ Q)) \\
(EX\ x:0.P(x)) &<-> False \\
(EX\ x:succ(i).P(x)) &<-> P(i) \mid (EX\ x:i. P(x)) \\
(EX\ x:cons(a,B).P(x)) &<-> P(a) \mid (EX\ x:B. P(x)) \\
(EX\ x:RepFun(A,f). P(x)) &<-> (EX\ y:A. P(f(y))) \\
(EX\ x:Union(A).P(x)) &<-> (EX\ y:A. EX\ x:y. P(x)) \\
(\sim(EX\ x:A. P(x))) &<-> (ALL\ x:A. \sim P(x))
\end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *bex-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(EX\ x:A. P \ \&\ Q(x)) &<-> (P \ \&\ (EX\ x:A. Q(x))) \\
(EX\ x:A. P \mid Q(x)) &<-> (A\sim=0 \ \&\ P) \mid (EX\ x:A. Q(x)) \\
(EX\ x:A. P \dashrightarrow Q(x)) &<-> ((A=0 \mid P) \dashrightarrow (EX\ x:A. Q(x)))
\end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *bex-simps3*:

$(EX\ x:Collect(A,Q).P(x)) <-> (EX\ x:A. Q(x) \ \&\ P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bex-simps* [simp] = *bex-simps1* *bex-simps2* *bex-simps3*

lemma *bex-disj-distrib*:

$(EX\ x:A. P(x) \mid Q(x)) <-> ((EX\ x:A. P(x)) \mid (EX\ x:A. Q(x)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-triv-one-point1* [simp]: $(EX\ x:A. x=a) <-> (a:A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-triv-one-point2* [simp]: $(EX\ x:A. a=x) <-> (a:A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-one-point1* [simp]: $(EX\ x:A. x=a \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (a:A \ \&\ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-one-point2* [simp]: $(EX\ x:A. a=x \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (a:A \ \&\ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ball-one-point1* [simp]: $(ALL\ x:A. x=a \ \longrightarrow P(x)) <-> (a:A \ \longrightarrow P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ball-one-point2* [simp]: $(ALL\ x:A. a=x \ \longrightarrow P(x)) <-> (a:A \ \longrightarrow P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.13 Miniscoping of the Replacement Operator

These cover both *Replace* and *Collect*

lemma *Rep-simps* [simp]:

$\{x. y:0, R(x,y)\} = 0$
 $\{x:0. P(x)\} = 0$
 $\{x:A. Q\} = (if\ Q\ then\ A\ else\ 0)$
 $RepFun(0,f) = 0$
 $RepFun(succ(i),f) = cons(f(i), RepFun(i,f))$
 $RepFun(cons(a,B),f) = cons(f(a), RepFun(B,f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.14 Miniscoping of Unions

lemma *UN-simps1*:

$(UN\ x:C. cons(a, B(x))) = (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ cons(a, UN\ x:C. B(x)))$
 $(UN\ x:C. A(x) \ Un\ B') = (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ (UN\ x:C. A(x)) \ Un\ B')$
 $(UN\ x:C. A' \ Un\ B(x)) = (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ A' \ Un\ (UN\ x:C. B(x)))$

$$\begin{aligned}
(UN\ x:C. A(x)\ Int\ B') &= ((UN\ x:C. A(x))\ Int\ B') \\
(UN\ x:C. A'\ Int\ B(x)) &= (A'\ Int\ (UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\
(UN\ x:C. A(x) - B') &= ((UN\ x:C. A(x)) - B') \\
(UN\ x:C. A' - B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ A' - (INT\ x:C. B(x)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(UN\ x:\ Union(A). B(x)) &= (UN\ y:A. UN\ x:y. B(x)) \\
(UN\ z:\ (UN\ x:A. B(x)). C(z)) &= (UN\ x:A. UN\ z:\ B(x). C(z)) \\
(UN\ x:\ RepFun(A,f). B(x)) &= (UN\ a:A. B(f(a)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *UN-simps [simp] = UN-simps1 UN-simps2*

Opposite of miniscoping: pull the operator out

lemma *UN-extend-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(UN\ x:C. A(x))\ Un\ B &= (if\ C=0\ then\ B\ else\ (UN\ x:C. A(x)\ Un\ B)) \\
((UN\ x:C. A(x))\ Int\ B) &= (UN\ x:C. A(x)\ Int\ B) \\
((UN\ x:C. A(x)) - B) &= (UN\ x:C. A(x) - B)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-extend-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
cons(a, UN\ x:C. B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ \{a\}\ else\ (UN\ x:C. cons(a, B(x)))) \\
A\ Un\ (UN\ x:C. B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ A\ else\ (UN\ x:C. A\ Un\ B(x))) \\
(A\ Int\ (UN\ x:C. B(x))) &= (UN\ x:C. A\ Int\ B(x)) \\
A - (INT\ x:C. B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ A\ else\ (UN\ x:C. A - B(x))) \\
(UN\ y:A. UN\ x:y. B(x)) &= (UN\ x:\ Union(A). B(x)) \\
(UN\ a:A. B(f(a))) &= (UN\ x:\ RepFun(A,f). B(x))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-UN-extend*:

$$(UN\ x:A. UN\ z:\ B(x). C(z)) = (UN\ z:\ (UN\ x:A. B(x)). C(z))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *UN-extend-simps = UN-extend-simps1 UN-extend-simps2 UN-UN-extend*

2.15 Miniscoping of Intersections

lemma *INT-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(INT\ x:C. A(x)\ Int\ B) &= (INT\ x:C. A(x))\ Int\ B \\
(INT\ x:C. A(x) - B) &= (INT\ x:C. A(x)) - B \\
(INT\ x:C. A(x)\ Un\ B) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ (INT\ x:C. A(x))\ Un\ B)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(INT\ x:C. A\ Int\ B(x)) &= A\ Int\ (INT\ x:C. B(x)) \\
(INT\ x:C. A - B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ A - (UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\
(INT\ x:C. cons(a, B(x))) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ cons(a, INT\ x:C. B(x))) \\
(INT\ x:C. A\ Un\ B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ A\ Un\ (INT\ x:C. B(x)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *INT-simps* [simp] = *INT-simps1 INT-simps2*

Opposite of miniscoping: pull the operator out

lemma *INT-extend-simps1*:

$(\text{INT } x:C. A(x)) \text{ Int } B = (\text{INT } x:C. A(x) \text{ Int } B)$
 $(\text{INT } x:C. A(x)) - B = (\text{INT } x:C. A(x) - B)$
 $(\text{INT } x:C. A(x)) \text{ Un } B = (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } B \text{ else } (\text{INT } x:C. A(x) \text{ Un } B))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-extend-simps2*:

$A \text{ Int } (\text{INT } x:C. B(x)) = (\text{INT } x:C. A \text{ Int } B(x))$
 $A - (\text{UN } x:C. B(x)) = (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } A \text{ else } (\text{INT } x:C. A - B(x)))$
 $\text{cons}(a, \text{INT } x:C. B(x)) = (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } \{a\} \text{ else } (\text{INT } x:C. \text{cons}(a, B(x))))$
 $A \text{ Un } (\text{INT } x:C. B(x)) = (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } A \text{ else } (\text{INT } x:C. A \text{ Un } B(x)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *INT-extend-simps* = *INT-extend-simps1 INT-extend-simps2*

2.16 Other simprules

lemma *misc-simps* [simp]:

$0 \text{ Un } A = A$
 $A \text{ Un } 0 = A$
 $0 \text{ Int } A = 0$
 $A \text{ Int } 0 = 0$
 $0 - A = 0$
 $A - 0 = A$
 $\text{Union}(0) = 0$
 $\text{Union}(\text{cons}(b, A)) = b \text{ Un } \text{Union}(A)$
 $\text{Inter}(\{b\}) = b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

end

3 Ordered Pairs

theory *pair* **imports** *upair*

uses *simpdata.ML* **begin**

lemma *singleton-eq-iff* [iff]: $\{a\} = \{b\} \iff a=b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *doubleton-eq-iff*: $\{a,b\} = \{c,d\} \leftrightarrow (a=c \ \& \ b=d) \mid (a=d \ \& \ b=c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-iff [simp]*: $\langle a,b \rangle = \langle c,d \rangle \leftrightarrow a=c \ \& \ b=d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Pair-inject* = *Pair-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *conjE*, standard, elim!]

lemmas *Pair-inject1* = *Pair-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *conjunct1*, standard]

lemmas *Pair-inject2* = *Pair-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *conjunct2*, standard]

lemma *Pair-not-0*: $\langle a,b \rangle \sim = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Pair-neq-0* = *Pair-not-0* [THEN *notE*, standard, elim!]

declare *sym* [THEN *Pair-neq-0*, elim!]

lemma *Pair-neq-fst*: $\langle a,b \rangle = a \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-neq-snd*: $\langle a,b \rangle = b \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.1 Sigma: Disjoint Union of a Family of Sets

Generalizes Cartesian product

lemma *Sigma-iff [simp]*: $\langle a,b \rangle : \text{Sigma}(A,B) \leftrightarrow a:A \ \& \ b:B(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SigmaI [TC,intro!]*: $\llbracket a:A; \ b:B(a) \rrbracket \implies \langle a,b \rangle : \text{Sigma}(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *SigmaD1* = *Sigma-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *conjunct1*, standard]

lemmas *SigmaD2* = *Sigma-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *conjunct2*, standard]

lemma *SigmaE [elim!]*:
 $\llbracket c : \text{Sigma}(A,B);$
 $\quad !!x \ y. \llbracket x:A; \ y:B(x); \ c=\langle x,y \rangle \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SigmaE2 [elim!]*:
 $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle : \text{Sigma}(A,B);$
 $\quad \llbracket a:A; \ b:B(a) \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-cong*:

$$[[A=A'; \text{ !!}x. x:A' ==> B(x)=B'(x)]] ==>$$

$$\text{Sigma}(A,B) = \text{Sigma}(A',B')$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *Sigma-empty1* [simp]: $\text{Sigma}(0,B) = 0$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *Sigma-empty2* [simp]: $A*0 = 0$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *Sigma-empty-iff*: $A*B=0 <-> A=0 \mid B=0$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

3.2 Projections *fst* and *snd*

lemma *fst-conv* [simp]: $\text{fst}(<a,b>) = a$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *snd-conv* [simp]: $\text{snd}(<a,b>) = b$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *fst-type* [TC]: $p:\text{Sigma}(A,B) ==> \text{fst}(p) : A$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *snd-type* [TC]: $p:\text{Sigma}(A,B) ==> \text{snd}(p) : B(\text{fst}(p))$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *Pair-fst-snd-eq*: $a: \text{Sigma}(A,B) ==> <\text{fst}(a), \text{snd}(a)> = a$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

3.3 The Eliminator, *split*

lemma *split* [simp]: $\text{split}(\%x y. c(x,y), <a,b>) == c(a,b)$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *split-type* [TC]:

$$[[p:\text{Sigma}(A,B);$$

$$\text{ !!}x y. [x:A; y:B(x)] ==> c(x,y):C(<x,y>)$$

$$]] ==> \text{split}(\%x y. c(x,y), p) : C(p)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *expand-split*:

$$u: A*B ==>$$

$$R(\text{split}(c,u)) <-> (\text{ALL } x:A. \text{ ALL } y:B. u = <x,y> \text{ ---> } R(c(x,y)))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

3.4 A version of *split* for Formulae: Result Type *o*

lemma *splitI*: $R(a,b) \implies \text{split}(R, \langle a,b \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *splitE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{split}(R,z); \ z:\text{Sigma}(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [\ z = \langle x,y \rangle; \ R(x,y)] \implies P \\ &] \implies P \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *splitD*: $\text{split}(R, \langle a,b \rangle) \implies R(a,b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Complex rules for Sigma.

lemma *split-paired-Bex-Sigma* [*simp*]:
 $(\exists z \in \text{Sigma}(A,B). P(z)) \iff (\exists x \in A. \exists y \in B(x). P(\langle x,y \rangle))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *split-paired-Ball-Sigma* [*simp*]:
 $(\forall z \in \text{Sigma}(A,B). P(z)) \iff (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in B(x). P(\langle x,y \rangle))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

end

4 Basic Equalities and Inclusions

theory *equalities* **imports** *pair* **begin**

These cover union, intersection, converse, domain, range, etc. Philippe de Groote proved many of the inclusions.

lemma *in-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies x \in A \implies x \in B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-eq-0* [*simp*]: $(\text{THE } x. \text{False}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.1 Bounded Quantifiers

The following are not added to the default simpset because (a) they duplicate the body and (b) there are no similar rules for *Int*.

lemma *ball-Un*: $(\forall x \in A \cup B. P(x)) \iff (\forall x \in A. P(x)) \ \& \ (\forall x \in B. P(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *beX-Un*: $(\exists x \in A \cup B. P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. P(x)) \mid (\exists x \in B. P(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ball-UN*: $(\forall z \in (\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x)). P(z)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \forall z \in B(x). P(z))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *beX-UN*: $(\exists z \in (\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x)). P(z)) \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. \exists z \in B(x). P(z))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 Converse of a Relation

lemma *converse-iff* [*simp*]: $\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{converse}(r) \leftrightarrow \langle b, a \rangle \in r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converseI* [*intro!*]: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies \langle b, a \rangle \in \text{converse}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converseD*: $\langle a, b \rangle \in \text{converse}(r) \implies \langle b, a \rangle \in r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converseE* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket yx \in \text{converse}(r); \\ & \quad !!x y. \llbracket yx = \langle y, x \rangle; \langle x, y \rangle \in r \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \\ & \implies P \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-converse*: $r \subseteq \text{Sigma}(A, B) \implies \text{converse}(\text{converse}(r)) = r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-type*: $r \subseteq A * B \implies \text{converse}(r) \subseteq B * A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-prod* [*simp*]: $\text{converse}(A * B) = B * A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-empty* [*simp*]: $\text{converse}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-subset-iff*:
 $A \subseteq \text{Sigma}(X, Y) \implies \text{converse}(A) \subseteq \text{converse}(B) \leftrightarrow A \subseteq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.3 Finite Set Constructions Using *cons*

lemma *cons-subsetI*: $\llbracket a \in C; B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(a, B) \subseteq C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-consI*: $B \subseteq \text{cons}(a, B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-subset-iff* [*iff*]: $cons(a, B) \subseteq C \iff a \in C \ \& \ B \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *cons-subsetE* = *cons-subset-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjE, standard*]

lemma *subset-empty-iff*: $A \subseteq 0 \iff A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-cons-iff*: $C \subseteq cons(a, B) \iff C \subseteq B \mid (a \in C \ \& \ C - \{a\} \subseteq B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-eq*: $\{a\} \cup B = cons(a, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-commute*: $cons(a, cons(b, C)) = cons(b, cons(a, C))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-absorb*: $a \in B \implies cons(a, B) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-Diff*: $a \in B \implies cons(a, B - \{a\}) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-cons-eq*: $cons(a, B) - C = (if \ a \in C \ then \ B - C \ else \ cons(a, B - C))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equal-singleton* [*rule-format*]: $[| \ a \in C; \ \forall y \in C. \ y = b \ |] \implies C = \{b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $cons(a, cons(a, B)) = cons(a, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singleton-subsetI*: $a \in C \implies \{a\} \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singleton-subsetD*: $\{a\} \subseteq C \implies a \in C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-succI*: $i \subseteq succ(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-subsetI*: $[| i \in j; i \subseteq j |] ==> succ(i) \subseteq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-subsetE*:
 $[| succ(i) \subseteq j; [| i \in j; i \subseteq j |] ==> P |] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-subset-iff*: $succ(a) \subseteq B <-> (a \subseteq B \ \& \ a \in B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.4 Binary Intersection

lemma *Int-subset-iff*: $C \subseteq A \ Int \ B <-> C \subseteq A \ \& \ C \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-lower1*: $A \ Int \ B \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-lower2*: $A \ Int \ B \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-greatest*: $[| C \subseteq A; C \subseteq B |] ==> C \subseteq A \ Int \ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-cons*: $cons(a, B) \ Int \ C \subseteq cons(a, B \ Int \ C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-absorb [simp]*: $A \ Int \ A = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-left-absorb*: $A \ Int \ (A \ Int \ B) = A \ Int \ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-commute*: $A \ Int \ B = B \ Int \ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-left-commute*: $A \ Int \ (B \ Int \ C) = B \ Int \ (A \ Int \ C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-assoc*: $(A \ Int \ B) \ Int \ C = A \ Int \ (B \ Int \ C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Int-ac= Int-assoc Int-left-absorb Int-commute Int-left-commute*

lemma *Int-absorb1*: $B \subseteq A ==> A \cap B = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-absorb2*: $A \subseteq B \implies A \cap B = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Un-distrib*: $A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C) = (A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } (A \text{ Int } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Un-distrib2*: $(B \text{ Un } C) \text{ Int } A = (B \text{ Int } A) \text{ Un } (C \text{ Int } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Int-iff*: $A \subseteq B \iff A \text{ Int } B = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Int-iff2*: $A \subseteq B \iff B \text{ Int } A = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Diff-eq*: $C \subseteq A \implies (A - B) \text{ Int } C = C - B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-cons-left*:
 $cons(a, A) \text{ Int } B = (if\ a \in B\ then\ cons(a, A \text{ Int } B)\ else\ A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-cons-right*:
 $A \text{ Int } cons(a, B) = (if\ a \in A\ then\ cons(a, A \text{ Int } B)\ else\ A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-Int-distrib*: $cons(x, A \cap B) = cons(x, A) \cap cons(x, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.5 Binary Union

lemma *Un-subset-iff*: $A \text{ Un } B \subseteq C \iff A \subseteq C \ \& \ B \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper1*: $A \subseteq A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper2*: $B \subseteq A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-least*: $[A \subseteq C; B \subseteq C] \implies A \text{ Un } B \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-cons*: $cons(a, B) \text{ Un } C = cons(a, B \text{ Un } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-absorb [simp]*: $A \text{ Un } A = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-left-absorb*: $A \text{ Un } (A \text{ Un } B) = A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-commute*: $A \text{ Un } B = B \text{ Un } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-left-commute*: $A \text{ Un } (B \text{ Un } C) = B \text{ Un } (A \text{ Un } C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-assoc*: $(A \text{ Un } B) \text{ Un } C = A \text{ Un } (B \text{ Un } C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Un-ac* = *Un-assoc Un-left-absorb Un-commute Un-left-commute*

lemma *Un-absorb1*: $A \subseteq B \implies A \cup B = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-absorb2*: $B \subseteq A \implies A \cup B = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-Int-distrib*: $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } C = (A \text{ Un } C) \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-Un-iff*: $A \subseteq B \iff A \text{ Un } B = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-Un-iff2*: $A \subseteq B \iff B \text{ Un } A = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-empty [iff]*: $(A \text{ Un } B = 0) \iff (A = 0 \ \& \ B = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-eq-Union*: $A \text{ Un } B = \text{Union}(\{A, B\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.6 Set Difference

lemma *Diff-subset*: $A - B \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff-contains*: $[| C \subseteq A; C \text{ Int } B = 0 |] \implies C \subseteq A - B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-Diff-cons-iff*: $B \subseteq A - \text{cons}(c, C) \iff B \subseteq A - C \ \& \ c \sim: B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff-cancel*: $A - A = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-triv*: $A \text{ Int } B = 0 \implies A - B = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *empty-Diff* [*simp*]: $0 - A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-0* [*simp*]: $A - 0 = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-eq-0-iff*: $A - B = 0 \iff A \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-cons*: $A - \text{cons}(a, B) = A - B - \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-cons2*: $A - \text{cons}(a, B) = A - \{a\} - B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-disjoint*: $A \text{ Int } (B - A) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-partition*: $A \subseteq B \implies A \text{ Un } (B - A) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Un-Diff*: $A \subseteq B \text{ Un } (A - B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double-complement*: $[A \subseteq B; B \subseteq C] \implies B - (C - A) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double-complement-Un*: $(A \text{ Un } B) - (B - A) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-Int-crazy*:
 $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } (B \text{ Int } C) \text{ Un } (C \text{ Int } A) = (A \text{ Un } B) \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C) \text{ Int } (C \text{ Un } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-Un*: $A - (B \text{ Un } C) = (A - B) \text{ Int } (A - C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-Int*: $A - (B \text{ Int } C) = (A - B) \text{ Un } (A - C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-Diff*: $(A \text{ Un } B) - C = (A - C) \text{ Un } (B - C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Diff*: $(A \text{ Int } B) - C = A \text{ Int } (B - C)$

<proof>

lemma *Diff-Int-distrib*: $C \text{ Int } (A - B) = (C \text{ Int } A) - (C \text{ Int } B)$

<proof>

lemma *Diff-Int-distrib2*: $(A - B) \text{ Int } C = (A \text{ Int } C) - (B \text{ Int } C)$

<proof>

lemma *Un-Int-assoc-iff*: $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } C = A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C) \iff C \subseteq A$

<proof>

4.7 Big Union and Intersection

lemma *Union-subset-iff*: $\text{Union}(A) \subseteq C \iff (\forall x \in A. x \subseteq C)$

<proof>

lemma *Union-upper*: $B \in A \implies B \subseteq \text{Union}(A)$

<proof>

lemma *Union-least*: $[\![\forall x. x \in A \implies x \subseteq C]\!] \implies \text{Union}(A) \subseteq C$

<proof>

lemma *Union-cons [simp]*: $\text{Union}(\text{cons}(a, B)) = a \text{ Un } \text{Union}(B)$

<proof>

lemma *Union-Un-distrib*: $\text{Union}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{Union}(A) \text{ Un } \text{Union}(B)$

<proof>

lemma *Union-Int-subset*: $\text{Union}(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq \text{Union}(A) \text{ Int } \text{Union}(B)$

<proof>

lemma *Union-disjoint*: $\text{Union}(C) \text{ Int } A = 0 \iff (\forall B \in C. B \text{ Int } A = 0)$

<proof>

lemma *Union-empty-iff*: $\text{Union}(A) = 0 \iff (\forall B \in A. B = 0)$

<proof>

lemma *Int-Union2*: $\text{Union}(B) \text{ Int } A = (\bigcup C \in B. C \text{ Int } A)$

<proof>

lemma *Inter-subset-iff*: $A \neq 0 \implies C \subseteq \text{Inter}(A) \iff (\forall x \in A. C \subseteq x)$

<proof>

lemma *Inter-lower*: $B \in A \implies \text{Inter}(A) \subseteq B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-greatest*: $[| A \neq 0; \forall x. x \in A \implies C \subseteq x |] \implies C \subseteq \text{Inter}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-lower*: $x \in A \implies (\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x)) \subseteq B(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-greatest*: $[| A \neq 0; \forall x. x \in A \implies C \subseteq B(x) |] \implies C \subseteq (\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-0 [simp]*: $\text{Inter}(0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-Un-subset*:
 $[| z \in A; z \in B |] \implies \text{Inter}(A) \text{ Un } \text{Inter}(B) \subseteq \text{Inter}(A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-Un-distrib*:
 $[| A \neq 0; B \neq 0 |] \implies \text{Inter}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{Inter}(A) \text{ Int } \text{Inter}(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-singleton*: $\text{Union}(\{b\}) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-singleton*: $\text{Inter}(\{b\}) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-cons [simp]*:
 $\text{Inter}(\text{cons}(a, B)) = (\text{if } B = 0 \text{ then } a \text{ else } a \text{ Int } \text{Inter}(B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.8 Unions and Intersections of Families

lemma *subset-UN-iff-eq*: $A \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \in I} B(i)) \iff A = (\bigcup_{i \in I} A \text{ Int } B(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-subset-iff*: $(\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x)) \subseteq C \iff (\forall x \in A. B(x) \subseteq C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-upper*: $x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-least*: $[| \forall x. x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq C |] \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x)) \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-eq-UN*: $\text{Union}(A) = (\bigcup x \in A. x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inter-eq-INT*: $\text{Inter}(A) = (\bigcap x \in A. x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-0 [simp]*: $(\bigcup i \in 0. A(i)) = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-singleton*: $(\bigcup x \in A. \{x\}) = A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-Un*: $(\bigcup i \in A. \text{Un } B. C(i)) = (\bigcup i \in A. C(i)) \text{ Un } (\bigcup i \in B. C(i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-Un*: $(\bigcap i \in I. \text{Un } J. A(i)) =$
 (if $I=0$ *then* $\bigcap j \in J. A(j)$
 else if $J=0$ *then* $\bigcap i \in I. A(i)$
 else $((\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Int } (\bigcap j \in J. A(j)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-UN-flatten*: $(\bigcup x \in (\bigcup y \in A. B(y)). C(x)) = (\bigcup y \in A. \bigcup x \in B(y). C(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-UN-distrib*: $B \text{ Int } (\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. B \text{ Int } A(i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-INT-distrib*: $I \neq 0 \implies B \text{ Un } (\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. B \text{ Un } A(i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-UN-distrib2*:

$(\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Int } (\bigcup j \in J. B(j)) = (\bigcup i \in I. \bigcup j \in J. A(i) \text{ Int } B(j))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-INT-distrib2*: $[I \neq 0; J \neq 0] \implies$

$(\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Un } (\bigcap j \in J. B(j)) = (\bigcap i \in I. \bigcap j \in J. A(i) \text{ Un } B(j))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-constant [simp]*: $(\bigcup y \in A. c) = (\text{if } A=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } c)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-constant [simp]*: $(\bigcap y \in A. c) = (\text{if } A=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } c)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-RepFun [simp]*: $(\bigcup y \in \text{RepFun}(A, f). B(y)) = (\bigcup x \in A. B(f(x)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-RepFun [simp]*: $(\bigcap x \in \text{RepFun}(A, f). B(x)) = (\bigcap a \in A. B(f(a)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-Union-eq*:
 $0 \sim: A \implies (\bigcap x \in \text{Union}(A). B(x)) = (\bigcap y \in A. \bigcap x \in y. B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-UN-eq*:
 $(\forall x \in A. B(x) \sim= 0)$
 $\implies (\bigcap z \in (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). C(z)) = (\bigcap x \in A. \bigcap z \in B(x). C(z))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-Un-distrib*:
 $(\bigcup i \in I. A(i) \text{ Un } B(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Un } (\bigcup i \in I. B(i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-Int-distrib*:
 $I \neq 0 \implies (\bigcap i \in I. A(i) \text{ Int } B(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Int } (\bigcap i \in I. B(i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-Int-subset*:
 $(\bigcup z \in I \text{ Int } J. A(z)) \subseteq (\bigcup z \in I. A(z)) \text{ Int } (\bigcup z \in J. A(z))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff-UN*: $I \neq 0 \implies B - (\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. B - A(i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff-INT*: $I \neq 0 \implies B - (\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. B - A(i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-cons1*: $\text{Sigma}(\text{cons}(a, B), C) = (\{a\} * C(a)) \text{ Un } \text{Sigma}(B, C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-cons2*: $A * \text{cons}(b, B) = A * \{b\} \text{ Un } A * B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-succ1*: $\text{Sigma}(\text{succ}(A), B) = (\{A\} * B(A)) \text{ Un } \text{Sigma}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-succ2*: $A * \text{succ}(B) = A * \{B\} \text{ Un } A * B$

<proof>

lemma *SUM-UN-distrib1*:

$$(\Sigma x \in (\bigcup y \in A. C(y)). B(x)) = (\bigcup y \in A. \Sigma x \in C(y). B(x))$$

<proof>

lemma *SUM-UN-distrib2*:

$$(\Sigma i \in I. \bigcup j \in J. C(i, j)) = (\bigcup j \in J. \Sigma i \in I. C(i, j))$$

<proof>

lemma *SUM-Un-distrib1*:

$$(\Sigma i \in I \text{ Un } J. C(i)) = (\Sigma i \in I. C(i)) \text{ Un } (\Sigma j \in J. C(j))$$

<proof>

lemma *SUM-Un-distrib2*:

$$(\Sigma i \in I. A(i) \text{ Un } B(i)) = (\Sigma i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Un } (\Sigma i \in I. B(i))$$

<proof>

lemma *prod-Un-distrib2*: $I * (A \text{ Un } B) = I * A \text{ Un } I * B$

<proof>

lemma *SUM-Int-distrib1*:

$$(\Sigma i \in I \text{ Int } J. C(i)) = (\Sigma i \in I. C(i)) \text{ Int } (\Sigma j \in J. C(j))$$

<proof>

lemma *SUM-Int-distrib2*:

$$(\Sigma i \in I. A(i) \text{ Int } B(i)) = (\Sigma i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Int } (\Sigma i \in I. B(i))$$

<proof>

lemma *prod-Int-distrib2*: $I * (A \text{ Int } B) = I * A \text{ Int } I * B$

<proof>

lemma *SUM-eq-UN*: $(\Sigma i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. \{i\} * A(i))$

<proof>

lemma *times-subset-iff*:

$$(A' * B' \subseteq A * B) \iff (A' = 0 \mid B' = 0 \mid (A' \subseteq A) \ \& \ (B' \subseteq B))$$

<proof>

lemma *Int-Sigma-eq*:

$$(\Sigma x \in A'. B'(x)) \text{ Int } (\Sigma x \in A. B(x)) = (\Sigma x \in A' \text{ Int } A. B'(x)) \text{ Int } B(x)$$

<proof>

lemma *domain-iff*: $a: \text{domain}(r) \leftrightarrow (EX\ y. \langle a, y \rangle \in r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domainI* [*intro*]: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies a: \text{domain}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domainE* [*elim!*]:
 $[\mid a \in \text{domain}(r); \ !y. \langle a, y \rangle \in r \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-subset*: $\text{domain}(\text{Sigma}(A, B)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-of-prod*: $b \in B \implies \text{domain}(A * B) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-0* [*simp*]: $\text{domain}(0) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-cons* [*simp*]: $\text{domain}(\text{cons}(\langle a, b \rangle, r)) = \text{cons}(a, \text{domain}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-Un-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{domain}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{domain}(A) \text{ Un } \text{domain}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-Int-subset*: $\text{domain}(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq \text{domain}(A) \text{ Int } \text{domain}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-Diff-subset*: $\text{domain}(A) - \text{domain}(B) \subseteq \text{domain}(A - B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-UN*: $\text{domain}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{domain}(B(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-Union*: $\text{domain}(\text{Union}(A)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{domain}(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rangeI* [*intro*]: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies b \in \text{range}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rangeE* [*elim!*]: $[\mid b \in \text{range}(r); \ !x. \langle x, b \rangle \in r \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-subset*: $\text{range}(A * B) \subseteq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-of-prod*: $a \in A \implies \text{range}(A * B) = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-0* [*simp*]: $\text{range}(0) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-cons* [*simp*]: $\text{range}(\text{cons}(\langle a, b \rangle, r)) = \text{cons}(b, \text{range}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-Un-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{range}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{range}(A) \text{ Un } \text{range}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-Int-subset*: $\text{range}(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq \text{range}(A) \text{ Int } \text{range}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-Diff-subset*: $\text{range}(A) - \text{range}(B) \subseteq \text{range}(A - B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-converse* [*simp*]: $\text{domain}(\text{converse}(r)) = \text{range}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-converse* [*simp*]: $\text{range}(\text{converse}(r)) = \text{domain}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fieldI1*: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies a \in \text{field}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fieldI2*: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies b \in \text{field}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fieldCI* [*intro*]:
 $(\sim \langle c, a \rangle \in r \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in r) \implies a \in \text{field}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fieldE* [*elim!*]:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{field}(r);$
 $\quad !!x. \langle a, x \rangle \in r \implies P;$
 $\quad !!x. \langle x, a \rangle \in r \implies P \quad \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *field-subset*: $\text{field}(A * B) \subseteq A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-subset-field*: $\text{domain}(r) \subseteq \text{field}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-subset-field*: $\text{range}(r) \subseteq \text{field}(r)$

<proof>

lemma *domain-times-range*: $r \subseteq \text{Sigma}(A, B) \implies r \subseteq \text{domain}(r) * \text{range}(r)$

<proof>

lemma *field-times-field*: $r \subseteq \text{Sigma}(A, B) \implies r \subseteq \text{field}(r) * \text{field}(r)$

<proof>

lemma *relation-field-times-field*: $\text{relation}(r) \implies r \subseteq \text{field}(r) * \text{field}(r)$

<proof>

lemma *field-of-prod*: $\text{field}(A * A) = A$

<proof>

lemma *field-0* [simp]: $\text{field}(0) = 0$

<proof>

lemma *field-cons* [simp]: $\text{field}(\text{cons}(<a, b>, r)) = \text{cons}(a, \text{cons}(b, \text{field}(r)))$

<proof>

lemma *field-Un-eq* [simp]: $\text{field}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{field}(A) \text{ Un } \text{field}(B)$

<proof>

lemma *field-Int-subset*: $\text{field}(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq \text{field}(A) \text{ Int } \text{field}(B)$

<proof>

lemma *field-Diff-subset*: $\text{field}(A) - \text{field}(B) \subseteq \text{field}(A - B)$

<proof>

lemma *field-converse* [simp]: $\text{field}(\text{converse}(r)) = \text{field}(r)$

<proof>

lemma *rel-Union*: $(\forall x \in S. \exists X A B. x \subseteq A * B) \implies$

$\text{Union}(S) \subseteq \text{domain}(\text{Union}(S)) * \text{range}(\text{Union}(S))$

<proof>

lemma *rel-Un*: $[[r \subseteq A * B; s \subseteq C * D]] \implies (r \text{ Un } s) \subseteq (A \text{ Un } C) * (B \text{ Un } D)$

<proof>

lemma *domain-Diff-eq*: $[[<a, c> \in r; c \sim b]] \implies \text{domain}(r - \{<a, b>\}) = \text{domain}(r)$

<proof>

lemma *range-Diff-eq*: $[[<c, b> \in r; c \sim a]] \implies \text{range}(r - \{<a, b>\}) = \text{range}(r)$

<proof>

4.9 Image of a Set under a Function or Relation

lemma *image-iff*: $b \in r''A \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. \langle x, b \rangle \in r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-singleton-iff*: $b \in r''\{a\} \leftrightarrow \langle a, b \rangle \in r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imageI* [intro]: $[\langle a, b \rangle \in r; a \in A] \implies b \in r''A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imageE* [elim!]:
 $[\langle b; r''A; !!x. [\langle x, b \rangle \in r; x \in A] \implies P \rangle] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-subset*: $r \subseteq A * B \implies r''C \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-0* [simp]: $r''0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Un* [simp]: $r''(A \text{ Un } B) = (r''A) \text{ Un } (r''B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-UN*: $r''(\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcup x \in A. r''B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-image-eq*:
 $\{z \in \text{Sigma}(A, B). P(z)\}''C = (\bigcup x \in A. \{y \in B(x). x \in C \ \& \ P(\langle x, y \rangle)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Int-subset*: $r''(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq (r''A) \text{ Int } (r''B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Int-square-subset*: $(r \text{ Int } A * A)''B \subseteq (r''B) \text{ Int } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Int-square*: $B \subseteq A \implies (r \text{ Int } A * A)''B = (r''B) \text{ Int } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-0-left* [simp]: $0''A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Un-left*: $(r \text{ Un } s)''A = (r''A) \text{ Un } (s''A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Int-subset-left*: $(r \text{ Int } s)''A \subseteq (r''A) \text{ Int } (s''A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.10 Inverse Image of a Set under a Function or Relation

lemma *vimage-iff*:

$$a \in r-{}^{\text{``}}B \text{ } \leftrightarrow (\exists y \in B. \langle a, y \rangle \in r)$$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-singleton-iff*: $a \in r-{}^{\text{``}}\{b\} \text{ } \leftrightarrow \langle a, b \rangle \in r$

<proof>

lemma *vimageI* [*intro*]: $[\langle a, b \rangle \in r; b \in B] \implies a \in r-{}^{\text{``}}B$

<proof>

lemma *vimageE* [*elim!*]:

$$[a: r-{}^{\text{``}}B; !!x. [\langle a, x \rangle \in r; x \in B] \implies P] \implies P$$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-subset*: $r \subseteq A * B \implies r-{}^{\text{``}}C \subseteq A$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-0* [*simp*]: $r-{}^{\text{``}}0 = 0$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-Un* [*simp*]: $r-{}^{\text{``}}(A \text{ } Un \text{ } B) = (r-{}^{\text{``}}A) \text{ } Un \text{ } (r-{}^{\text{``}}B)$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-Int-subset*: $r-{}^{\text{``}}(A \text{ } Int \text{ } B) \subseteq (r-{}^{\text{``}}A) \text{ } Int \text{ } (r-{}^{\text{``}}B)$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-eq-UN*: $f-{}^{\text{``}}B = (\bigcup y \in B. f-{}^{\text{``}}\{y\})$

<proof>

lemma *function-vimage-Int*:

$$function(f) \implies f-{}^{\text{``}}(A \text{ } Int \text{ } B) = (f-{}^{\text{``}}A) \text{ } Int \text{ } (f-{}^{\text{``}}B)$$

<proof>

lemma *function-vimage-Diff*: $function(f) \implies f-{}^{\text{``}}(A - B) = (f-{}^{\text{``}}A) - (f-{}^{\text{``}}B)$

<proof>

lemma *function-image-vimage*: $function(f) \implies f-{}^{\text{``}}(f-{}^{\text{``}}A) \subseteq A$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-Int-square-subset*: $(r \text{ } Int \text{ } A * A)-{}^{\text{``}}B \subseteq (r-{}^{\text{``}}B) \text{ } Int \text{ } A$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-Int-square*: $B \subseteq A \implies (r \text{ } Int \text{ } A * A)-{}^{\text{``}}B = (r-{}^{\text{``}}B) \text{ } Int \text{ } A$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-0-left* [simp]: $0 - ``A = 0$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-Un-left*: $(r \text{ Un } s) - ``A = (r - ``A) \text{ Un } (s - ``A)$

<proof>

lemma *vimage-Int-subset-left*: $(r \text{ Int } s) - ``A \subseteq (r - ``A) \text{ Int } (s - ``A)$

<proof>

lemma *converse-Un* [simp]: $\text{converse}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{converse}(A) \text{ Un } \text{converse}(B)$

<proof>

lemma *converse-Int* [simp]: $\text{converse}(A \text{ Int } B) = \text{converse}(A) \text{ Int } \text{converse}(B)$

<proof>

lemma *converse-Diff* [simp]: $\text{converse}(A - B) = \text{converse}(A) - \text{converse}(B)$

<proof>

lemma *converse-UN* [simp]: $\text{converse}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{converse}(B(x)))$

<proof>

lemma *converse-INT* [simp]:

$$\text{converse}(\bigcap x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcap x \in A. \text{converse}(B(x)))$$

<proof>

4.11 Powerset Operator

lemma *Pow-0* [simp]: $\text{Pow}(0) = \{0\}$

<proof>

lemma *Pow-insert*: $\text{Pow}(\text{cons}(a, A)) = \text{Pow}(A) \text{ Un } \{\text{cons}(a, X) \mid X: \text{Pow}(A)\}$

<proof>

lemma *Un-Pow-subset*: $\text{Pow}(A) \text{ Un } \text{Pow}(B) \subseteq \text{Pow}(A \text{ Un } B)$

<proof>

lemma *UN-Pow-subset*: $(\bigcup x \in A. \text{Pow}(B(x))) \subseteq \text{Pow}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x))$

<proof>

lemma *subset-Pow-Union*: $A \subseteq \text{Pow}(\text{Union}(A))$

<proof>

lemma *Union-Pow-eq* [simp]: $\text{Union}(\text{Pow}(A)) = A$

<proof>

lemma *Union-Pow-iff*: $\text{Union}(A) \in \text{Pow}(B) \leftrightarrow A \in \text{Pow}(\text{Pow}(B))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-Int-eq* [simp]: $\text{Pow}(A \text{ Int } B) = \text{Pow}(A) \text{ Int } \text{Pow}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-INT-eq*: $A \neq 0 \implies \text{Pow}(\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x)) = (\bigcap_{x \in A} \text{Pow}(B(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.12 RepFun

lemma *RepFun-subset*: $[\![\forall x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in B]\!] \implies \{f(x). x \in A\} \subseteq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-eq-0-iff* [simp]: $\{f(x). x \in A\} = 0 \leftrightarrow A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-constant* [simp]: $\{c. x \in A\} = (\text{if } A = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \{c\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.13 Collect

lemma *Collect-subset*: $\text{Collect}(A, P) \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Un*: $\text{Collect}(A \text{ Un } B, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P) \text{ Un } \text{Collect}(B, P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Int*: $\text{Collect}(A \text{ Int } B, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P) \text{ Int } \text{Collect}(B, P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Diff*: $\text{Collect}(A - B, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P) - \text{Collect}(B, P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-cons*: $\{x \in \text{cons}(a, B). P(x)\} =$
 $(\text{if } P(a) \text{ then } \text{cons}(a, \{x \in B. P(x)\}) \text{ else } \{x \in B. P(x)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-Collect-self-eq*: $A \text{ Int } \text{Collect}(A, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Collect-eq* [simp]:
 $\text{Collect}(\text{Collect}(A, P), Q) = \text{Collect}(A, \%x. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Int-Collect-eq*:
 $\text{Collect}(A, P) \text{ Int } \text{Collect}(A, Q) = \text{Collect}(A, \%x. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Union-eq* [simp]:

$\text{Collect}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x), P) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{Collect}(B(x), P))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Int-left*: $\{x \in A. P(x)\} \text{ Int } B = \{x \in A \text{ Int } B. P(x)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Int-right*: $A \text{ Int } \{x \in B. P(x)\} = \{x \in A \text{ Int } B. P(x)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-disj-eq*: $\{x \in A. P(x) \mid Q(x)\} = \text{Collect}(A, P) \text{ Un } \text{Collect}(A, Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-conj-eq*: $\{x \in A. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x)\} = \text{Collect}(A, P) \text{ Int } \text{Collect}(A, Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *subset-SIs* = *subset-refl cons-subsetI subset-consI*
Union-least UN-least Un-least
Inter-greatest Int-greatest RepFun-subset
Un-upper1 Un-upper2 Int-lower1 Int-lower2

$\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

end

5 Least and Greatest Fixed Points; the Knaster-Tarski Theorem

theory *Fixedpt* **imports** *equalities* **begin**

constdefs

bnd-mono :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o$
 $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) == h(D) \leq D \ \& \ (\text{ALL } W \ X. W \leq X \ \longrightarrow X \leq D \ \longrightarrow h(W) \leq h(X))$

lfp :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$
 $\text{lfp}(D, h) == \text{Inter}(\{X: \text{Pow}(D). h(X) \leq X\})$

gfp :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$
 $\text{gfp}(D, h) == \text{Union}(\{X: \text{Pow}(D). X \leq h(X)\})$

The theorem is proved in the lattice of subsets of D , namely $\text{Pow}(D)$, with Inter as the greatest lower bound.

5.1 Monotone Operators

lemma *bnd-monoI*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket h(D) \leq D; \\ & \quad !! W X. \llbracket W \leq D; X \leq D; W \leq X \rrbracket \implies h(W) \leq h(X) \\ & \rrbracket \implies \text{bnd-mono}(D, h) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bnd-monoD1*: $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) \implies h(D) \leq D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bnd-monoD2*: $\llbracket \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); W \leq X; X \leq D \rrbracket \implies h(W) \leq h(X)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bnd-mono-subset*:

$$\llbracket \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); X \leq D \rrbracket \implies h(X) \leq D$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bnd-mono-Un*:

$$\llbracket \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); A \leq D; B \leq D \rrbracket \implies h(A) \text{ Un } h(B) \leq h(A \text{ Un } B)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bnd-mono-UN*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); \forall i \in I. A(i) \leq D \rrbracket \\ & \implies (\bigcup i \in I. h(A(i))) \leq h(\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bnd-mono-Int*:

$$\llbracket \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); A \leq D; B \leq D \rrbracket \implies h(A \text{ Int } B) \leq h(A) \text{ Int } h(B)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.2 Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using *lfp*

lemma *lfp-lowerbound*:

$$\llbracket h(A) \leq A; A \leq D \rrbracket \implies \text{lfp}(D, h) \leq A$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-subset*: $\text{lfp}(D, h) \leq D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-lfp-subset*: $A == \text{lfp}(D, h) \implies A \leq D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-greatest*:

$$\llbracket h(D) \leq D; !! X. \llbracket h(X) \leq X; X \leq D \rrbracket \implies A \leq X \rrbracket \implies A \leq$$

$lfp(D,h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfp-lemma1*:

$\llbracket bnd\text{-}mono(D,h); h(A) \leq A; A \leq D \rrbracket \implies h(lfp(D,h)) \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfp-lemma2*: $bnd\text{-}mono(D,h) \implies h(lfp(D,h)) \leq lfp(D,h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfp-lemma3*:

$bnd\text{-}mono(D,h) \implies lfp(D,h) \leq h(lfp(D,h))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfp-unfold*: $bnd\text{-}mono(D,h) \implies lfp(D,h) = h(lfp(D,h))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def-lfp-unfold*:

$\llbracket A = lfp(D,h); bnd\text{-}mono(D,h) \rrbracket \implies A = h(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3 General Induction Rule for Least Fixedpoints

lemma *Collect-is-pre-fixedpt*:

$\llbracket bnd\text{-}mono(D,h); \forall x. x : h(Collect(lfp(D,h),P)) \implies P(x) \rrbracket$
 $\implies h(Collect(lfp(D,h),P)) \leq Collect(lfp(D,h),P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *induct*:

$\llbracket bnd\text{-}mono(D,h); a : lfp(D,h);$
 $\quad \forall x. x : h(Collect(lfp(D,h),P)) \implies P(x)$
 $\rrbracket \implies P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def-induct*:

$\llbracket A = lfp(D,h); bnd\text{-}mono(D,h); a:A;$
 $\quad \forall x. x : h(Collect(A,P)) \implies P(x)$
 $\rrbracket \implies P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfp-Int-lowerbound*:

$\llbracket h(D \text{ Int } A) \leq A; bnd\text{-}mono(D,h) \rrbracket \implies lfp(D,h) \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfp-mono*:
 assumes *hmono*: *bnd-mono*(*D*,*h*)
 and *imono*: *bnd-mono*(*E*,*i*)
 and *subhi*: $\forall X. X \leq D \implies h(X) \leq i(X)$
 shows $\text{lfp}(D, h) \leq \text{lfp}(E, i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-mono2*:
 $\llbracket i(D) \leq D; \forall X. X \leq D \implies h(X) \leq i(X) \rrbracket \implies \text{lfp}(D, h) \leq \text{lfp}(D, i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-cong*:
 $\llbracket D = D'; \forall X. X \leq D' \implies h(X) = h'(X) \rrbracket \implies \text{lfp}(D, h) = \text{lfp}(D', h')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4 Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using *gfp*

lemma *gfp-upperbound*: $\llbracket A \leq h(A); A \leq D \rrbracket \implies A \leq \text{gfp}(D, h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-subset*: $\text{gfp}(D, h) \leq D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-gfp-subset*: $A = \text{gfp}(D, h) \implies A \leq D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-least*:
 $\llbracket \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); \forall X. \llbracket X \leq h(X); X \leq D \rrbracket \implies X \leq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{gfp}(D, h) \leq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-lemma1*:
 $\llbracket \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); A \leq h(A); A \leq D \rrbracket \implies A \leq h(\text{gfp}(D, h))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-lemma2*: $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) \implies \text{gfp}(D, h) \leq h(\text{gfp}(D, h))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-lemma3*:
 $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) \implies h(\text{gfp}(D, h)) \leq \text{gfp}(D, h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-unfold*: $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) \implies \text{gfp}(D, h) = h(\text{gfp}(D, h))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-gfp-unfold*:

$$\llbracket A == \text{gfp}(D, h); \text{ bnd-mono}(D, h) \rrbracket ==> A = h(A)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.5 Coinduction Rules for Greatest Fixed Points

lemma *weak-coinduct*: $\llbracket a : X; X \leq h(X); X \leq D \rrbracket ==> a : \text{gfp}(D, h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coinduct-lemma*:

$$\llbracket X \leq h(X \text{ Un } \text{gfp}(D, h)); X \leq D; \text{ bnd-mono}(D, h) \rrbracket ==>$$

$$X \text{ Un } \text{gfp}(D, h) \leq h(X \text{ Un } \text{gfp}(D, h))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coinduct*:

$$\llbracket \text{ bnd-mono}(D, h); a : X; X \leq h(X \text{ Un } \text{gfp}(D, h)); X \leq D \rrbracket$$

$$==> a : \text{gfp}(D, h)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-coinduct*:

$$\llbracket A == \text{gfp}(D, h); \text{ bnd-mono}(D, h); a : X; X \leq h(X \text{ Un } A); X \leq D \rrbracket$$

$$==>$$

$$a : A$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-Collect-coinduct*:

$$\llbracket A == \text{gfp}(D, \%w. \text{Collect}(D, P(w))); \text{ bnd-mono}(D, \%w. \text{Collect}(D, P(w)));$$

$$a : X; X \leq D; !!z. z : X ==> P(X \text{ Un } A, z) \rrbracket ==>$$

$$a : A$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-mono*:

$$\llbracket \text{ bnd-mono}(D, h); D \leq E;$$

$$!!X. X \leq D ==> h(X) \leq i(X) \rrbracket ==> \text{gfp}(D, h) \leq \text{gfp}(E, i)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
 $\langle ML \rangle$

end

6 Booleans in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory

theory *Bool* **imports** *pair* **begin**

syntax

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1 & :: i & (1) \\ 2 & :: i & (2) \end{array}$$
translations

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1 & == succ(0) \\ 2 & == succ(1) \end{array}$$

2 is equal to bool, but is used as a number rather than a type.

constdefs

$$\begin{array}{ll} bool & :: i \\ bool & == \{0,1\} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} cond & :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \\ cond(b,c,d) & == if(b=1,c,d) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} not & :: i \Rightarrow i \\ not(b) & == cond(b,0,1) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} and & :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \quad (\text{infixl and } 70) \\ a \text{ and } b & == cond(a,b,0) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} or & :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \quad (\text{infixl or } 65) \\ a \text{ or } b & == cond(a,1,b) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} xor & :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \quad (\text{infixl xor } 65) \\ a \text{ xor } b & == cond(a,not(b),b) \end{array}$$

lemmas *bool-defs* = *bool-def cond-def*

lemma *singleton-0*: $\{0\} = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bool-1I* [*simp*,*TC*]: $1 : bool$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bool-0I* [*simp*,*TC*]: $0 : bool$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *one-not-0*: $1 \sim 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *one-neq-0* = *one-not-0* [*THEN notE*, *standard*]

lemma *boolE*:

$\llbracket c : \text{bool}; \ c=1 \implies P; \ c=0 \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
<proof>

lemma *cond-1* [*simp*]: $\text{cond}(1, c, d) = c$
<proof>

lemma *cond-0* [*simp*]: $\text{cond}(0, c, d) = d$
<proof>

lemma *cond-type* [*TC*]: $\llbracket b : \text{bool}; \ c : A(1); \ d : A(0) \rrbracket \implies \text{cond}(b, c, d) : A(b)$
<proof>

lemma *cond-simple-type*: $\llbracket b : \text{bool}; \ c : A; \ d : A \rrbracket \implies \text{cond}(b, c, d) : A$
<proof>

lemma *def-cond-1*: $\llbracket !!b. \ j(b) == \text{cond}(b, c, d) \rrbracket \implies j(1) = c$
<proof>

lemma *def-cond-0*: $\llbracket !!b. \ j(b) == \text{cond}(b, c, d) \rrbracket \implies j(0) = d$
<proof>

lemmas *not-1* = *not-def* [*THEN* *def-cond-1*, *standard*, *simp*]
lemmas *not-0* = *not-def* [*THEN* *def-cond-0*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemmas *and-1* = *and-def* [*THEN* *def-cond-1*, *standard*, *simp*]
lemmas *and-0* = *and-def* [*THEN* *def-cond-0*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemmas *or-1* = *or-def* [*THEN* *def-cond-1*, *standard*, *simp*]
lemmas *or-0* = *or-def* [*THEN* *def-cond-0*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemmas *xor-1* = *xor-def* [*THEN* *def-cond-1*, *standard*, *simp*]
lemmas *xor-0* = *xor-def* [*THEN* *def-cond-0*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemma *not-type* [*TC*]: $a : \text{bool} \implies \text{not}(a) : \text{bool}$
<proof>

lemma *and-type* [*TC*]: $\llbracket a : \text{bool}; \ b : \text{bool} \rrbracket \implies a \text{ and } b : \text{bool}$
<proof>

lemma *or-type* [*TC*]: $\llbracket a : \text{bool}; \ b : \text{bool} \rrbracket \implies a \text{ or } b : \text{bool}$
<proof>

lemma *xor-type* [*TC*]: $\llbracket a : \text{bool}; \ b : \text{bool} \rrbracket \implies a \text{ xor } b : \text{bool}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bool-typechecks = bool-1I bool-0I cond-type not-type and-type
or-type xor-type*

6.1 Laws About 'not'

lemma *not-not* [simp]: $a:bool \implies not(not(a)) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-and* [simp]: $a:bool \implies not(a \text{ and } b) = not(a) \text{ or } not(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-or* [simp]: $a:bool \implies not(a \text{ or } b) = not(a) \text{ and } not(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2 Laws About 'and'

lemma *and-absorb* [simp]: $a: bool \implies a \text{ and } a = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *and-commute*: $[| a: bool; b:bool |] \implies a \text{ and } b = b \text{ and } a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *and-assoc*: $a: bool \implies (a \text{ and } b) \text{ and } c = a \text{ and } (b \text{ and } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *and-or-distrib*: $[| a: bool; b:bool; c:bool |] \implies$
 $(a \text{ or } b) \text{ and } c = (a \text{ and } c) \text{ or } (b \text{ and } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3 Laws About 'or'

lemma *or-absorb* [simp]: $a: bool \implies a \text{ or } a = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *or-commute*: $[| a: bool; b:bool |] \implies a \text{ or } b = b \text{ or } a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *or-assoc*: $a: bool \implies (a \text{ or } b) \text{ or } c = a \text{ or } (b \text{ or } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *or-and-distrib*: $[| a: bool; b: bool; c: bool |] \implies$
 $(a \text{ and } b) \text{ or } c = (a \text{ or } c) \text{ and } (b \text{ or } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

constdefs *bool-of-o* :: $o \implies i$
 $bool-of-o(P) == (if P then 1 else 0)$

```

lemma [simp]: bool-of-o(True) = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]: bool-of-o(False) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp,TC]: bool-of-o(P) ∈ bool
⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]: (bool-of-o(P) = 1) <-> P
⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]: (bool-of-o(P) = 0) <-> ~P
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

end

```

7 Disjoint Sums

theory *Sum* **imports** *Bool equalities* **begin**

And the "Part" primitive for simultaneous recursive type definitions

global

```

constdefs
  sum      :: [i,i] => i                                (infixr + 65)
  A+B == {0}*A Un {1}*B

  Inl      :: i => i
  Inl(a) == <0,a>

  Inr      :: i => i
  Inr(b) == <1,b>

  case :: [i => i, i => i, i] => i
  case(c,d) == (%<y,z>. cond(y, d(z), c(z)))

  Part     :: [i,i => i] => i
  Part(A,h) == {x: A. EX z. x = h(z)}

```

local

7.1 Rules for the *Part* Primitive

lemma *Part-iff*:

$a : \text{Part}(A, h) \leftrightarrow a : A \ \& \ (\text{EX } y. a = h(y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Part-eqI* [*intro*]:
 $[[a : A; a = h(b)]] \implies a : \text{Part}(A, h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *PartI* = *refl* [*THEN* [2] *Part-eqI*]

lemma *PartE* [*elim!*]:
 $[[a : \text{Part}(A, h); !!z. [[a : A; a = h(z)]] \implies P]]$
 $[[]] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Part-subset*: $\text{Part}(A, h) \leq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.2 Rules for Disjoint Sums

lemmas *sum-defs* = *sum-def Inl-def Inr-def case-def*

lemma *Sigma-bool*: $\text{Sigma}(\text{bool}, C) = C(0) + C(1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InlI* [*intro!*, *simp*, *TC*]: $a : A \implies \text{Inl}(a) : A + B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InrI* [*intro!*, *simp*, *TC*]: $b : B \implies \text{Inr}(b) : A + B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sumE* [*elim!*]:
 $[[u : A + B;$
 $!!x. [[x : A; u = \text{Inl}(x)]] \implies P;$
 $!!y. [[y : B; u = \text{Inr}(y)]] \implies P]]$
 $[[]] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inl-iff* [*iff*]: $\text{Inl}(a) = \text{Inl}(b) \leftrightarrow a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inr-iff* [*iff*]: $\text{Inr}(a) = \text{Inr}(b) \leftrightarrow a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inl-Inr-iff* [simp]: $\text{Inl}(a) = \text{Inr}(b) \leftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inr-Inl-iff* [simp]: $\text{Inr}(b) = \text{Inl}(a) \leftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-empty* [simp]: $0 + 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Inl-inject* = *Inl-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, *standard*]
lemmas *Inr-inject* = *Inr-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, *standard*]
lemmas *Inl-neq-Inr* = *Inl-Inr-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *FalseE*, *elim!*]
lemmas *Inr-neq-Inl* = *Inr-Inl-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *FalseE*, *elim!*]

lemma *InlD*: $\text{Inl}(a): A + B \implies a: A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InrD*: $\text{Inr}(b): A + B \implies b: B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-iff*: $u: A + B \leftrightarrow (EX\ x. x:A \ \& \ u = \text{Inl}(x)) \mid (EX\ y. y:B \ \& \ u = \text{Inr}(y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inl-in-sum-iff* [simp]: $(\text{Inl}(x) \in A + B) \leftrightarrow (x \in A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inr-in-sum-iff* [simp]: $(\text{Inr}(y) \in A + B) \leftrightarrow (y \in B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-subset-iff*: $A + B \leq C + D \leftrightarrow A \leq C \ \& \ B \leq D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-equal-iff*: $A + B = C + D \leftrightarrow A = C \ \& \ B = D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-eq-2-times*: $A + A = 2 * A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.3 The Eliminator: *case*

lemma *case-Inl* [simp]: $\text{case}(c, d, \text{Inl}(a)) = c(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *case-Inr* [simp]: $\text{case}(c, d, \text{Inr}(b)) = d(b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *case-type* [TC]:

[[$u: A+B$;
 $!!x. x: A \implies c(x): C(Inl(x))$;
 $!!y. y: B \implies d(y): C(Inr(y))$
 $]] \implies case(c,d,u) : C(u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *expand-case*: $u: A+B \implies$

$R(case(c,d,u)) <->$
 $((ALL\ x:A. u = Inl(x) \dashrightarrow R(c(x))) \ \&$
 $(ALL\ y:B. u = Inr(y) \dashrightarrow R(d(y))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case-cong*:

[[$z: A+B$;
 $!!x. x:A \implies c(x)=c'(x)$;
 $!!y. y:B \implies d(y)=d'(y)$
 $]] \implies case(c,d,z) = case(c',d',z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case-case*: $z: A+B \implies$

$case(c, d, case(\%x. Inl(c'(x)), \%y. Inr(d'(y)), z)) =$
 $case(\%x. c(c'(x)), \%y. d(d'(y)), z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.4 More Rules for $Part(A, h)$

lemma *Part-mono*: $A \leq B \implies Part(A,h) \leq Part(B,h)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-Collect*: $Part(Collect(A,P), h) = Collect(Part(A,h), P)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Part-CollectE* =

Part-Collect [THEN equalityD1, THEN subsetD, THEN CollectE, standard]

lemma *Part-Inl*: $Part(A+B, Inl) = \{Inl(x). x: A\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-Inr*: $Part(A+B, Inr) = \{Inr(y). y: B\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PartD1*: $a : Part(A,h) \implies a : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-id*: $Part(A, \%x. x) = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-Inr2*: $Part(A+B, \%x. Inr(h(x))) = \{Inr(y). y: Part(B,h)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-sum-equality*: $C \leq A+B \implies Part(C,Inl) \cup Part(C,Inr) = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

8 Functions, Function Spaces, Lambda-Abstraction

theory *func* **imports** *equalities Sum* **begin**

8.1 The Pi Operator: Dependent Function Space

lemma *subset-Sigma-imp-relation*: $r \leq Sigma(A,B) \implies relation(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-converse-converse* [*simp*]:
 $relation(r) \implies converse(converse(r)) = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-restrict* [*simp*]: $relation(restrict(r,A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-iff*:
 $f: Pi(A,B) \iff function(f) \ \& \ f \leq Sigma(A,B) \ \& \ A \leq domain(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-iff-old*:
 $f: Pi(A,B) \iff f \leq Sigma(A,B) \ \& \ (\forall x:A. \exists y. \langle x,y \rangle : f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-is-function*: $f: Pi(A,B) \implies function(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *function-imp-Pi*:
 $[\![function(f); relation(f)]\!] \implies f \in domain(f) \rightarrow range(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *functionI*:
 $[\![\forall x y y'. [\![\langle x,y \rangle : r; \langle x,y' \rangle : r]\!] \implies y=y']\!] \implies function(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-is-rel*: $f: Pi(A,B) ==> f <= Sigma(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-cong*:
 $[[A=A'; \quad !!x. x:A' ==> B(x)=B'(x)]] ==> Pi(A,B) = Pi(A',B')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-weaken-type*: $[[f: A->B; \quad B<=D]] ==> f: A->D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2 Function Application

lemma *apply-equality2*: $[[<a,b>: f; \quad <a,c>: f; \quad f: Pi(A,B)]] ==> b=c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *function-apply-equality*: $[[<a,b>: f; \quad function(f)]] ==> f'a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-equality*: $[[<a,b>: f; \quad f: Pi(A,B)]] ==> f'a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-0*: $a \sim: domain(f) ==> f'a = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-memberD*: $[[f: Pi(A,B); \quad c: f]] ==> EX x:A. \quad c = <x,f'x>$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *function-apply-Pair*: $[[function(f); \quad a : domain(f)]] ==> <a,f'a>: f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-Pair*: $[[f: Pi(A,B); \quad a:A]] ==> <a,f'a>: f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-type* [TC]: $[[f: Pi(A,B); \quad a:A]] ==> f'a : B(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-funtype*: $[[f: A->B; \quad a:A]] ==> f'a : B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-iff*: $f: Pi(A,B) ==> <a,b>: f <-> a:A \& f'a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-type*: $\llbracket f : \text{Pi}(A, C); \forall x. x:A \implies f'x : B(x) \rrbracket \implies f : \text{Pi}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pi-Collect-iff*:
 $(f : \text{Pi}(A, \forall x. \{y:B(x). P(x,y)\}))$
 $\iff f : \text{Pi}(A, B) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x: A. P(x, f'x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pi-weaken-type*:
 $\llbracket f : \text{Pi}(A, B); \forall x. x:A \implies B(x) \leq C(x) \rrbracket \implies f : \text{Pi}(A, C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-type*: $\llbracket \langle a, b \rangle : f; f : \text{Pi}(A, B) \rrbracket \implies a : A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-type*: $\llbracket \langle a, b \rangle : f; f : \text{Pi}(A, B) \rrbracket \implies b : B(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pair-mem-PiD*: $\llbracket \langle a, b \rangle : f; f : \text{Pi}(A, B) \rrbracket \implies a:A \ \& \ b:B(a) \ \& \ f'a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.3 Lambda Abstraction

lemma *lamI*: $a:A \implies \langle a, b(a) \rangle : (\text{lam } x:A. b(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lamE*:
 $\llbracket p : (\text{lam } x:A. b(x)); \forall x. \llbracket x:A; p = \langle x, b(x) \rangle \rrbracket \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lamD*: $\llbracket \langle a, c \rangle : (\text{lam } x:A. b(x)) \rrbracket \implies c = b(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lam-type [TC]*:
 $\llbracket \forall x. x:A \implies b(x) : B(x) \rrbracket \implies (\text{lam } x:A. b(x)) : \text{Pi}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lam-funtype*: $(\text{lam } x:A. b(x)) : A \multimap \{b(x). x:A\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-lam*: $\text{function } (\text{lam } x:A. b(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *relation-lam*: *relation* (lam $x:A$. $b(x)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *beta-if* [*simp*]: (lam $x:A$. $b(x)$) ‘ $a = (if\ a : A\ then\ b(a)\ else\ 0)$ ’
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *beta*: $a : A ==> (lam\ x:A.\ b(x))\ 'a = b(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-empty* [*simp*]: (lam $x:0$. $b(x)$) = 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-lam* [*simp*]: *domain*(*Lambda*(A,b)) = A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-cong* [*cong*]:
 $[\ [A=A';\ !!x.\ x:A' ==> b(x)=b'(x)\] ==> Lambda(A,b) = Lambda(A',b')]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-theI*:
 $(!!x.\ x:A ==> EX!\ y.\ Q(x,y)) ==> EX\ f.\ ALL\ x:A.\ Q(x, f'x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-eqE*: $[\ (lam\ x:A.\ f(x)) = (lam\ x:A.\ g(x));\ a:A\] ==> f(a)=g(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-empty1* [*simp*]: *Pi*(0, A) = {0}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singleton-fun* [*simp*]: {< a,b >} : { a } -> { b }
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-empty2* [*simp*]: ($A->0$) = (if $A=0$ then {0} else 0)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-space-empty-iff* [*iff*]: ($A->X$)=0 \longleftrightarrow $X=0$ & ($A \neq 0$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.4 Extensionality

lemma *fun-subset*:
 $[\ f : Pi(A,B);\ g : Pi(C,D);\ A<=C;\$
 $!!x.\ x:A ==> f'x = g'x\] ==> f<=g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-extension*:

$$\llbracket f : Pi(A,B); \ g : Pi(A,D); \ \!x. \ x:A ==> f'x = g'x \ \rrbracket ==> f=g$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eta [simp]*: $f : Pi(A,B) ==> (lam \ x:A. \ f'x) = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-extension-iff*:

$$\llbracket f : Pi(A,B); \ g : Pi(A,C) \ \rrbracket ==> (ALL \ a:A. \ f'a = g'a) <-> f=g$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-subset-eq*: $\llbracket f : Pi(A,B); \ g : Pi(A,C) \ \rrbracket ==> f <= g <-> (f = g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-lamE*:

assumes *major*: $f : Pi(A,B)$
and *minor*: $\!b. \ \llbracket ALL \ x:A. \ b(x):B(x); \ f = (lam \ x:A. \ b(x)) \ \rrbracket ==> P$
shows P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.5 Images of Functions

lemma *image-lam*: $C <= A ==> (lam \ x:A. \ b(x)) \ \text{“} \ C = \{b(x). \ x:C\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Repfun-function-if*:

$function(f)$

$$==> \{f'x. \ x:C\} = (if \ C <= domain(f) \ then \ f'‘C \ else \ cons(0,f'‘C))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-function*:

$\llbracket function(f); \ C <= domain(f) \ \rrbracket ==> f'‘C = \{f'x. \ x:C\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-fun*: $\llbracket f : Pi(A,B); \ C <= A \ \rrbracket ==> f'‘C = \{f'x. \ x:C\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-eq-UN*:

assumes $f : f \in Pi(A,B) \ C \subseteq A$ **shows** $f'‘C = (\bigcup_{x \in C. \ \{f'x\}})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-image-cons*:

$\llbracket f : Pi(A,B); \ x : A \ \rrbracket ==> f \ \text{“} \ cons(x,y) = cons(f'x, f'y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.6 Properties of $restrict(f, A)$

lemma *restrict-subset*: $restrict(f, A) \leq f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *function-restrictI*:
 $function(f) \implies function(restrict(f, A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-type2*: $[| f: Pi(C, B); A \leq C |] \implies restrict(f, A) : Pi(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict*: $restrict(f, A) \text{ ' } a = (if\ a : A\ then\ f'a\ else\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-empty* [simp]: $restrict(f, 0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-iff*: $z \in restrict(r, A) \iff z \in r \ \& \ (\exists x \in A. \exists y. z = \langle x, y \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-restrict* [simp]:
 $restrict(restrict(r, A), B) = restrict(r, A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-restrict* [simp]: $domain(restrict(f, C)) = domain(f) \text{ Int } C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-idem*: $f \leq Sigma(A, B) \implies restrict(f, A) = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-restrict-idem*:
 $[| domain(r) \leq A; relation(r) |] \implies restrict(r, A) = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-restrict-lam* [simp]: $domain(restrict(Lambda(A, f), C)) = A \text{ Int } C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-if* [simp]: $restrict(f, A) \text{ ' } a = (if\ a : A\ then\ f'a\ else\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-lam-eq*:
 $A \leq C \implies restrict(lam\ x:C. b(x), A) = (lam\ x:A. b(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-cons-restrict-eq*:
 $f : cons(a, b) \rightarrow B \implies f = cons(\langle a, f \text{ ' } a \rangle, restrict(f, b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.7 Unions of Functions

lemma *function-Union*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{ALL } x:S. \text{function}(x); \\ & \quad \text{ALL } x:S. \text{ALL } y:S. x \leq y \mid y \leq x \mid]] \\ & \implies \text{function}(\text{Union}(S)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fun-Union*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{ALL } f:S. \text{EX } C \ D. f:C \multimap D; \\ & \quad \text{ALL } f:S. \text{ALL } y:S. f \leq y \mid y \leq f \mid]] \implies \\ & \quad \text{Union}(S) : \text{domain}(\text{Union}(S)) \multimap \text{range}(\text{Union}(S)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *gen-relation-Union* [*rule-format*]:

$$\forall f \in F. \text{relation}(f) \implies \text{relation}(\text{Union}(F))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Un-rls* = *Un-subset-iff* *SUM-Un-distrib1* *prod-Un-distrib2*
subset-trans [*OF* - *Un-upper1*]
subset-trans [*OF* - *Un-upper2*]

lemma *fun-disjoint-Un*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[f: A \multimap B; \ g: C \multimap D; \ A \text{ Int } C = 0 \mid]] \\ & \implies (f \text{ Un } g) : (A \text{ Un } C) \multimap (B \text{ Un } D) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fun-disjoint-apply1*: $a \notin \text{domain}(g) \implies (f \text{ Un } g)'a = f'a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-disjoint-apply2*: $c \notin \text{domain}(f) \implies (f \text{ Un } g)'c = g'c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.8 Domain and Range of a Function or Relation

lemma *domain-of-fun*: $f : Pi(A, B) \implies \text{domain}(f) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *apply-rangeI*: $[[f : Pi(A, B); \ a: A \mid]] \implies f'a : \text{range}(f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-of-fun*: $f : Pi(A, B) \implies f : A \multimap \text{range}(f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.9 Extensions of Functions

lemma *fun-extend*:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \ c \sim: A \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f) : \text{cons}(c, A) \multimap \text{cons}(b, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-extend3*:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \ c \sim: A; \ b: B \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f) : \text{cons}(c, A) \multimap B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extend-apply*:

$c \sim: \text{domain}(f) \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f)'a = (\text{if } a=c \text{ then } b \text{ else } f'a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-extend-apply* [*simp*]:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \ c \sim: A \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f)'a = (\text{if } a=c \text{ then } b \text{ else } f'a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *singleton-apply* = *apply-equality* [*OF* *singletonI* *singleton-fun*, *simp*]

lemma *cons-fun-eq*:

$c \sim: A \implies \text{cons}(c, A) \multimap B = (\bigcup f \in A \multimap B. \bigcup b \in B. \{\text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-fun-eq*: $\text{succ}(n) \multimap B = (\bigcup f \in n \multimap B. \bigcup b \in B. \{\text{cons}(\langle n, b \rangle, f)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.10 Function Updates

constdefs

update $:: [i, i, i] \implies i$
update(*f*, *a*, *b*) == *lam* *x*: *cons*(*a*, *domain*(*f*)). *if*(*x*=*a*, *b*, *f*'*x*)

nonterminals

updbinds *updbind*

syntax

-updbind $:: [i, i] \implies \text{updbind} \quad ((\text{?} \text{ := } / \text{ -}))$
 $:: \text{updbind} \implies \text{updbinds} \quad (-)$
-updbinds $:: [\text{updbind}, \text{updbinds}] \implies \text{updbinds} \quad (-, / \text{ -})$
-Update $:: [i, \text{updbinds}] \implies i \quad (-/'((-)') [900, 0] 900)$

translations

-Update (*f*, *-updbinds*(*b*, *bs*)) == *-Update* (*-Update*(*f*, *b*), *bs*)
f(*x*:=*y*) == *update*(*f*, *x*, *y*)

lemma *update-apply* [*simp*]: $f(x:=y) \text{ ' } z = (\text{if } z=x \text{ then } y \text{ else } f^{\epsilon}z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *update-idem*: $[\mid f^{\epsilon}x = y; \ f: Pi(A,B); \ x: A \mid] ==> f(x:=y) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *refl* [*THEN update-idem, simp*]

lemma *domain-update* [*simp*]: $\text{domain}(f(x:=y)) = \text{cons}(x, \text{domain}(f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *update-type*: $[\mid f:Pi(A,B); \ x: A; \ y: B(x) \mid] ==> f(x:=y) : Pi(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.11 Monotonicity Theorems

8.11.1 Replacement in its Various Forms

lemma *Replace-mono*: $A \leq B ==> \text{Replace}(A,P) \leq \text{Replace}(B,P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-mono*: $A \leq B ==> \{f(x). x:A\} \leq \{f(x). x:B\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-mono*: $A \leq B ==> \text{Pow}(A) \leq \text{Pow}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-mono*: $A \leq B ==> \text{Union}(A) \leq \text{Union}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-mono*:
 $[\mid A \leq C; \ !x. x:A ==> B(x) \leq D(x) \mid] ==> (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) \leq (\bigcup x \in C. D(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inter-anti-mono*: $[\mid A \leq B; \ A \neq 0 \mid] ==> \text{Inter}(B) \leq \text{Inter}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-mono*: $C \leq D ==> \text{cons}(a,C) \leq \text{cons}(a,D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-mono*: $[\mid A \leq C; \ B \leq D \mid] ==> A \text{ Un } B \leq C \text{ Un } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-mono*: $[\mid A \leq C; \ B \leq D \mid] ==> A \text{ Int } B \leq C \text{ Int } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff-mono*: $[| A \leq C; D \leq B |] \implies A - B \leq C - D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.11.2 Standard Products, Sums and Function Spaces

lemma *Sigma-mono* [*rule-format*]:
 $[| A \leq C; !!x. x:A \dashrightarrow B(x) \leq D(x) |] \implies Sigma(A,B) \leq Sigma(C,D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-mono*: $[| A \leq C; B \leq D |] \implies A + B \leq C + D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-mono*: $B \leq C \implies A \multimap B \leq A \multimap C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-mono*: $A \leq B \implies Lambda(A,c) \leq Lambda(B,c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.11.3 Converse, Domain, Range, Field

lemma *converse-mono*: $r \leq s \implies converse(r) \leq converse(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-mono*: $r \leq s \implies domain(r) \leq domain(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *domain-rel-subset* = *subset-trans* [*OF domain-mono domain-subset*]

lemma *range-mono*: $r \leq s \implies range(r) \leq range(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *range-rel-subset* = *subset-trans* [*OF range-mono range-subset*]

lemma *field-mono*: $r \leq s \implies field(r) \leq field(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-rel-subset*: $r \leq A * A \implies field(r) \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.11.4 Images

lemma *image-pair-mono*:
 $[| !! x y. \langle x, y \rangle : r \implies \langle x, y \rangle : s; A \leq B |] \implies r `` A \leq s `` B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-pair-mono*:
 $[| !! x y. \langle x, y \rangle : r \implies \langle x, y \rangle : s; A \leq B |] \implies r - `` A \leq s - `` B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-mono*: $[[\ r <= s; \ A <= B \]] \implies r''A <= s''B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vimage-mono*: $[[\ r <= s; \ A <= B \]] \implies r^{-''}A <= s^{-''}B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-mono*:
 $[[\ A <= B; \ !!x. x:A \implies P(x) \dashrightarrow Q(x) \]] \implies Collect(A,P) <= Collect(B,Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *basic-monos* = *subset-refl imp-refl disj-mono conj-mono ex-mono*
Collect-mono Part-mono in-mono

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

9 Quine-Inspired Ordered Pairs and Disjoint Sums

theory *QPair* **imports** *Sum func* **begin**

For non-well-founded data structures in ZF. Does not precisely follow Quine's construction. Thanks to Thomas Forster for suggesting this approach!

W. V. Quine, On Ordered Pairs and Relations, in Selected Logic Papers, 1966.

constdefs

QPair $:: [i, i] \implies i$ $(<(-;/ -)>)$
 $<a;b> == a+b$

qfst $:: i \implies i$
 $qfst(p) == THE\ a.\ EX\ b.\ p = <a;b>$

qsnd $:: i \implies i$
 $qsnd(p) == THE\ b.\ EX\ a.\ p = <a;b>$

qsplit $:: [[i, i] \implies 'a, i] \implies 'a::\{\}$
 $qsplit(c,p) == c(qfst(p), qsnd(p))$

qconverse $:: i \implies i$
 $qconverse(r) == \{z.\ w:r,\ EX\ x\ y.\ w = <x;y> \ \&\ z = <y;x>\}$

QSigma $:: [i, i \implies i] \implies i$
 $QSigma(A,B) == \bigcup_{x \in A} \bigcup_{y \in B(x)} \{<x;y>\}$

syntax

$@QSUM :: [idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ ($(\exists QSUM \text{ :-./ -}) 10$)
 $<*> :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixr** 80)

translations

$QSUM\ x:A. B \Rightarrow QSigma(A, \%x. B)$
 $A <*> B \Rightarrow QSigma(A, -K(B))$

constdefs

$qsum :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixr** <+> 65)
 $A <+> B == (\{0\} <*> A) \text{ Un } (\{1\} <*> B)$

$QInl :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $QInl(a) == <0;a>$

$QInr :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $QInr(b) == <1;b>$

$qcase :: [i \Rightarrow i, i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$
 $qcase(c, d) == qsplit(\%y\ z. cond(y, d(z), c(z)))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

9.1 Quine ordered pairing

lemma *QPair-empty* [simp]: $<0;0> = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QPair-iff* [simp]: $<a;b> = <c;d> \Leftrightarrow a=c \ \& \ b=d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *QPair-inject* = *QPair-iff* [THEN iffD1, THEN conjE, standard, elim!]

lemma *QPair-inject1*: $<a;b> = <c;d> \Rightarrow a=c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QPair-inject2*: $<a;b> = <c;d> \Rightarrow b=d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.1 QSigma: Disjoint union of a family of sets Generalizes Cartesian product

lemma *QSigmaI* [intro!]: $[| a:A; b:B(a) |] \Rightarrow <a;b> : QSigma(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigmaE* [elim!]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket c : QSigma(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. \llbracket x:A; \ y:B(x); \ c=<x;y> \rrbracket ==> P \\ & \rrbracket ==> P \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigmaE2* [elim!]:

$$\llbracket <a;b> : QSigma(A,B); \llbracket a:A; \ b:B(a) \rrbracket ==> P \rrbracket ==> P$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigmaD1*: $<a;b> : QSigma(A,B) ==> a : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigmaD2*: $<a;b> : QSigma(A,B) ==> b : B(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigma-cong*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket A=A'; \quad !!x. \ x:A' ==> B(x)=B'(x) \rrbracket ==> \\ & \quad QSigma(A,B) = QSigma(A',B') \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigma-empty1* [simp]: $QSigma(0,B) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigma-empty2* [simp]: $A <*> 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.2 Projections: qfst, qsnd

lemma *qfst-conv* [simp]: $qfst(<a;b>) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsnd-conv* [simp]: $qsnd(<a;b>) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qfst-type* [TC]: $p : QSigma(A,B) ==> qfst(p) : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsnd-type* [TC]: $p : QSigma(A,B) ==> qsnd(p) : B(qfst(p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QPair-qfst-qsnd-eq*: $a : QSigma(A,B) ==> <qfst(a); qsnd(a)> = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.3 Eliminator: qsplitt

lemma *qsplitt* [simp]: $qsplitt(\%x\ y. \ c(x,y), <a;b>) == c(a,b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsplitt-type* [elim!]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mid p:QSigma(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [\mid x:A; y:B(x) \mid] ==> c(x,y):C(<x;y>) \\ & \mid] ==> qsplit(\%x\ y. c(x,y), p) : C(p) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *expand-qsplit*:

$$u: A<*>B ==> R(qsplit(c,u)) <-> (ALL\ x:A.\ ALL\ y:B.\ u = <x;y> \dashrightarrow R(c(x,y)))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.4 qsplit for predicates: result type o

lemma *qsplitI*: $R(a,b) ==> qsplit(R, <a;b>)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsplitE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mid qsplit(R,z); \ z:QSigma(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [\mid z = <x;y>; \ R(x,y) \mid] ==> P \\ & \mid] ==> P \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsplitD*: $qsplit(R,<a;b>) ==> R(a,b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.5 qconverse

lemma *qconverseI* [*intro!*]: $<a;b>:r ==> <b;a>:qconverse(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverseD* [*elim!*]: $<a;b> : qconverse(r) ==> <b;a> : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverseE* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mid yx : qconverse(r); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [\mid yx=<y;x>; \ <x;y>:r \mid] ==> P \\ & \mid] ==> P \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverse-qconverse*: $r<=QSigma(A,B) ==> qconverse(qconverse(r)) = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverse-type*: $r <= A <*> B ==> qconverse(r) <= B <*> A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverse-prod*: $qconverse(A <*> B) = B <*> A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverse-empty*: $qconverse(0) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

9.2 The Quine-inspired notion of disjoint sum

lemmas $qsum-defs = qsum-def \ QInl-def \ QInr-def \ qcase-def$

lemma $QInlI$ $[intro!]$: $a : A ==> QInl(a) : A <+> B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $QInrI$ $[intro!]$: $b : B ==> QInr(b) : A <+> B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $qsumE$ $[elim!]$:
 $[| \ u : A <+> B;$
 $!!x. [| \ x:A; \ u=QInl(x) \ |] ==> P;$
 $!!y. [| \ y:B; \ u=QInr(y) \ |] ==> P$
 $|] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $QInl-iff$ $[iff]$: $QInl(a)=QInl(b) <-> a=b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $QInr-iff$ $[iff]$: $QInr(a)=QInr(b) <-> a=b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $QInl-QInr-iff$ $[simp]$: $QInl(a)=QInr(b) <-> False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $QInr-QInl-iff$ $[simp]$: $QInr(b)=QInl(a) <-> False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $qsum-empty$ $[simp]$: $0 <+> 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $QInl-inject = QInl-iff [THEN iffD1, standard]$

lemmas $QInr-inject = QInr-iff [THEN iffD1, standard]$

lemmas $QInl-neq-QInr = QInl-QInr-iff [THEN iffD1, THEN FalseE, elim!]$

lemmas $QInr-neq-QInl = QInr-QInl-iff [THEN iffD1, THEN FalseE, elim!]$

lemma $QInlD$: $QInl(a) : A <+> B ==> a : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInrD*: $QInr(b): A <+> B ==> b: B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsum-iff*:

$u: A <+> B <-> (EX\ x. x:A \ \&\ u=QInl(x)) \mid (EX\ y. y:B \ \&\ u=QInr(y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsum-subset-iff*: $A <+> B <= C <+> D <-> A <= C \ \&\ B <= D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsum-equal-iff*: $A <+> B = C <+> D <-> A=C \ \&\ B=D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.2.1 Eliminator – qcase

lemma *qcase-QInl* [*simp*]: $qcase(c, d, QInl(a)) = c(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qcase-QInr* [*simp*]: $qcase(c, d, QInr(b)) = d(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qcase-type*:

$\llbracket u: A <+> B;$
 $\quad !!x. x: A ==> c(x): C(QInl(x));$
 $\quad !!y. y: B ==> d(y): C(QInr(y))$
 $\rrbracket ==> qcase(c,d,u) : C(u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-QInl*: $Part(A <+> B, QInl) = \{QInl(x). x: A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-QInr*: $Part(A <+> B, QInr) = \{QInr(y). y: B\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-QInr2*: $Part(A <+> B, \%x. QInr(h(x))) = \{QInr(y). y: Part(B,h)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-qsum-equality*: $C <= A <+> B ==> Part(C, QInl) \cup Part(C, QInr) = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.2.2 Monotonicity

lemma *QPair-mono*: $[[a \leq c; b \leq d]] \implies \langle a; b \rangle \leq \langle c; d \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigma-mono* [rule-format]:
 $[[A \leq C; \text{ALL } x:A. B(x) \leq D(x)]] \implies QSigma(A, B) \leq QSigma(C, D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInl-mono*: $a \leq b \implies QInl(a) \leq QInl(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInr-mono*: $a \leq b \implies QInr(a) \leq QInr(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsum-mono*: $[[A \leq C; B \leq D]] \implies A \lt + \gt B \leq C \lt + \gt D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

10 Inductive and Coinductive Definitions

theory *Inductive* **imports** *Fixedpt QPair*

uses

ind-syntax.ML

Tools/cartprod.ML

Tools/ind-cases.ML

Tools/inductive-package.ML

Tools/induct-tacs.ML

Tools/primrec-package.ML **begin**

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

11 Injections, Surjections, Bijections, Composition

theory *Perm* **imports** *func* **begin**

constdefs

comp $:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixr** *O* 60)
 $r \text{ O } s == \{xz : \text{domain}(s) * \text{range}(r) \}.$

$$EX\ x\ y\ z. \ xz = \langle x, z \rangle \ \& \ \langle x, y \rangle : s \ \& \ \langle y, z \rangle : r \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} id &:: i => i \\ id(A) &== (lam\ x:A. x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} inj &:: [i, i] => i \\ inj(A, B) &== \{ f: A \multimap B. \ ALL\ w:A. \ ALL\ x:A. f'w = f'x \multimap w = x \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} surj &:: [i, i] => i \\ surj(A, B) &== \{ f: A \multimap B. \ ALL\ y:B. \ EX\ x:A. f'x = y \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} bij &:: [i, i] => i \\ bij(A, B) &== inj(A, B) \ Int\ surj(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

11.1 Surjections

lemma *surj-is-fun*: $f: surj(A, B) \implies f: A \multimap B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-is-surj*: $f: Pi(A, B) \implies f: surj(A, range(f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *surj-range*: $f: surj(A, B) \implies range(f) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *f-imp-surjective*:
 $[[f: A \multimap B; \ !y. y:B \implies d(y): A; \ !y. y:B \implies f'd(y) = y]]$
 $\implies f: surj(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-surjective*:
 $[[\ !x. x:A \implies c(x): B; \$
 $\quad \ !y. y:B \implies d(y): A; \$
 $\quad \ !y. y:B \implies c(d(y)) = y \$
 $]] \implies (lam\ x:A. c(x)) : surj(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cantor-surj*: $f \sim: surj(A, Pow(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.2 Injections

lemma *inj-is-fun*: $f: inj(A, B) \implies f: A \multimap B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-equality*:

$\llbracket \langle a, b \rangle : f; \langle c, b \rangle : f; f : inj(A, B) \rrbracket ==> a = c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-apply-equality*: $\llbracket f : inj(A, B); f'a = f'b; a : A; b : A \rrbracket ==> a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *f-imp-injective*: $\llbracket f : A \multimap B; \text{ALL } x : A. d(f'x) = x \rrbracket ==> f : inj(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-injective*:

$\llbracket \text{!!}x. x : A ==> c(x) : B;$
 $\text{!!}x. x : A ==> d(c(x)) = x \rrbracket$
 $==> (lam\ x:A. c(x)) : inj(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.3 Bijections

lemma *bij-is-inj*: $f : bij(A, B) ==> f : inj(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij-is-surj*: $f : bij(A, B) ==> f : surj(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bij-is-fun* = *bij-is-inj* [THEN *inj-is-fun*, *standard*]

lemma *lam-bijective*:

$\llbracket \text{!!}x. x : A ==> c(x) : B;$
 $\text{!!}y. y : B ==> d(y) : A;$
 $\text{!!}x. x : A ==> d(c(x)) = x;$
 $\text{!!}y. y : B ==> c(d(y)) = y$
 $\rrbracket ==> (lam\ x:A. c(x)) : bij(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-bijective*: $(\text{ALL } y : x. \text{EX! } y'. f(y') = f(y))$
 $==> (lam\ z:\{f(y). y:x\}. \text{THE } y. f(y) = z) : bij(\{f(y). y:x\}, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.4 Identity Function

lemma *idI* [intro!]: $a : A ==> \langle a, a \rangle : id(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *idE* [*elim!*]: $[[p: id(A); !!x. [x:A; p=<x,x>] ==> P] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-type*: $id(A) : A \multimap A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-conv* [*simp*]: $x:A ==> id(A) 'x = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-mono*: $A \leq B ==> id(A) \leq id(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-subset-inj*: $A \leq B ==> id(A): inj(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *id-inj* = *subset-refl* [*THEN id-subset-inj, standard*]

lemma *id-surj*: $id(A): surj(A,A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-bij*: $id(A): bij(A,A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-iff-id*: $A \leq B \iff id(A) : A \multimap B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

id as the identity relation

lemma *id-iff* [*simp*]: $<x,y> \in id(A) \iff x=y \ \& \ y \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.5 Converse of a Function

lemma *inj-converse-fun*: $f: inj(A,B) ==> converse(f) : range(f) \multimap A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The premises are equivalent to saying that *f* is injective...

lemma *left-inverse-lemma*:
 $[[f: A \multimap B; converse(f): C \multimap A; a: A] ==> converse(f) '(f'a) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-inverse* [*simp*]: $[[f: inj(A,B); a: A] ==> converse(f) '(f'a) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-inverse-eq*:
 $[[f \in inj(A,B); f 'x = y; x \in A] ==> converse(f) 'y = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *left-inverse-bij* = *bij-is-inj* [*THEN left-inverse, standard*]

lemma *right-inverse-lemma*:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \text{converse}(f): C \multimap A; b: C \rrbracket \implies f'(\text{converse}(f)'b) = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-inverse [simp]*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A, B); b: \text{range}(f) \rrbracket \implies f'(\text{converse}(f)'b) = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-inverse-bij*: $\llbracket f: \text{bij}(A, B); b: B \rrbracket \implies f'(\text{converse}(f)'b) = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.6 Converses of Injections, Surjections, Bijections

lemma *inj-converse-inj*: $f: \text{inj}(A, B) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{inj}(\text{range}(f), A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-converse-surj*: $f: \text{inj}(A, B) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{surj}(\text{range}(f), A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bij-converse-bij [TC]*: $f: \text{bij}(A, B) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{bij}(B, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.7 Composition of Two Relations

lemma *compI [intro]*: $\llbracket \langle a, b \rangle : s; \langle b, c \rangle : r \rrbracket \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r \circ s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compE [elim!]*:

$\llbracket xz : r \circ s;$
 $\quad !!x y z. \llbracket xz = \langle x, z \rangle; \langle x, y \rangle : s; \langle y, z \rangle : r \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compEpair*:

$\llbracket \langle a, c \rangle : r \circ s;$
 $\quad !!y. \llbracket \langle a, y \rangle : s; \langle y, c \rangle : r \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-comp*: $\text{converse}(R \circ S) = \text{converse}(S) \circ \text{converse}(R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.8 Domain and Range – see Suppes, Section 3.1

lemma *range-comp*: $\text{range}(r \circ s) \leq \text{range}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-comp-eq*: $\text{domain}(r) \leq \text{range}(s) \implies \text{range}(r \circ s) = \text{range}(r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-comp*: $domain(r \ O \ s) \leq domain(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-comp-eq*: $range(s) \leq domain(r) \implies domain(r \ O \ s) = domain(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-comp*: $(r \ O \ s)^{''}A = r^{''}(s^{''}A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.9 Other Results

lemma *comp-mono*: $[[\ r' \leq r; \ s' \leq s \]] \implies (r' \ O \ s') \leq (r \ O \ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-rel*: $[[\ s \leq A*B; \ r \leq B*C \]] \implies (r \ O \ s) \leq A*C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-assoc*: $(r \ O \ s) \ O \ t = r \ O \ (s \ O \ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-comp-id*: $r \leq A*B \implies id(B) \ O \ r = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-comp-id*: $r \leq A*B \implies r \ O \ id(A) = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.10 Composition Preserves Functions, Injections, and Surjections

lemma *comp-function*: $[[\ function(g); \ function(f) \]] \implies function(f \ O \ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-fun*: $[[\ g: A \rightarrow B; \ f: B \rightarrow C \]] \implies (f \ O \ g) : A \rightarrow C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-fun-apply* [simp]:
 $[[\ g: A \rightarrow B; \ a:A \]] \implies (f \ O \ g)'a = f'(g'a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-lam*:

$$\llbracket \lambda x. x:A \implies b(x): B \rrbracket$$

$$\implies (\lambda y:B. c(y)) \circ (\lambda x:A. b(x)) = (\lambda x:A. c(b(x)))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-inj*:

$$\llbracket g: inj(A,B); f: inj(B,C) \rrbracket \implies (f \circ g) : inj(A,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-surj*:

$$\llbracket g: surj(A,B); f: surj(B,C) \rrbracket \implies (f \circ g) : surj(A,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-bij*:

$$\llbracket g: bij(A,B); f: bij(B,C) \rrbracket \implies (f \circ g) : bij(A,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.11 Dual Properties of *inj* and *surj*

Useful for proofs from D Pastre. Automatic theorem proving in set theory. Artificial Intelligence, 10:1–27, 1978.

lemma *comp-mem-injD1*:

$$\llbracket (f \circ g): inj(A,C); g: A \multimap B; f: B \multimap C \rrbracket \implies g: inj(A,B)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-mem-injD2*:

$$\llbracket (f \circ g): inj(A,C); g: surj(A,B); f: B \multimap C \rrbracket \implies f: inj(B,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-mem-surjD1*:

$$\llbracket (f \circ g): surj(A,C); g: A \multimap B; f: B \multimap C \rrbracket \implies f: surj(B,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-mem-surjD2*:

$$\llbracket (f \circ g): surj(A,C); g: A \multimap B; f: inj(B,C) \rrbracket \implies g: surj(A,B)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.11.1 Inverses of Composition

lemma *left-comp-inverse*: $f: inj(A,B) \implies converse(f) \circ f = id(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-comp-inverse*:

$$f: surj(A,B) \implies f \circ converse(f) = id(B)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.11.2 Proving that a Function is a Bijection

lemma *comp-eq-id-iff*:

$[| f: A \rightarrow B; g: B \rightarrow A |] \implies f \circ g = id(B) \iff (ALL\ y:B. f(g'y)=y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fg-imp-bijective*:

$[| f: A \rightarrow B; g: B \rightarrow A; f \circ g = id(B); g \circ f = id(A) |] \implies f : bij(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nilpotent-imp-bijective*: $[| f: A \rightarrow A; f \circ f = id(A) |] \implies f : bij(A,A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *invertible-imp-bijective*:

$[| converse(f): B \rightarrow A; f: A \rightarrow B |] \implies f : bij(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.11.3 Unions of Functions

See similar theorems in func.thy

lemma *inj-disjoint-Un*:

$[| f: inj(A,B); g: inj(C,D); B\ Int\ D = 0 |]$
 $\implies (lam\ a: A\ Un\ C. if\ a:A\ then\ f'a\ else\ g'a) : inj(A\ Un\ C, B\ Un\ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *surj-disjoint-Un*:

$[| f: surj(A,B); g: surj(C,D); A\ Int\ C = 0 |]$
 $\implies (f\ Un\ g) : surj(A\ Un\ C, B\ Un\ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij-disjoint-Un*:

$[| f: bij(A,B); g: bij(C,D); A\ Int\ C = 0; B\ Int\ D = 0 |]$
 $\implies (f\ Un\ g) : bij(A\ Un\ C, B\ Un\ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.11.4 Restrictions as Surjections and Bijections

lemma *surj-image*:

$f: Pi(A,B) \implies f: surj(A, f''A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-image [simp]*: $restrict(f,A) '' B = f '' (A\ Int\ B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-inj*:

$[| f: inj(A,B); C \leq A |] \implies restrict(f,C): inj(C,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-surj*: $[[f: Pi(A,B); C \leq A]] ==> restrict(f,C): surj(C, f''C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-bij*:
 $[[f: inj(A,B); C \leq A]] ==> restrict(f,C): bij(C, f''C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.11.5 Lemmas for Ramsey's Theorem

lemma *inj-weaken-type*: $[[f: inj(A,B); B \leq D]] ==> f: inj(A,D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-succ-restrict*:
 $[[f: inj(succ(m), A)]] ==> restrict(f,m) : inj(m, A - \{f'm\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-extend*:
 $[[f: inj(A,B); a \sim A; b \sim B]] ==> cons(<a,b>,f) : inj(cons(a,A), cons(b,B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

12 Relations: Their General Properties and Transitive Closure

theory *Trancl* **imports** *Fixedpt Perm* **begin**

constdefs

refl $:: [i,i] => o$
 $refl(A,r) == (ALL x: A. <x,x> : r)$

irrefl $:: [i,i] => o$
 $irrefl(A,r) == ALL x: A. <x,x> \sim r$

sym $:: i => o$
 $sym(r) == ALL x y. <x,y>: r --> <y,x>: r$

asym $:: i => o$
 $asym(r) == ALL x y. <x,y>: r --> \sim <y,x>: r$

antisym $:: i => o$
 $antisym(r) == ALL x y. <x,y>: r --> <y,x>: r --> x=y$

$trans :: i \Rightarrow o$
 $trans(r) == ALL\ x\ y\ z.\ \langle x, y \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle y, z \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle x, z \rangle : r$

$trans\text{-}on :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o\ (trans[-]'(-'))$
 $trans[A](r) == ALL\ x:A.\ ALL\ y:A.\ ALL\ z:A.\ \langle x, y \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle y, z \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle x, z \rangle : r$

$rtranc1 :: i \Rightarrow i\ ((-^*) [100] 100)$
 $r^{\wedge} * == lfp(field(r) * field(r), \%s.\ id(field(r))\ Un\ (r\ O\ s))$

$tranc1 :: i \Rightarrow i\ ((-^+) [100] 100)$
 $r^{\wedge} + == r\ O\ r^{\wedge} *$

$equiv :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$
 $equiv(A, r) == r \leq A * A \ \&\ refl(A, r) \ \&\ sym(r) \ \&\ trans(r)$

12.1 General properties of relations

12.1.1 irreflexivity

lemma *irreflI*:

$[\! [\! \! x.\ x:A \implies \langle x, x \rangle \sim : r \!] \implies irrefl(A, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *irreflE*: $[\! [\! irrefl(A, r); \ x:A \!] \implies \langle x, x \rangle \sim : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.1.2 symmetry

lemma *symI*:

$[\! [\! \! x\ y.\ \langle x, y \rangle : r \implies \langle y, x \rangle : r \!] \implies sym(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *symE*: $[\! [\! sym(r); \ \langle x, y \rangle : r \!] \implies \langle y, x \rangle : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.1.3 antisymmetry

lemma *antisymI*:

$[\! [\! \! x\ y.\ [\! [\! \langle x, y \rangle : r; \ \langle y, x \rangle : r \!] \implies x=y \!] \implies antisym(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *antisymE*: $[\! [\! antisym(r); \ \langle x, y \rangle : r; \ \langle y, x \rangle : r \!] \implies x=y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.1.4 transitivity

lemma *transD*: $[\! [\! trans(r); \ \langle a, b \rangle : r; \ \langle b, c \rangle : r \!] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-onD*:

$[| \text{trans}[A](r); \langle a, b \rangle : r; \langle b, c \rangle : r; a:A; b:A; c:A |] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-imp-trans-on*: $\text{trans}(r) \implies \text{trans}[A](r)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-on-imp-trans*: $[| \text{trans}[A](r); r \leq A * A |] \implies \text{trans}(r)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.2 Transitive closure of a relation

lemma *rtrancl-bnd-mono*:

$\text{bnd-mono}(\text{field}(r) * \text{field}(r), \%s. \text{id}(\text{field}(r)) \cup (r \circ s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-mono*: $r \leq s \implies r^* \leq s^*$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *rtrancl-unfold* =

rtrancl-bnd-mono [THEN *rtrancl-def* [THEN *def-lfp-unfold*], *standard*]

lemmas *rtrancl-type* = *rtrancl-def* [THEN *def-lfp-subset*, *standard*]

lemma *relation-rtrancl*: $\text{relation}(r^*)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-refl*: $[| a: \text{field}(r) |] \implies \langle a, a \rangle : r^*$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-into-rtrancl*: $[| \langle a, b \rangle : r^*; \langle b, c \rangle : r |] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r^*$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r-into-rtrancl*: $\langle a, b \rangle : r \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r^*$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r-subset-rtrancl*: $\text{relation}(r) \implies r \leq r^*$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-field*: $\text{field}(r^*) = \text{field}(r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-full-induct* [*case-names initial step, consumes 1*]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [| <a,b> : r^*; \\
 & \quad !!x. x: field(r) ==> P(<x,x>); \\
 & \quad !!x\ y\ z. [| P(<x,y>); <x,y>: r^*; <y,z>: r \] ==> P(<x,z>) \] \\
 & ==> P(<a,b>)
 \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-induct* [*case-names initial step, induct set: rtrancl*]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [| <a,b> : r^*; \\
 & \quad P(a); \\
 & \quad !!y\ z. [| <a,y> : r^*; <y,z> : r; P(y) \] ==> P(z) \\
 & \] ==> P(b)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-rtrancl*: $trans(r^*)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *rtrancl-trans* = *trans-rtrancl* [*THEN transD, standard*]

lemma *rtranclE*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [| <a,b> : r^*; (a=b) ==> P; \\
 & \quad !!y. [| <a,y> : r^*; <y,b> : r \] ==> P \] \\
 & ==> P
 \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-trancl*: $trans(r^+)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *trans-on-trancl* = *trans-trancl* [*THEN trans-imp-trans-on*]

lemmas *trancl-trans* = *trans-trancl* [*THEN transD, standard*]

lemma *trancl-into-rtrancl*: $<a,b> : r^+ ==> <a,b> : r^*$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *r-into-trancl*: $\langle a, b \rangle : r \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r^+ +$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *r-subset-trancl*: $relation(r) \implies r \leq r^+ +$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-into-trancl1*: $[\langle a, b \rangle : r^+ *; \langle b, c \rangle : r] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r^+ +$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-into-trancl2*:
 $[\langle a, b \rangle : r; \langle b, c \rangle : r^+ *] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r^+ +$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-induct* [*case-names initial step, induct set: trancl*]:
 $[\langle a, b \rangle : r^+ +;$
 $!!y. [\langle a, y \rangle : r] \implies P(y);$
 $!!y z. [\langle a, y \rangle : r^+ +; \langle y, z \rangle : r; P(y)] \implies P(z)$
 $] \implies P(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclE*:
 $[\langle a, b \rangle : r^+ +;$
 $\langle a, b \rangle : r \implies P;$
 $!!y. [\langle a, y \rangle : r^+ +; \langle y, b \rangle : r] \implies P$
 $] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-type*: $r^+ + \leq field(r) * field(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-trancl*: $relation(r^+ +)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-subset-times*: $r \subseteq A * A \implies r^+ + \subseteq A * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-mono*: $r \leq s \implies r^+ + \leq s^+ +$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-eq-r*: $[relation(r); trans(r)] \implies r^+ + = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-idemp* [*simp*]: $(r^*)^* = r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-subset*: $[| R \leq S; S \leq R^* |] \implies S^* = R^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-Un-rtrancl*:
 $[| relation(r); relation(s) |] \implies (r^* \cup s^*)^* = (r \cup s)^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-converseD*: $\langle x, y \rangle : converse(r)^* \implies \langle x, y \rangle : converse(r^*)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-converseI*: $\langle x, y \rangle : converse(r^*) \implies \langle x, y \rangle : converse(r)^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-converse*: $converse(r)^* = converse(r^*)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-converseD*: $\langle a, b \rangle : converse(r)^+ \implies \langle a, b \rangle : converse(r^+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-converseI*: $\langle x, y \rangle : converse(r^+) \implies \langle x, y \rangle : converse(r)^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-converse*: $converse(r)^+ = converse(r^+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-trancl-induct* [*case-names initial step, consumes 1*]:
 $[| \langle a, b \rangle : r^+; !!y. \langle y, b \rangle : r \implies P(y);$
 $!!y z. [| \langle y, z \rangle : r; \langle z, b \rangle : r^+; P(z) |] \implies P(y) |]$
 $\implies P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

13 Well-Founded Recursion

theory *WF* **imports** *Trancl* **begin**

constdefs

wf :: $i \Rightarrow o$

$wf(r) == ALL\ Z.\ Z=0 \mid (EX\ x:Z.\ ALL\ y.\ \langle y, x \rangle : r \dashv\dashv \sim y:Z)$

wf-on :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ ($wf[-]'(-)$)

$wf-on(A, r) == wf(r\ Int\ A * A)$

is-recfun :: $[i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow o$

$is-recfun(r, a, H, f) == (f = (lam\ x:\ r - \{\{a\}.\ H(x, restrict(f, r - \{\{x\}\})))$

the-recfun :: $[i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$

$the-recfun(r, a, H) == (THE\ f.\ is-recfun(r, a, H, f))$

wftrec :: $[i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$

$wftrec(r, a, H) == H(a, the-recfun(r, a, H))$

wfrec :: $[i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$

$wfrec(r, a, H) == wftrec(r^+ , a, \%x\ f.\ H(x, restrict(f, r - \{\{x\}\})))$

wfrec-on :: $[i, i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ ($wfrec[-]'(-, -, -)$)

$wfrec[A](r, a, H) == wfrec(r\ Int\ A * A, a, H)$

13.1 Well-Founded Relations

13.1.1 Equivalences between *wf* and *wf-on*

lemma *wf-imp-wf-on*: $wf(r) \Rightarrow wf[A](r)$

<proof>

lemma *wf-on-imp-wf*: $[wf[A](r); r \leq A * A] \Rightarrow wf(r)$

<proof>

lemma *wf-on-field-imp-wf*: $wf[field(r)](r) \Rightarrow wf(r)$

<proof>

lemma *wf-iff-wf-on-field*: $wf(r) \dashv\dashv wf[field(r)](r)$

<proof>

lemma *wf-on-subset-A*: $[wf[A](r); B \leq A] \Rightarrow wf[B](r)$

<proof>

lemma *wf-on-subset-r*: $[wf[A](r); s \leq r] \Rightarrow wf[A](s)$

<proof>

lemma *wf-subset*: $[[wf(s); r \leq s]] \implies wf(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.1.2 Introduction Rules for *wf-on*

If every non-empty subset of A has an r -minimal element then we have $wf[A](r)$.

lemma *wf-onI*:
assumes *prem*: $!!Z u. [[Z \leq A; u:Z; ALL x:Z. EX y:Z. \langle y, x \rangle : r]] \implies False$
shows $wf[A](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If r allows well-founded induction over A then we have $wf[A](r)$. Premise is equivalent to $\bigwedge B. \forall x \in A. (\forall y. \langle y, x \rangle \in r \longrightarrow y \in B) \longrightarrow x \in B \implies A \subseteq B$

lemma *wf-onI2*:
assumes *prem*: $!!y B. [[ALL x:A. (ALL y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow y:B) \longrightarrow x:B; y:A]]$
 $\implies y:B$
shows $wf[A](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.1.3 Well-founded Induction

Consider the least z in $domain(r)$ such that $P(z)$ does not hold...

lemma *wf-induct* [*induct set*: *wf*]:
 $[[wf(r);$
 $!!x. [[ALL y. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow P(y)]] \implies P(x)]]$
 $\implies P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *wf-induct-rule* = *wf-induct* [*rule-format*, *induct set*: *wf*]

The form of this rule is designed to match *wfI*

lemma *wf-induct2*:
 $[[wf(r); a:A; field(r) \leq A;$
 $!!x. [[x:A; ALL y. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow P(y)]] \implies P(x)]]$
 $\implies P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-Int-square*: $field(r \text{ Int } A * A) \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-induct* [*consumes* 2, *induct set*: *wf-on*]:
 $[[wf[A](r); a:A;$
 $!!x. [[x:A; ALL y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow P(y)]] \implies P(x)]]$

$\llbracket \rrbracket \implies P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *wf-on-induct-rule* =
wf-on-induct [rule-format, consumes 2, induct set: wf-on]

If r allows well-founded induction then we have $wf(r)$.

lemma *wfI*:
 $\llbracket field(r) \leq A; \quad !!y B. \llbracket ALL x:A. (ALL y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow y:B) \longrightarrow x:B; \quad y:A \rrbracket \implies y:B \rrbracket$
 $\implies wf(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.2 Basic Properties of Well-Founded Relations

lemma *wf-not-refl*: $wf(r) \implies \langle a, a \rangle \sim : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-not-sym* [rule-format]: $wf(r) \implies ALL x. \langle a, x \rangle : r \longrightarrow \langle x, a \rangle \sim : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *wf-asym* = *wf-not-sym* [THEN swap, standard]

lemma *wf-on-not-refl*: $\llbracket wf[A](r); a : A \rrbracket \implies \langle a, a \rangle \sim : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-not-sym* [rule-format]:
 $\llbracket wf[A](r); a : A \rrbracket \implies ALL b:A. \langle a, b \rangle : r \longrightarrow \langle b, a \rangle \sim : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-asym*:
 $\llbracket wf[A](r); \sim Z \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r; \quad \langle b, a \rangle \sim : r \implies Z; \sim Z \implies a : A; \sim Z \implies b : A \rrbracket \implies Z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-chain3*:
 $\llbracket wf[A](r); \langle a, b \rangle : r; \langle b, c \rangle : r; \langle c, a \rangle : r; a:A; b:A; c:A \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

transitive closure of a WF relation is WF provided A is downward closed

lemma *wf-on-trancl*:
 $\llbracket wf[A](r); r - "A \leq A \rrbracket \implies wf[A](r^+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-trancl*: $wf(r) \implies wf(r^+)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$r - \{a\}$ is the set of everything under a in r

lemmas $underI = vimage-singleton-iff$ [THEN $iffD2$, standard]

lemmas $underD = vimage-singleton-iff$ [THEN $iffD1$, standard]

13.3 The Predicate $is-recfun$

lemma $is-recfun-type$: $is-recfun(r, a, H, f) ==> f: r - \{a\} \rightarrow range(f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $is-recfun-imp-function = is-recfun-type$ [THEN $fun-is-function$]

lemma $apply-recfun$:

$[| is-recfun(r, a, H, f); <x, a>:r |] ==> f'x = H(x, restrict(f, r - \{x\}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is-recfun-equal$ [rule-format]:

$[| wf(r); trans(r); is-recfun(r, a, H, f); is-recfun(r, b, H, g) |]$

$==> <x, a>:r \dashrightarrow <x, b>:r \dashrightarrow f'x = g'x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is-recfun-cut$:

$[| wf(r); trans(r);$

$is-recfun(r, a, H, f); is-recfun(r, b, H, g); <b, a>:r |]$

$==> restrict(f, r - \{b\}) = g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

13.4 Recursion: Main Existence Lemma

lemma $is-recfun-functional$:

$[| wf(r); trans(r); is-recfun(r, a, H, f); is-recfun(r, a, H, g) |] ==> f = g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $the-recfun-eq$:

$[| is-recfun(r, a, H, f); wf(r); trans(r) |] ==> the-recfun(r, a, H) = f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is-the-recfun$:

$[| is-recfun(r, a, H, f); wf(r); trans(r) |]$

$==> is-recfun(r, a, H, the-recfun(r, a, H))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $unfold-the-recfun$:

$[| wf(r); trans(r) |] ==> is-recfun(r, a, H, the-recfun(r, a, H))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

13.5 Unfolding $wftrec(r, a, H)$

lemma *the-recfun-cut*:

$$[[\text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r); \langle b, a \rangle : r]] \\ \implies \text{restrict}(\text{the-recfun}(r, a, H), r - \{\{b\}\}) = \text{the-recfun}(r, b, H)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wftrec*:

$$[[\text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r)]] \implies \\ wftrec(r, a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: r - \{\{a\}\}. wftrec(r, x, H))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13.5.1 Removal of the Premise $\text{trans}(r)$

lemma *wfrec*:

$$\text{wf}(r) \implies wfrec(r, a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: r - \{\{a\}\}. wfrec(r, x, H))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-wfrec*:

$$[[!x. h(x) \implies wfrec(r, x, H); \text{wf}(r)]] \implies \\ h(a) = H(a, \text{lam } x: r - \{\{a\}\}. h(x))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wfrec-type*:

$$[[\text{wf}(r); a:A; \text{field}(r) \leq A; \\ !!x u. [[x:A; u: \text{Pi}(r - \{\{x\}\}, B)]] \implies H(x, u) : B(x) \\]] \implies wfrec(r, a, H) : B(a)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wfrec-on*:

$$[[\text{wf}[A](r); a:A]] \implies \\ wfrec[A](r, a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: (r - \{\{a\}\}) \text{ Int } A. wfrec[A](r, x, H))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Minimal-element characterization of well-foundedness

lemma *wf-eq-minimal*:

$$\text{wf}(r) \iff (ALL Q x. x:Q \implies (EX z:Q. ALL y. \langle y, z \rangle : r \implies y \sim : Q))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

14 Transitive Sets and Ordinals

theory *Ordinal* **imports** *WF Bool equalities* **begin**

constdefs

```

Memrel      :: i=>i
Memrel(A)   == {z: A*A . EX x y. z=<x,y> & x:y }

Transset    :: i=>o
Transset(i) == ALL x:i. x<=i

Ord :: i=>o
Ord(i)      == Transset(i) & (ALL x:i. Transset(x))

lt          :: [i,i] => o (infixl < 50)
i<j         == i:j & Ord(j)

Limit       :: i=>o
Limit(i)    == Ord(i) & 0<i & (ALL y. y<i --> succ(y)<i)

```

syntax

```

le          :: [i,i] => o (infixl 50)

```

translations

```

x le y      == x < succ(y)

```

syntax (*xsymbols*)

```

op le       :: [i,i] => o (infixl ≤ 50)

```

syntax (*HTML output*)

```

op le       :: [i,i] => o (infixl ≤ 50)

```

14.1 Rules for Transset

14.1.1 Three Neat Characterisations of Transset

lemma *Transset-iff-Pow*: $\text{Transset}(A) \leftrightarrow A \leq \text{Pow}(A)$
<proof>

lemma *Transset-iff-Union-succ*: $\text{Transset}(A) \leftrightarrow \text{Union}(\text{succ}(A)) = A$
<proof>

lemma *Transset-iff-Union-subset*: $\text{Transset}(A) \leftrightarrow \text{Union}(A) \leq A$
<proof>

14.1.2 Consequences of Downwards Closure

lemma *Transset-doubleton-D*:

```

[[ Transset(C); {a,b}: C ]] ==> a:C & b: C
<proof>

```

lemma *Transset-Pair-D*:

$\llbracket \text{Transset}(C); \langle a, b \rangle : C \rrbracket \implies a : C \ \& \ b : C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-includes-domain*:

$\llbracket \text{Transset}(C); A * B \leq C; b : B \rrbracket \implies A \leq C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-includes-range*:

$\llbracket \text{Transset}(C); A * B \leq C; a : A \rrbracket \implies B \leq C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.1.3 Closure Properties

lemma *Transset-0*: $\text{Transset}(0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Un*:

$\llbracket \text{Transset}(i); \text{Transset}(j) \rrbracket \implies \text{Transset}(i \text{ Un } j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Int*:

$\llbracket \text{Transset}(i); \text{Transset}(j) \rrbracket \implies \text{Transset}(i \text{ Int } j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-succ*: $\text{Transset}(i) \implies \text{Transset}(\text{succ}(i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Pow*: $\text{Transset}(i) \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Pow}(i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Union*: $\text{Transset}(A) \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Union}(A))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Union-family*:

$\llbracket \forall i. i : A \implies \text{Transset}(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Union}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Inter-family*:

$\llbracket \forall i. i : A \implies \text{Transset}(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Inter}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-UN*:

$(\forall x. x \in A \implies \text{Transset}(B(x))) \implies \text{Transset}(\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-INT*:

$(\forall x. x \in A \implies \text{Transset}(B(x))) \implies \text{Transset}(\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

14.2 Lemmas for Ordinals

lemma *OrdI*:

$\llbracket Transset(i); \forall x. x:i \implies Transset(x) \rrbracket \implies Ord(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-is-Transset*: $Ord(i) \implies Transset(i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-contains-Transset*:

$\llbracket Ord(i); j:i \rrbracket \implies Transset(j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-in-Ord*: $\llbracket Ord(i); j:i \rrbracket \implies Ord(j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-in-Ord'*: $\llbracket j:i; Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies Ord(j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Ord-succD* = *Ord-in-Ord* [*OF* - *succI1*]

lemma *Ord-subset-Ord*: $\llbracket Ord(i); Transset(j); j \leq i \rrbracket \implies Ord(j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OrdmemD*: $\llbracket j:i; Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies j \leq i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-trans*: $\llbracket i:j; j:k; Ord(k) \rrbracket \implies i:k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-succ-subsetI*: $\llbracket i:j; Ord(j) \rrbracket \implies succ(i) \leq j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

14.3 The Construction of Ordinals: 0, succ, Union

lemma *Ord-0* [*iff*, *TC*]: $Ord(0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-succ* [*TC*]: $Ord(i) \implies Ord(succ(i))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Ord-1* = *Ord-0* [*THEN* *Ord-succ*]

lemma *Ord-succ-iff* [*iff*]: $Ord(succ(i)) \iff Ord(i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Un* [*intro,simp,TC*]: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies \text{Ord}(i \text{ Un } j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Int* [*TC*]: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies \text{Ord}(i \text{ Int } j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ON-class*: $\sim (ALL\ i.\ i:X \iff \text{Ord}(i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.4 \prec is 'less Than' for Ordinals

lemma *ltI*: $[\mid i:j; \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies i < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltE*:
 $[\mid i < j; [\mid i:j; \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltD*: $i < j \implies i:j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-lt0* [*simp*]: $\sim i < 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-Ord*: $j < i \implies \text{Ord}(j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-Ord2*: $j < i \implies \text{Ord}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *le-Ord2* = *lt-Ord2* [*THEN Ord-succD*]

lemmas *lt0E* = *not-lt0* [*THEN notE, elim!*]

lemma *lt-trans*: $[\mid i < j; j < k \mid] \implies i < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-not-sym*: $i < j \implies \sim (j < i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *lt-asym* = *lt-not-sym* [*THEN swap*]

lemma *lt-irrefl* [*elim!*]: $i < i \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-not-refl*: $\sim i < i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-iff*: $i \leq j \iff i < j \mid (i = j \ \& \ \text{Ord}(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *leI*: $i < j \implies i \leq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-eqI*: $[i = j; \ \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i \leq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *le-refl* = *refl* [THEN *le-eqI*]

lemma *le-refl-iff* [*iff*]: $i \leq i \iff \text{Ord}(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *leCI*: $(\sim (i = j \ \& \ \text{Ord}(j))) \implies i < j \implies i \leq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *leE*:
 $[i \leq j; \ i < j \implies P; \ [i = j; \ \text{Ord}(j)] \implies P] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-anti-sym*: $[i \leq j; \ j \leq i] \implies i = j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le0-iff* [*simp*]: $i \leq 0 \iff i = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *le0D* = *le0-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, *dest!*]

14.5 Natural Deduction Rules for Memrel

lemma *Memrel-iff* [*simp*]: $\langle a, b \rangle : \text{Memrel}(A) \iff a : b \ \& \ a : A \ \& \ b : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MemrelI* [*intro!*]: $[a : b; \ a : A; \ b : A] \implies \langle a, b \rangle : \text{Memrel}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MemrelE* [*elim!*]:
 $[\langle a, b \rangle : \text{Memrel}(A);$
 $\quad [a : A; \ b : A; \ a : b] \implies P]$
 $\implies P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Memrel-type*: $Memrel(A) \leq A * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Memrel-mono*: $A \leq B \implies Memrel(A) \leq Memrel(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Memrel-0* [*simp*]: $Memrel(0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Memrel-1* [*simp*]: $Memrel(1) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-Memrel*: $relation(Memrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-Memrel*: $wf(Memrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The premise $Ord(i)$ does not suffice.

lemma *trans-Memrel*:
 $Ord(i) \implies trans(Memrel(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

However, the following premise is strong enough.

lemma *Transset-trans-Memrel*:
 $\forall j \in i. Transset(j) \implies trans(Memrel(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Memrel-iff*:
 $Transset(A) \implies \langle a, b \rangle : Memrel(A) \iff a : b \ \& \ b : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.6 Transfinite Induction

lemma *Transset-induct*:
 $[[i : k; Transset(k);$
 $!!x. [x : k; ALL y : x. P(y)] \implies P(x)]]$
 $\implies P(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Ord-induct* [*consumes 2*] = *Transset-induct* [*OF - Ord-is-Transset*]

lemmas *Ord-induct-rule* = *Ord-induct* [*rule-format, consumes 2*]

lemma *trans-induct* [consumes 1]:

[[*Ord*(*i*);
 !!*x*.[[*Ord*(*x*); ALL *y*:*x*. *P*(*y*)]] ==> *P*(*x*)]]
 ==> *P*(*i*)
 <proof>

lemmas *trans-induct-rule* = *trans-induct* [rule-format, consumes 1]

14.6.1 Proving That ; is a Linear Ordering on the Ordinals

lemma *Ord-linear* [rule-format]:

Ord(*i*) ==> (ALL *j*. *Ord*(*j*) --> *i*:*j* | *i*=*j* | *j*:*i*)
 <proof>

lemma *Ord-linear-lt*:

[[*Ord*(*i*); *Ord*(*j*); *i*<*j* ==> *P*; *i*=*j* ==> *P*; *j*<*i* ==> *P*]] ==> *P*
 <proof>

lemma *Ord-linear2*:

[[*Ord*(*i*); *Ord*(*j*); *i*<*j* ==> *P*; *j* le *i* ==> *P*]] ==> *P*
 <proof>

lemma *Ord-linear-le*:

[[*Ord*(*i*); *Ord*(*j*); *i* le *j* ==> *P*; *j* le *i* ==> *P*]] ==> *P*
 <proof>

lemma *le-imp-not-lt*: *j* le *i* ==> ~ *i*<*j*

<proof>

lemma *not-lt-imp-le*: [[~ *i*<*j*; *Ord*(*i*); *Ord*(*j*)]] ==> *j* le *i*

<proof>

14.6.2 Some Rewrite Rules for ;, le

lemma *Ord-mem-iff-lt*: *Ord*(*j*) ==> *i*:*j* <-> *i*<*j*

<proof>

lemma *not-lt-iff-le*: [[*Ord*(*i*); *Ord*(*j*)]] ==> ~ *i*<*j* <-> *j* le *i*

<proof>

lemma *not-le-iff-lt*: [[*Ord*(*i*); *Ord*(*j*)]] ==> ~ *i* le *j* <-> *j*<*i*

<proof>

lemma *Ord-0-le*: *Ord*(*i*) ==> 0 le *i*

<proof>

lemma *Ord-0-lt*: [[*Ord*(*i*); *i*~=0]] ==> 0<*i*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-0-lt-iff*: $Ord(i) ==> i \sim 0 <-> 0 < i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.7 Results about Less-Than or Equals

lemma *zero-le-succ-iff* [*iff*]: $0 \leq succ(x) <-> Ord(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-imp-le*: $[j <= i; Ord(i); Ord(j)] ==> j \leq i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-imp-subset*: $i \leq j ==> i <= j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-subset-iff*: $j \leq i <-> j <= i \ \& \ Ord(i) \ \& \ Ord(j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-succ-iff*: $i \leq succ(j) <-> i \leq j \mid i = succ(j) \ \& \ Ord(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-lt-imp-le*: $[Ord(i); Ord(j); !!x. x < j ==> x < i] ==> j \leq i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.7.1 Transitivity Laws

lemma *lt-trans1*: $[i \leq j; j < k] ==> i < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-trans2*: $[i < j; j \leq k] ==> i < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-trans*: $[i \leq j; j \leq k] ==> i \leq k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-leI*: $i < j ==> succ(i) \leq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-leE*: $succ(i) \leq j ==> i < j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-le-iff* [*iff*]: $succ(i) \leq j <-> i < j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-le-imp-le*: $succ(i) \leq succ(j) ==> i \leq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-subset-trans*: $[[i <= j; j < k; \text{Ord}(i)]] \implies i < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-imp-0-lt*: $j < i \implies 0 < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-lt-iff*: $\text{succ}(i) < j \iff i < j \ \& \ \text{succ}(i) \neq j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-succ-mem-iff*: $\text{Ord}(j) \implies \text{succ}(i) \in \text{succ}(j) \iff i \in j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.7.2 Union and Intersection

lemma *Un-upper1-le*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies i \text{ le } i \text{ Un } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper2-le*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies j \text{ le } i \text{ Un } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-least-lt*: $[[i < k; j < k]] \implies i \text{ Un } j < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-least-lt-iff*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies i \text{ Un } j < k \iff i < k \ \& \ j < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-least-mem-iff*:
 $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k)]] \implies i \text{ Un } j : k \iff i : k \ \& \ j : k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-greatest-lt*: $[[i < k; j < k]] \implies i \text{ Int } j < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Un-if*:
 $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies i \cup j = (\text{if } j < i \text{ then } i \text{ else } j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-Un-distrib*:
 $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies \text{succ}(i \cup j) = \text{succ}(i) \cup \text{succ}(j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-Un-iff*:
 $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies k < i \cup j \iff k < i \mid k < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-Un-iff*:
 $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies k \leq i \cup j \iff k \leq i \mid k \leq j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper1-lt*: $[|k < i; \text{Ord}(j)|] \implies k < i \text{ Un } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper2-lt*: $[|k < j; \text{Ord}(i)|] \implies k < i \text{ Un } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Union-succ-eq*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \bigcup(\text{succ}(i)) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.8 Results about Limits

lemma *Ord-Union* $[intro, simp, TC]$: $[|!!i. i:A \implies \text{Ord}(i)|] \implies \text{Ord}(\text{Union}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-UN* $[intro, simp, TC]$:
 $[|!!x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(B(x))|] \implies \text{Ord}(\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Inter* $[intro, simp, TC]$:
 $[|!!i. i:A \implies \text{Ord}(i)|] \implies \text{Ord}(\text{Inter}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-INT* $[intro, simp, TC]$:
 $[|!!x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(B(x))|] \implies \text{Ord}(\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-least-le*:
 $[| \text{Ord}(i); !!x. x:A \implies b(x) \text{ le } i |] \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x)) \text{ le } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-succ-least-lt*:
 $[| j < i; !!x. x:A \implies b(x) < j |] \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} \text{succ}(b(x))) < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-upper-lt*:
 $[| a \in A; i < b(a); \text{Ord}(\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x)) |] \implies i < (\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-upper-le*:
 $[| a: A; i \text{ le } b(a); \text{Ord}(\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x)) |] \implies i \text{ le } (\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-Union-iff*: $\forall i \in A. \text{Ord}(i) \implies (j < \bigcup(A)) \iff (\exists i \in A. j < i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-upper-le*:

$\llbracket j: J; i \leq j; \text{Ord}(\bigcup(J)) \rrbracket \implies i \leq \bigcup J$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-implies-UN-le-UN*:

$\llbracket \forall x. x:A \implies c(x) \text{ le } d(x) \rrbracket \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} c(x)) \text{ le } (\bigcup_{x \in A} d(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-equality*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies (\bigcup_{y \in i} \text{succ}(y)) = i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Union-subset*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Union}(i) \leq i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.9 Limit Ordinals – General Properties

lemma *Limit-Union-eq*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Union}(i) = i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-is-Ord*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-has-0*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies 0 < i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-nonzero*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies i \sim 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-has-succ*: $\llbracket \text{Limit}(i); j < i \rrbracket \implies \text{succ}(j) < i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-succ-lt-iff* [simp]: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{succ}(j) < i \iff (j < i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-not-Limit* [iff]: $\sim \text{Limit}(0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-has-1*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies 1 < i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *increasing-LimitI*: $\llbracket 0 < l; \forall x \in l. \exists y \in l. x < y \rrbracket \implies \text{Limit}(l)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-succ-LimitI*:

$\llbracket 0 < i; \text{ALL } y. \text{succ}(y) \sim i \rrbracket \implies \text{Limit}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-LimitE* [elim!]: $\text{Limit}(\text{succ}(i)) \implies P$
 <proof>

lemma *not-succ-Limit* [simp]: $\sim \text{Limit}(\text{succ}(i))$
 <proof>

lemma *Limit-le-succD*: $[\text{Limit}(i); i \text{ le } \text{succ}(j)] \implies i \text{ le } j$
 <proof>

14.9.1 Traditional 3-Way Case Analysis on Ordinals

lemma *Ord-cases-disj*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i=0 \mid (\exists x. \text{Ord}(x) \ \& \ i=\text{succ}(x)) \mid \text{Limit}(i)$
 <proof>

lemma *Ord-cases*:

$$[\text{Ord}(i);$$

$$i=0 \implies P;$$

$$!!j. [\text{Ord}(j); i=\text{succ}(j)] \implies P;$$

$$\text{Limit}(i) \implies P$$

$$] \implies P$$
 <proof>

lemma *trans-induct3* [case-names 0 succ limit, consumes 1]:

$$[\text{Ord}(i);$$

$$P(0);$$

$$!!x. [\text{Ord}(x); P(x)] \implies P(\text{succ}(x));$$

$$!!x. [\text{Limit}(x); \text{ALL } y:x. P(y)] \implies P(x)$$

$$] \implies P(i)$$
 <proof>

lemmas *trans-induct3-rule* = *trans-induct3* [rule-format, case-names 0 succ limit, consumes 1]

A set of ordinals is either empty, contains its own union, or its union is a limit ordinal.

lemma *Ord-set-cases*:
 $\forall i \in I. \text{Ord}(i) \implies I=0 \vee \bigcup(I) \in I \vee (\bigcup(I) \notin I \wedge \text{Limit}(\bigcup(I)))$
 <proof>

If the union of a set of ordinals is a successor, then it is an element of that set.

lemma *Ord-Union-eq-succD*: $[\forall x \in X. \text{Ord}(x); \bigcup X = \text{succ}(j)] \implies \text{succ}(j) \in X$
 <proof>

lemma *Limit-Union* [rule-format]: $[I \neq 0; \forall i \in I. \text{Limit}(i)] \implies \text{Limit}(\bigcup I)$
 <proof>

<ML>

end

15 Special quantifiers

theory *OrdQuant* imports *Ordinal* begin

15.1 Quantifiers and union operator for ordinals

constdefs

$oall :: [i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow o$
 $oall(A, P) == ALL\ x.\ x < A \longrightarrow P(x)$

$oex :: [i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow o$
 $oex(A, P) == EX\ x.\ x < A \ \&\ P(x)$

$OUnion :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$
 $OUnion(i, B) == \{z : \bigcup_{x \in i} B(x). Ord(i)\}$

syntax

@oall :: $[idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o$ (($\exists ALL$ -<-./ -) 10)
 @oex :: $[idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o$ (($\exists EX$ -<-./ -) 10)
 @OUNION :: $[idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (($\exists UN$ -<-./ -) 10)

translations

$ALL\ x < a.\ P == oall(a, \%x.\ P)$
 $EX\ x < a.\ P == oex(a, \%x.\ P)$
 $UN\ x < a.\ B == OUnion(a, \%x.\ B)$

syntax (*xsymbols*)

@oall :: $[idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o$ (($\exists \forall$ -<-./ -) 10)
 @oex :: $[idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o$ (($\exists \exists$ -<-./ -) 10)
 @OUNION :: $[idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (($\exists \bigcup$ -<-./ -) 10)

syntax (*HTML output*)

@oall :: $[idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o$ (($\exists \forall$ -<-./ -) 10)
 @oex :: $[idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o$ (($\exists \exists$ -<-./ -) 10)
 @OUNION :: $[idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (($\exists \bigcup$ -<-./ -) 10)

15.1.1 simplification of the new quantifiers

lemma [*simp*]: $(ALL\ x < 0.\ P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $\sim (EX\ x < 0.\ P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $(\text{ALL } x < \text{succ}(i). P(x)) <-> (\text{Ord}(i) \text{ --> } P(i) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x < i. P(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $(\text{EX } x < \text{succ}(i). P(x)) <-> (\text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ (P(i) \mid (\text{EX } x < i. P(x))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

15.1.2 Union over ordinals

lemma *Ord-OUN [intro,simp]*:
 $[\mid \text{!!}x. x < A \text{ ==> } \text{Ord}(B(x)) \mid] \text{ ==> } \text{Ord}(\bigcup x < A. B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *OUN-upper-lt*:
 $[\mid a < A; \ i < b(a); \ \text{Ord}(\bigcup x < A. b(x)) \mid] \text{ ==> } i < (\bigcup x < A. b(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *OUN-upper-le*:
 $[\mid a < A; \ i \leq b(a); \ \text{Ord}(\bigcup x < A. b(x)) \mid] \text{ ==> } i \leq (\bigcup x < A. b(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-OUN-eq*: $\text{Limit}(i) \text{ ==> } (\bigcup x < i. x) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *OUN-least*:
 $(\text{!!}x. x < A \text{ ==> } B(x) \subseteq C) \text{ ==> } (\bigcup x < A. B(x)) \subseteq C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *OUN-least-le*:
 $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \ \text{!!}x. x < A \text{ ==> } b(x) \leq i \mid] \text{ ==> } (\bigcup x < A. b(x)) \leq i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-implies-OUN-le-OUN*:
 $[\mid \text{!!}x. x < A \text{ ==> } c(x) \leq d(x) \mid] \text{ ==> } (\bigcup x < A. c(x)) \leq (\bigcup x < A. d(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *OUN-UN-eq*:
 $(\text{!!}x. x:A \text{ ==> } \text{Ord}(B(x)))$
 $\text{==> } (\bigcup z < (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). C(z)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \bigcup z < B(x). C(z))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *OUN-Union-eq*:
 $(\text{!!}x. x:X \text{ ==> } \text{Ord}(x))$
 $\text{==> } (\bigcup z < \text{Union}(X). C(z)) = (\bigcup x \in X. \bigcup z < x. C(z))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atomize-oall* [*symmetric, rulify*]:

$$(\text{!!}x. x < A \implies P(x)) \implies \text{Trueprop } (ALL\ x < A. P(x))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.1.3 universal quantifier for ordinals

lemma *oallI* [*intro!*]:

$$[\text{!!}x. x < A \implies P(x)] \implies ALL\ x < A. P(x)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ospec*: $[\text{ALL } x < A. P(x); x < A] \implies P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oallE*:

$$[\text{ALL } x < A. P(x); P(x) \implies Q; \sim x < A \implies Q] \implies Q$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-oallE* [*elim*]:

$$[\text{ALL } x < A. P(x); \sim x < A \implies Q; P(x) \implies Q] \implies Q$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oall-simp* [*simp*]: $(ALL\ x < a. \text{True}) <-> \text{True}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oall-cong* [*cong*]:

$$[\text{a} = \text{a}'; \text{!!}x. x < \text{a}' \implies P(x) <-> P'(x)]$$

$$\implies \text{oall}(\text{a}, \%x. P(x)) <-> \text{oall}(\text{a}', \%x. P'(x))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.1.4 existential quantifier for ordinals

lemma *oexI* [*intro*]:

$$[P(x); x < A] \implies EX\ x < A. P(x)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oexCI*:

$$[\text{ALL } x < A. \sim P(x) \implies P(a); a < A] \implies EX\ x < A. P(x)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oexE* [*elim!*]:

$$[EX\ x < A. P(x); \text{!!}x. [x < A; P(x)] \implies Q] \implies Q$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oex-cong* [*cong*]:

$$[\text{a} = \text{a}'; \text{!!}x. x < \text{a}' \implies P(x) <-> P'(x)]$$

$$\Rightarrow oex(a, \%x. P(x)) \Leftrightarrow oex(a', \%x. P'(x))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.1.1.5 Rules for Ordinal-Indexed Unions

lemma *OUN-I* [*intro*]: $\llbracket a < i; \ b : B(a) \rrbracket \Rightarrow b : (\bigcup z < i. B(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-E* [*elim!*]:
 $\llbracket b : (\bigcup z < i. B(z)); \ !a. \llbracket b : B(a); \ a < i \rrbracket \Rightarrow R \rrbracket \Rightarrow R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-iff*: $b : (\bigcup x < i. B(x)) \Leftrightarrow (EX\ x < i. b : B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-cong* [*cong*]:
 $\llbracket i = j; \ !x. x < j \Rightarrow C(x) = D(x) \rrbracket \Rightarrow (\bigcup x < i. C(x)) = (\bigcup x < j. D(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-induct*:
 $\llbracket i < k; \ !x. \llbracket x < k; \ ALL\ y < x. P(y) \rrbracket \Rightarrow P(x) \rrbracket \Rightarrow P(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.2 Quantification over a class

constdefs

rall $:: [i => o, i => o] \Rightarrow o$
rall(*M*, *P*) $== ALL\ x. M(x) \longrightarrow P(x)$

rex $:: [i => o, i => o] \Rightarrow o$
rex(*M*, *P*) $== EX\ x. M(x) \ \&\ P(x)$

syntax

@*rall* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists ALL\ -[.] / -) 10)$
 @*rex* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists EX\ -[.] / -) 10)$

syntax (*xsymbols*)

@*rall* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \forall\ -[.] / -) 10)$
 @*rex* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \exists\ -[.] / -) 10)$

syntax (*HTML output*)

@*rall* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \forall\ -[.] / -) 10)$
 @*rex* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \exists\ -[.] / -) 10)$

translations

$ALL\ x[M]. P == rall(M, \%x. P)$
 $EX\ x[M]. P == rex(M, \%x. P)$

15.2.1 Relativized universal quantifier

lemma *rallI* [*intro!*]: $\llbracket !x. M(x) \Rightarrow P(x) \rrbracket \Rightarrow ALL\ x[M]. P(x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rspec*: $[\mid ALL\ x[M].\ P(x); M(x) \mid] ==> P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-rallE* [*elim*]:

$[\mid ALL\ x[M].\ P(x); \sim M(x) ==> Q; P(x) ==> Q \mid] ==> Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rallE*: $[\mid ALL\ x[M].\ P(x); P(x) ==> Q; \sim M(x) ==> Q \mid] ==> Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-triv* [*simp*]: $(ALL\ x[M].\ P) <-> ((EX\ x.\ M(x)) \dashv\vdash P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-cong* [*cong*]:

$(!!x.\ M(x) ==> P(x) <-> P'(x)) ==> (ALL\ x[M].\ P(x)) <-> (ALL\ x[M].\ P'(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.2.2 Relativized existential quantifier

lemma *rexI* [*intro*]: $[\mid P(x); M(x) \mid] ==> EX\ x[M].\ P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-rexI*: $[\mid M(x); P(x) \mid] ==> EX\ x[M].\ P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rexCI*: $[\mid ALL\ x[M].\ \sim P(x) ==> P(a); M(a) \mid] ==> EX\ x[M].\ P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rexE* [*elim!*]: $[\mid EX\ x[M].\ P(x); !!x.\ [\mid M(x); P(x) \mid] ==> Q \mid] ==> Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-triv* [*simp*]: $(EX\ x[M].\ P) <-> ((EX\ x.\ M(x)) \& P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-cong* [*cong*]:

$(!!x.\ M(x) ==> P(x) <-> P'(x)) ==> (EX\ x[M].\ P(x)) <-> (EX\ x[M].\ P'(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-is-ball* [*simp*]: $(\forall x[\%z.\ z \in A].\ P(x)) <-> (\forall x \in A.\ P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-is-bex* [simp]: $(\exists x [\%z. z \in A]. P(x)) <-> (\exists x \in A. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atomize-rall*: $(!!x. M(x) ==> P(x)) == Trueprop (ALL x[M]. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *atomize-rall* [symmetric, rulify]

lemma *rall-simps1*:

$(ALL x[M]. P(x) \ \& \ Q) <-> (ALL x[M]. P(x)) \ \& \ ((ALL x[M]. False) \mid Q)$
 $(ALL x[M]. P(x) \mid Q) <-> ((ALL x[M]. P(x)) \mid Q)$
 $(ALL x[M]. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) <-> ((EX x[M]. P(x)) \dashrightarrow Q)$
 $(\sim(ALL x[M]. P(x))) <-> (EX x[M]. \sim P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-simps2*:

$(ALL x[M]. P \ \& \ Q(x)) <-> ((ALL x[M]. False) \mid P) \ \& \ (ALL x[M]. Q(x))$
 $(ALL x[M]. P \mid Q(x)) <-> (P \mid (ALL x[M]. Q(x)))$
 $(ALL x[M]. P \dashrightarrow Q(x)) <-> (P \dashrightarrow (ALL x[M]. Q(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *rall-simps* [simp] = *rall-simps1* *rall-simps2*

lemma *rall-conj-distrib*:

$(ALL x[M]. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x)) <-> ((ALL x[M]. P(x)) \ \& \ (ALL x[M]. Q(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-simps1*:

$(EX x[M]. P(x) \ \& \ Q) <-> ((EX x[M]. P(x)) \ \& \ Q)$
 $(EX x[M]. P(x) \mid Q) <-> (EX x[M]. P(x)) \mid ((EX x[M]. True) \ \& \ Q)$
 $(EX x[M]. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) <-> ((ALL x[M]. P(x)) \dashrightarrow ((EX x[M]. True) \ \& \ Q))$
 $(\sim(EX x[M]. P(x))) <-> (ALL x[M]. \sim P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-simps2*:

$(EX x[M]. P \ \& \ Q(x)) <-> (P \ \& \ (EX x[M]. Q(x)))$
 $(EX x[M]. P \mid Q(x)) <-> ((EX x[M]. True) \ \& \ P) \mid (EX x[M]. Q(x))$
 $(EX x[M]. P \dashrightarrow Q(x)) <-> (((ALL x[M]. False) \mid P) \dashrightarrow (EX x[M]. Q(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *rex-simps* [simp] = *rex-simps1* *rex-simps2*

lemma *rex-disj-distrib*:

$(EX x[M]. P(x) \mid Q(x)) <-> ((EX x[M]. P(x)) \mid (EX x[M]. Q(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

15.2.3 One-point rule for bounded quantifiers

lemma *rex-triv-one-point1* [simp]: $(\exists x[M]. x=a) \leftrightarrow (M(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-triv-one-point2* [simp]: $(\exists x[M]. a=x) \leftrightarrow (M(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-one-point1* [simp]: $(\exists x[M]. x=a \ \& \ P(x)) \leftrightarrow (M(a) \ \& \ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-one-point2* [simp]: $(\exists x[M]. a=x \ \& \ P(x)) \leftrightarrow (M(a) \ \& \ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-one-point1* [simp]: $(\forall x[M]. x=a \ \rightarrow \ P(x)) \leftrightarrow (M(a) \ \rightarrow \ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-one-point2* [simp]: $(\forall x[M]. a=x \ \rightarrow \ P(x)) \leftrightarrow (M(a) \ \rightarrow \ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.2.4 Sets as Classes

constdefs *setclass* :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$ $(\#\# \cdot [40] \ 40)$
 $\text{setclass}(A) == \%x. x : A$

lemma *setclass-iff* [simp]: $\text{setclass}(A,x) \leftrightarrow x : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-setclass-is-ball* [simp]: $(\forall x[\#\#A]. P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-setclass-is-bex* [simp]: $(\exists x[\#\#A]. P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

Setting up the one-point-rule simproc

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

16 The Natural numbers As a Least Fixed Point

theory *Nat* **imports** *OrdQuant Bool* **begin**

constdefs

$nat :: i$
 $nat == lfp(Inf, \%X. \{0\} \ Un \ \{succ(i). \ i:X\})$

$quasinat :: i ==> o$
 $quasinat(n) == n=0 \mid (\exists m. \ n = succ(m))$

$nat-case :: [i, i==>i, i]==>i$
 $nat-case(a,b,k) == THE \ y. \ k=0 \ \& \ y=a \mid (EX \ x. \ k=succ(x) \ \& \ y=b(x))$

$nat-rec :: [i, i, [i,i]==>i]==>i$
 $nat-rec(k,a,b) ==$
 $wfrec(Memrel(nat), k, \%n \ f. \ nat-case(a, \%m. \ b(m, f'm), n))$

$Le :: i$
 $Le == \{<x,y>:nat*nat. \ x \ le \ y\}$

$Lt :: i$
 $Lt == \{<x, \ y>:nat*nat. \ x < y\}$

$Ge :: i$
 $Ge == \{<x,y>:nat*nat. \ y \ le \ x\}$

$Gt :: i$
 $Gt == \{<x,y>:nat*nat. \ y < x\}$

$greater-than :: i==>i$
 $greater-than(n) == \{i:nat. \ n < i\}$

No need for a less-than operator: a natural number is its list of predecessors!

lemma *nat-bnd-mono*: $bnd-mono(Inf, \%X. \ \{0\} \ Un \ \{succ(i). \ i:X\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *nat-unfold* = *nat-bnd-mono* [THEN *nat-def* [THEN *def-lfp-unfold*], *standard*]

lemma *nat-0I* [iff,TC]: $0 : nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-succI* [intro!,TC]: $n : nat ==> succ(n) : nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-1I* [iff,TC]: $1 : nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-2I* [*iff*, *TC*]: $2 : nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bool-subset-nat*: $bool \leq nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bool-into-nat* = *bool-subset-nat* [*THEN subsetD*, *standard*]

16.1 Injectivity Properties and Induction

lemma *nat-induct* [*case-names 0 succ*, *induct set: nat*]:
 $\llbracket n : nat; P(0); !!x. \llbracket x : nat; P(x) \rrbracket \implies P(succ(x)) \rrbracket \implies P(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *natE*:
 $\llbracket n : nat; n=0 \implies P; !!x. \llbracket x : nat; n=succ(x) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-into-Ord* [*simp*]: $n : nat \implies Ord(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *nat-0-le* = *nat-into-Ord* [*THEN Ord-0-le*, *standard*]

lemmas *nat-le-refl* = *nat-into-Ord* [*THEN le-refl*, *standard*]

lemma *Ord-nat* [*iff*]: $Ord(nat)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Limit-nat* [*iff*]: $Limit(nat)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *naturals-not-limit*: $a \in nat \implies \sim Limit(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-natD*: $succ(i) : nat \implies i : nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-succ-iff* [*iff*]: $succ(n) : nat \iff n : nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-le-Limit*: $Limit(i) \implies nat\ le\ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *succ-in-naturalD* = *Ord-trans* [*OF succI1 - nat-into-Ord*]

lemma *lt-nat-in-nat*: $[[\ m < n; \ n: \text{nat} \]] \implies m: \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-in-nat*: $[[\ m \leq n; \ n: \text{nat} \]] \implies m: \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

16.2 Variations on Mathematical Induction

lemmas *complete-induct* = *Ord-induct* [*OF* - *Ord-nat*, *case-names less*, *consumes 1*]

lemmas *complete-induct-rule* =
complete-induct [*rule-format*, *case-names less*, *consumes 1*]

lemma *nat-induct-from-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
 $[[\ n: \text{nat}; \ m: \text{nat};$
 $\quad !!x. [[\ x: \text{nat}; \ m \leq x; \ P(x) \]] \implies P(\text{succ}(x)) \]]$
 $\implies m \leq n \dashv\dashv P(m) \dashv\dashv P(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-induct-from*:
 $[[\ m \leq n; \ m: \text{nat}; \ n: \text{nat};$
 $\quad P(m);$
 $\quad !!x. [[\ x: \text{nat}; \ m \leq x; \ P(x) \]] \implies P(\text{succ}(x)) \]]$
 $\implies P(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-induct* [*case-names 0 0-succ succ-succ*, *consumes 2*]:
 $[[\ m: \text{nat}; \ n: \text{nat};$
 $\quad !!x. x: \text{nat} \implies P(x, 0);$
 $\quad !!y. y: \text{nat} \implies P(0, \text{succ}(y));$
 $\quad !!x \ y. [[\ x: \text{nat}; \ y: \text{nat}; \ P(x, y) \]] \implies P(\text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y)) \]]$
 $\implies P(m, n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-lt-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
 $m: \text{nat} \implies P(m, \text{succ}(m)) \dashv\dashv (ALL \ x: \text{nat}. P(m, x) \dashv\dashv P(m, \text{succ}(x)))$
 $\dashv\dashv$
 $(ALL \ n: \text{nat}. m < n \dashv\dashv P(m, n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-lt-induct*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| m < n; \quad n: \text{nat}; \\ & \quad P(m, \text{succ}(m)); \\ & \quad !!x. [| x: \text{nat}; \quad P(m, x) |] ==> P(m, \text{succ}(x)) |] \\ & ==> P(m, n) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

16.3 quasinat: to allow a case-split rule for *nat-case*

True if the argument is zero or any successor

lemma *[iff]: quasinat(0)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[iff]: quasinat(succ(x))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-imp-quasinat: n ∈ nat ==> quasinat(n)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-nat-case: ~ quasinat(x) ==> nat-case(a, b, x) = 0*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-cases-disj: k=0 | (∃ y. k = succ(y)) | ~ quasinat(k)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-cases:*

$$[| k=0 ==> P; \quad !!y. k = \text{succ}(y) ==> P; \quad \sim \text{quasinat}(k) ==> P |] ==> P$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-case-0 [simp]: nat-case(a, b, 0) = a*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-case-succ [simp]: nat-case(a, b, succ(n)) = b(n)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-case-type [TC]:*

$$\begin{aligned} & [| n: \text{nat}; \quad a: C(0); \quad !!m. m: \text{nat} ==> b(m): C(\text{succ}(m)) |] \\ & ==> \text{nat-case}(a, b, n) : C(n) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *split-nat-case:*

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\text{nat-case}(a, b, k)) <-> \\ & ((k=0 \dashrightarrow P(a)) \ \& \ (\forall x. k=\text{succ}(x) \dashrightarrow P(b(x))) \ \& \ (\sim \text{quasinat}(k) \longrightarrow \\ & P(0))) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

16.4 Recursion on the Natural Numbers

lemma *nat-rec-0*: $\text{nat-rec}(0, a, b) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-rec-succ*: $m : \text{nat} \implies \text{nat-rec}(\text{succ}(m), a, b) = b(m, \text{nat-rec}(m, a, b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-nat-type* [TC]: $[| i : \text{nat}; j : \text{nat} |] \implies i \text{ Un } j : \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-nat-type* [TC]: $[| i : \text{nat}; j : \text{nat} |] \implies i \text{ Int } j : \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-nonempty* [simp]: $\text{nat} \sim = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A natural number is the set of its predecessors

lemma *nat-eq-Collect-lt*: $i \in \text{nat} \implies \{j \in \text{nat}. j < i\} = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Le-iff* [iff]: $\langle x, y \rangle : \text{Le} \iff x \text{ le } y \ \& \ x : \text{nat} \ \& \ y : \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

end

17 Epsilon Induction and Recursion

theory *Epsilon* **imports** *Nat* **begin**

constdefs

eclose $:: i \implies i$
 $\text{eclose}(A) == \bigcup n \in \text{nat}. \text{nat-rec}(n, A, \%m r. \text{Union}(r))$

transrec $:: [i, [i, i] \implies i] \implies i$
 $\text{transrec}(a, H) == \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{a\})), a, H)$

rank $:: i \implies i$
 $\text{rank}(a) == \text{transrec}(a, \%x f. \bigcup y \in x. \text{succ}(f'y))$

transrec2 $:: [i, i, [i, i] \implies i] \implies i$
 $\text{transrec2}(k, a, b) ==$
 $\text{transrec}(k,$

$\%i \text{ r. if}(i=0, a,$
 $\text{if}(EX \ j. \ i=succ(j),$
 $b(\text{THE } j. \ i=succ(j), \ r'(\text{THE } j. \ i=succ(j))),$
 $\bigcup_{j<i. \ r'(j))$

 $recursor :: [i, [i,i]=>i, i]=>i$
 $recursor(a,b,k) == transrec(k, \%n \ f. \ nat-case(a, \%m. \ b(m, f'm), n))$

 $rec :: [i, i, [i,i]=>i]=>i$
 $rec(k,a,b) == recursor(a,b,k)$

17.1 Basic Closure Properties

lemma *arg-subset-eclose*: $A \leq eclose(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *arg-into-eclose* = *arg-subset-eclose* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

lemma *Transset-eclose*: $Transset(eclose(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *eclose-subset* =
Transset-eclose [*unfolded Transset-def, THEN bspec, standard*]

lemmas *ecloseD* = *eclose-subset* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

lemmas *arg-in-eclose-sing* = *arg-subset-eclose* [*THEN singleton-subsetD*]
lemmas *arg-into-eclose-sing* = *arg-in-eclose-sing* [*THEN ecloseD, standard*]

lemmas *eclose-induct* =
Transset-induct [*OF - Transset-eclose, induct set: eclose*]

lemma *eps-induct*:
 $[[!x. ALL \ y.x. \ P(y) ==> \ P(x)]] ==> \ P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.2 Leastness of *eclose*

lemma *eclose-least-lemma*:
 $[[Transset(X); \ A \leq X; \ n: \ nat]] ==> \ nat-rec(n, \ A, \%m \ r. \ Union(r)) \leq X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eclose-least*:
 $[[Transset(X); \ A \leq X]] ==> \ eclose(A) \leq X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eclose-induct-down* [consumes 1]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket a: \text{eclose}(b); \\ & \quad !!y. \llbracket y: b \rrbracket ==> P(y); \\ & \quad !!y z. \llbracket y: \text{eclose}(b); P(y); z: y \rrbracket ==> P(z) \\ & \rrbracket ==> P(a) \end{aligned}$$
 <proof>

lemma *Transset-eclose-eq-arg*: $\text{Transset}(X) ==> \text{eclose}(X) = X$
 <proof>

A transitive set either is empty or contains the empty set.

lemma *Transset-0-lemma* [rule-format]: $\text{Transset}(A) ==> x \in A \dashv\dashv 0 \in A$
 <proof>

lemma *Transset-0-disj*: $\text{Transset}(A) ==> A = 0 \mid 0 \in A$
 <proof>

17.3 Epsilon Recursion

lemma *mem-eclose-trans*: $\llbracket A: \text{eclose}(B); B: \text{eclose}(C) \rrbracket ==> A: \text{eclose}(C)$
 <proof>

lemma *mem-eclose-sing-trans*:

$$\llbracket A: \text{eclose}(\{B\}); B: \text{eclose}(\{C\}) \rrbracket ==> A: \text{eclose}(\{C\})$$
 <proof>

lemma *under-Memrel*: $\llbracket \text{Transset}(i); j: i \rrbracket ==> \text{Memrel}(i) - \text{“}\{j\} = j$
 <proof>

lemma *lt-Memrel*: $j < i ==> \text{Memrel}(i) - \text{“}\{j\} = j$
 <proof>

lemmas *under-Memrel-eclose* = *Transset-eclose* [THEN *under-Memrel*, *standard*]

lemmas *wfrec-ssubst* = *wf-Memrel* [THEN *wfrec*, THEN *ssubst*]

lemma *wfrec-eclose-eq*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket k: \text{eclose}(\{j\}); j: \text{eclose}(\{i\}) \rrbracket ==> \\ & \quad \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{i\})), k, H) = \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{j\})), k, H) \end{aligned}$$
 <proof>

lemma *wfrec-eclose-eq2*:

$$k: i ==> \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{i\})), k, H) = \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{k\})), k, H)$$
 <proof>

lemma *transrec*: $\text{transrec}(a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x:a. \text{transrec}(x, H))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-transrec*:
 $\llbracket \text{!!}x. f(x) == \text{transrec}(x, H) \rrbracket ==> f(a) = H(a, \text{lam } x:a. f(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec-type*:
 $\llbracket \text{!!}x u. \llbracket x:\text{eclose}(\{a\}); u: \text{Pi}(x, B) \rrbracket ==> H(x, u) : B(x) \rrbracket$
 $==> \text{transrec}(a, H) : B(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-sing-Ord*: $\text{Ord}(i) ==> \text{eclose}(\{i\}) \leq \text{succ}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-subset-eclose-sing*: $\text{succ}(i) \leq \text{eclose}(\{i\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-sing-Ord-eq*: $\text{Ord}(i) ==> \text{eclose}(\{i\}) = \text{succ}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-transrec-type*:
assumes *jini*: $j: i$
and *ordi*: $\text{Ord}(i)$
and *minor*: $\llbracket \text{!!}x u. \llbracket x: i; u: \text{Pi}(x, B) \rrbracket ==> H(x, u) : B(x) \rrbracket$
shows $\text{transrec}(j, H) : B(j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

17.4 Rank

lemma *rank*: $\text{rank}(a) = (\bigcup y \in a. \text{succ}(\text{rank}(y)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-rank* [*simp*]: $\text{Ord}(\text{rank}(a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-of-Ord*: $\text{Ord}(i) ==> \text{rank}(i) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-lt*: $a < b ==> \text{rank}(a) < \text{rank}(b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-rank-lt*: $a: \text{eclose}(b) ==> \text{rank}(a) < \text{rank}(b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-mono*: $a \leq b ==> \text{rank}(a) \leq \text{rank}(b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-Pow*: $\text{rank}(\text{Pow}(a)) = \text{succ}(\text{rank}(a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-0* [simp]: $\text{rank}(0) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-succ* [simp]: $\text{rank}(\text{succ}(x)) = \text{succ}(\text{rank}(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-Union*: $\text{rank}(\text{Union}(A)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{rank}(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-eclose*: $\text{rank}(\text{eclose}(a)) = \text{rank}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-pair1*: $\text{rank}(a) < \text{rank}(\langle a, b \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-pair2*: $\text{rank}(b) < \text{rank}(\langle a, b \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-equality-if*:
 $P(a) ==> (\text{THE } x. P(x)) = (\text{if } (EX!x. P(x)) \text{ then } a \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-apply*: $[[i : \text{domain}(f); \text{function}(f)]] ==> \text{rank}(f'i) < \text{rank}(f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

17.5 Corollaries of Leastness

lemma *mem-eclose-subset*: $A:B ==> \text{eclose}(A) \leq \text{eclose}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-mono*: $A \leq B ==> \text{eclose}(A) \leq \text{eclose}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-idem*: $\text{eclose}(\text{eclose}(A)) = \text{eclose}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec2-0* [simp]: $\text{transrec2}(0, a, b) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec2-succ* [simp]: $\text{transrec2}(\text{succ}(i), a, b) = b(i, \text{transrec2}(i, a, b))$

<proof>

lemma *transrec2-Limit*:

$$Limit(i) ==> transrec2(i,a,b) = (\bigcup_{j < i. transrec2(j,a,b))$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *def-transrec2*:

$$(!x. f(x) == \text{transrec2}(x, a, b))$$
$$\implies f(0) = a \text{ \&}$$
$$f(succ(i)) = b(i, f(i)) \text{ \&}$$
$$(Limit(K) \dashrightarrow f(K) = (\bigcup_{j < K} f(j)))$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemmas } \textit{recursor-lemma} = \textit{recursor-def} \ [THEN \textit{def-transrec}, \ THEN \textit{trans}]$$

lemma *recursor-0*: $\text{recursor}(a,b,0) = a$

<proof>

lemma *recursor-succ*: $\text{recursor}(a, b, \text{succ}(m)) = b(m, \text{recursor}(a, b, m))$

<proof>

lemma *rec-0* [*simp*]: $\text{rec}(0, a, b) = a$

<proof>

lemma *rec-succ [simp]*: $\text{rec}(\text{succ}(m), a, b) = b(m, \text{rec}(m, a, b))$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *rec-type*:

$$[| \quad n: nat;$$
 $a: C(\theta);$
$$!!m \text{ z. } [\mid m: \text{nat}; \text{ z: } C(m) \mid] ==> b(m, z): C(\text{succ}(m)) \mid$$
$$\implies \text{rec}(n, a, b) : C(n)$$

<proof>

 $\langle ML \rangle$

end

18 Partial and Total Orderings: Basic Definitions and Properties

theory *Order* imports *WF Perm* begin

constdefs

part-ord :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$
part-ord(*A*, *r*) == *irrefl*(*A*, *r*) & *trans*[*A*](*r*)

linear :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$
linear(*A*, *r*) == (*ALL* *x*:*A*. *ALL* *y*:*A*. $\langle x, y \rangle : r \mid x = y \mid \langle y, x \rangle : r$)

tot-ord :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$
tot-ord(*A*, *r*) == *part-ord*(*A*, *r*) & *linear*(*A*, *r*)

well-ord :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$
well-ord(*A*, *r*) == *tot-ord*(*A*, *r*) & *wf*[*A*](*r*)

mono-map :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$
mono-map(*A*, *r*, *B*, *s*) ==
 $\{f: A \rightarrow B. \text{ALL } x:A. \text{ALL } y:A. \langle x, y \rangle : r \longrightarrow \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s\}$

ord-iso :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$
ord-iso(*A*, *r*, *B*, *s*) ==
 $\{f: \text{bij}(A, B). \text{ALL } x:A. \text{ALL } y:A. \langle x, y \rangle : r \longleftrightarrow \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s\}$

pred :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$
pred(*A*, *x*, *r*) == $\{y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r\}$

ord-iso-map :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$
ord-iso-map(*A*, *r*, *B*, *s*) ==
 $\bigcup x \in A. \bigcup y \in B. \bigcup f \in \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), r, \text{pred}(B, y, s), s). \{\langle x, y \rangle\}$

first :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$
first(*u*, *X*, *R*) == *u*:*X* & (*ALL* *v*:*X*. $v \sim u \longrightarrow \langle u, v \rangle : R$)

syntax (*xsymbols*)

ord-iso :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ ($(\langle -, - \rangle \cong / \langle -, - \rangle)$ 51)

18.1 Immediate Consequences of the Definitions

lemma *part-ord-Imp-asym*:

part-ord(*A*, *r*) ==> *asym*(*r* Int *A***A*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linearE*:

$[\mid \text{linear}(A, r); \ x:A; \ y:A;$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x, y \rangle : r \implies P; \quad x = y \implies P; \quad \langle y, x \rangle : r \implies P \quad || \\ & \implies P \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *well-ordI*:

$$[[wf[A](r); linear(A, r)] \implies well-ord(A, r)]$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *well-ord-is-wf*:

$$well-ord(A, r) \implies wf[A](r)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *well-ord-is-trans-on*:

$$well-ord(A, r) \implies trans[A](r)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *well-ord-is-linear*: $well-ord(A, r) \implies linear(A, r)$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *pred-iff*: $y : pred(A, x, r) \iff \langle y, x \rangle : r \ \& \ y : A$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemmas *predI* = *conjI* [*THEN* *pred-iff* [*THEN* *iffD2*]]

lemma *predE*: $[[y : pred(A, x, r); \quad [[y : A; \langle y, x \rangle : r] \implies P]] \implies P]$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *pred-subset-under*: $pred(A, x, r) \leq r - \{x\}$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *pred-subset*: $pred(A, x, r) \leq A$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *pred-pred-eq*:

$$pred(pred(A, x, r), y, r) = pred(A, x, r) \ Int \ pred(A, y, r)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *trans-pred-pred-eq*:

$$[[trans[A](r); \quad \langle y, x \rangle : r; \quad x : A; \quad y : A]]$$

$$\implies pred(pred(A, x, r), y, r) = pred(A, y, r)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

18.2 Restricting an Ordering's Domain

lemma *part-ord-subset*:

$\llbracket \text{part-ord}(A,r); B \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{part-ord}(B,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-subset*:

$\llbracket \text{linear}(A,r); B \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{linear}(B,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-subset*:

$\llbracket \text{tot-ord}(A,r); B \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{tot-ord}(B,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-subset*:

$\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A,r); B \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{well-ord}(B,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *irrefl-Int-iff*: $\text{irrefl}(A,r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{irrefl}(A,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-on-Int-iff*: $\text{trans}[A](r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{trans}[A](r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-Int-iff*: $\text{part-ord}(A,r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{part-ord}(A,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-Int-iff*: $\text{linear}(A,r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{linear}(A,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-Int-iff*: $\text{tot-ord}(A,r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{tot-ord}(A,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-Int-iff*: $\text{wf}[A](r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{wf}[A](r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-Int-iff*: $\text{well-ord}(A,r \text{ Int } A * A) <-> \text{well-ord}(A,r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.3 Empty and Unit Domains

lemma *wf-on-any-0*: $\text{wf}[A](0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.3.1 Relations over the Empty Set

lemma *irrefl-0*: $\text{irrefl}(0,r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-on-0*: $trans[0](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-0*: $part-ord(0, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-0*: $linear(0, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-0*: $tot-ord(0, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-0*: $wf[0](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-0*: $well-ord(0, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

18.3.2 The Empty Relation Well-Orders the Unit Set

by Grabczewski

lemma *tot-ord-unit*: $tot-ord(\{a\}, 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-unit*: $well-ord(\{a\}, 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

18.4 Order-Isomorphisms

Suppes calls them "similarities"

lemma *mono-map-is-fun*: $f: mono-map(A, r, B, s) ==> f: A \multimap B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-map-is-inj*:
[[$linear(A, r); wf[B](s); f: mono-map(A, r, B, s)$]] ==> $f: inj(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-isoI*:
[[$f: bij(A, B);$
!! $x y. [[x:A; y:A]]$ ==> $\langle x, y \rangle : r \leftrightarrow \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s$]]
==> $f: ord-iso(A, r, B, s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-is-mono-map*:
 $f: ord-iso(A, r, B, s) ==> f: mono-map(A, r, B, s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-is-bij*:

$f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \implies f: \text{bij}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-apply*:

$[[f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \langle x, y \rangle: r; x:A; y:A]] \implies \langle f'x, f'y \rangle: s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-converse*:

$[[f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \langle x, y \rangle: s; x:B; y:B]]$
 $\implies \langle \text{converse}(f) ' x, \text{converse}(f) ' y \rangle: r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-refl*: $\text{id}(A): \text{ord-iso}(A, r, A, r)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-sym*: $f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{ord-iso}(B, s, A, r)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mono-map-trans*:

$[[g: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s); f: \text{mono-map}(B, s, C, t)]]$
 $\implies (f \circ g): \text{mono-map}(A, r, C, t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-trans*:

$[[g: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); f: \text{ord-iso}(B, s, C, t)]]$
 $\implies (f \circ g): \text{ord-iso}(A, r, C, t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mono-ord-isoI*:

$[[f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s); g: \text{mono-map}(B, s, A, r);$
 $f \circ g = \text{id}(B); g \circ f = \text{id}(A)]] \implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-mono-ord-isoI*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s);$
 $f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s); \text{converse}(f): \text{mono-map}(B, s, A, r)]]$
 $\implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-ord-iso*:

$[[\text{part-ord}(B,s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s)]] \implies \text{part-ord}(A,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-ord-iso*:

$[[\text{linear}(B,s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s)]] \implies \text{linear}(A,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-ord-iso*:

$[[\text{wf}[B](s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s)]] \implies \text{wf}[A](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-ord-iso*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(B,s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s)]] \implies \text{well-ord}(A,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

18.5 Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6

lemma *well-ord-iso-subset-lemma*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, A',r); A' \leq A; y: A]]$
 $\implies \sim < f^*y, y >: r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-iso-predE*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, \text{pred}(A,x,r), r); x:A]] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-iso-pred-eq*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); f: \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,a,r), r, \text{pred}(A,c,r), r);$
 $a:A; c:A]] \implies a=c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-image-pred*:

$[[f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); a:A]] \implies f^* \text{pred}(A,a,r) = \text{pred}(B, f^*a, s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-restrict-image*:

$[[f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); C \leq A]]$
 $\implies \text{restrict}(f,C): \text{ord-iso}(C, r, f^*C, s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-restrict-pred*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[f : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \quad a:A \]] \\ & \implies \text{restrict}(f, \text{pred}(A, a, r)) : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A, a, r), r, \text{pred}(B, f'a, s), s) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *well-ord-iso-preserving*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s); \langle a, c \rangle : r; \\ & \quad f : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A, a, r), r, \text{pred}(B, b, s), s); \\ & \quad g : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A, c, r), r, \text{pred}(B, d, s), s); \\ & \quad a:A; \quad c:A; \quad b:B; \quad d:B \]] \implies \langle b, d \rangle : s \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *well-ord-iso-unique-lemma*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \\ & \quad f : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \quad g : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \quad y : A \]] \\ & \implies \sim \langle g'y, f'y \rangle : s \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *well-ord-iso-unique*: $[[\text{well-ord}(A, r);$

$$f : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \quad g : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \]] \implies f = g$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.6 Towards Kunen's Theorem 6.3: Linearity of the Similarity Relation

lemma *ord-iso-map-subset*: $\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s) \leq A * B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-ord-iso-map*: $\text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \leq A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-ord-iso-map*: $\text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \leq B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-ord-iso-map*:

$$\text{converse}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) = \text{ord-iso-map}(B, s, A, r)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-ord-iso-map*:

$$\text{well-ord}(B, s) \implies \text{function}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-map-fun*: $\text{well-ord}(B, s) \implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)$

$$: \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \rightarrow \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-map-mono-map*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s)]]$
 $\implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)$
 $: \text{mono-map}(\text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)), r,$
 $\text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)), s)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-map-ord-iso*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s)]]$ $\implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)$
 $: \text{ord-iso}(\text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)), r,$
 $\text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)), s)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-ord-iso-map-subset*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s);$
 $a: A; a \sim: \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s))]]$
 $\implies \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) \leq \text{pred}(A, a, r)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-ord-iso-map-cases*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s)]]$
 $\implies \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = A \mid$
 $(\exists x: A. \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = \text{pred}(A, x, r))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-ord-iso-map-cases*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s)]]$
 $\implies \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = B \mid$
 $(\exists y: B. \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = \text{pred}(B, y, s))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Kunen's Theorem 6.3: Fundamental Theorem for Well-Ordered Sets

theorem *well-ord-trichotomy*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s)]]$
 $\implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \mid$
 $(\exists x: A. \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), r, B, s)) \mid$
 $(\exists y: B. \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, \text{pred}(B, y, s), s))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.7 Miscellaneous Results by Krzysztof Grabczewski

lemma *irrefl-converse*: $\text{irrefl}(A,r) \implies \text{irrefl}(A, \text{converse}(r))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-on-converse*: $\text{trans}[A](r) \implies \text{trans}[A](\text{converse}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-converse*: $\text{part-ord}(A, r) \implies \text{part-ord}(A, \text{converse}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-converse*: $\text{linear}(A, r) \implies \text{linear}(A, \text{converse}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-converse*: $\text{tot-ord}(A, r) \implies \text{tot-ord}(A, \text{converse}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *first-is-elem*: $\text{first}(b, B, r) \implies b:B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-imp-ex1-first*:
 $\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A, r); B \leq A; B \sim 0 \rrbracket \implies (\text{EX! } b. \text{first}(b, B, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-first-in*:
 $\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A, r); B \leq A; B \sim 0 \rrbracket \implies (\text{THE } b. \text{first}(b, B, r)) : B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

end

19 Combining Orderings: Foundations of Ordinal Arithmetic

theory *OrderArith* **imports** *Order Sum Ordinal* **begin**
constdefs

$\text{radd} \quad :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$
 $\text{radd}(A, r, B, s) ==$
 $\{z: (A+B) * (A+B).$
 $\quad (\text{EX } x \ y. z = \langle \text{Inl}(x), \text{Inr}(y) \rangle) \mid$
 $\quad (\text{EX } x' \ x. z = \langle \text{Inl}(x'), \text{Inl}(x) \rangle \ \& \ \langle x', x \rangle : r) \mid$
 $\quad (\text{EX } y' \ y. z = \langle \text{Inr}(y'), \text{Inr}(y) \rangle \ \& \ \langle y', y \rangle : s)\}$

$\text{rmult} \quad :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s) = & \\ & \{z: (A*B) * (A*B). \\ & \quad EX\ x'\ y'\ x\ y. z = \langle \langle x', y' \rangle, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle \ \& \\ & \quad (\langle x', x \rangle: r \mid (x' = x \ \& \langle y', y \rangle: s))\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rvmage} &:: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \\ \text{rvmage}(A, f, r) &== \{z: A*A. EX\ x\ y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& \langle f'x, f'y \rangle: r\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{measure} &:: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i \\ \text{measure}(A, f) &== \{\langle x, y \rangle: A*A. f(x) < f(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

19.1 Addition of Relations – Disjoint Sum

19.1.1 Rewrite rules. Can be used to obtain introduction rules

lemma *radd-Inl-Inr-iff* [iff]:
 $\langle \text{Inl}(a), \text{Inr}(b) \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \iff a:A \ \& \ b:B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *radd-Inl-iff* [iff]:
 $\langle \text{Inl}(a'), \text{Inl}(a) \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \iff a':A \ \& \ a:A \ \& \ \langle a', a \rangle: r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *radd-Inr-iff* [iff]:
 $\langle \text{Inr}(b'), \text{Inr}(b) \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \iff b':B \ \& \ b:B \ \& \ \langle b', b \rangle: s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *radd-Inr-Inl-iff* [simp]:
 $\langle \text{Inr}(b), \text{Inl}(a) \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \iff \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *radd-Inr-Inl-iff* [THEN iffD1, dest!]

19.1.2 Elimination Rule

lemma *raddE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \langle p', p \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. \llbracket p' = \text{Inl}(x); x:A; p = \text{Inr}(y); y:B \rrbracket \implies Q; \\ & \quad !!x'\ x. \llbracket p' = \text{Inl}(x'); p = \text{Inl}(x); \langle x', x \rangle: r; x':A; x:A \rrbracket \implies Q; \\ & \quad !!y'\ y. \llbracket p' = \text{Inr}(y'); p = \text{Inr}(y); \langle y', y \rangle: s; y':B; y:B \rrbracket \implies Q \\ & \rrbracket \implies Q \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.1.3 Type checking

lemma *radd-type*: $\text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \leq (A+B) * (A+B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *field-radd* = *radd-type* [THEN field-rel-subset]

19.1.4 Linearity

lemma *linear-radd*:

$\llbracket \text{linear}(A,r); \text{linear}(B,s) \rrbracket \implies \text{linear}(A+B, \text{radd}(A,r,B,s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.1.5 Well-foundedness

lemma *wf-on-radd*: $\llbracket \text{wf}[A](r); \text{wf}[B](s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}[A+B](\text{radd}(A,r,B,s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-radd*: $\llbracket \text{wf}(r); \text{wf}(s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}(\text{radd}(\text{field}(r), r, \text{field}(s), s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-radd*:

$\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s) \rrbracket \implies \text{well-ord}(A+B, \text{radd}(A,r,B,s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.1.6 An ord-iso congruence law

lemma *sum-bij*:

$\llbracket f: \text{bij}(A,C); g: \text{bij}(B,D) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{lam } z:A+B. \text{case}(\%x. \text{Inl}(f'x), \%y. \text{Inr}(g'y), z)) : \text{bij}(A+B, C+D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-ord-iso-cong*:

$\llbracket f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,A',r'); g: \text{ord-iso}(B,s,B',s') \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{lam } z:A+B. \text{case}(\%x. \text{Inl}(f'x), \%y. \text{Inr}(g'y), z))$
 $: \text{ord-iso}(A+B, \text{radd}(A,r,B,s), A'+B', \text{radd}(A',r',B',s'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-disjoint-bij*: $A \text{ Int } B = 0 \implies$

$(\text{lam } z:A+B. \text{case}(\%x. x, \%y. y), z) : \text{bij}(A+B, A \text{ Un } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.1.7 Associativity

lemma *sum-assoc-bij*:

$(\text{lam } z:(A+B)+C. \text{case}(\text{case}(\text{Inl}, \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inl}(y))), \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inr}(y)), z))$
 $: \text{bij}((A+B)+C, A+(B+C))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-assoc-ord-iso*:

$(\text{lam } z:(A+B)+C. \text{case}(\text{case}(\text{Inl}, \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inl}(y))), \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inr}(y)), z))$
 $: \text{ord-iso}((A+B)+C, \text{radd}(A+B, \text{radd}(A,r,B,s), C, t),$
 $A+(B+C), \text{radd}(A, r, B+C, \text{radd}(B,s,C,t)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.2 Multiplication of Relations – Lexicographic Product

19.2.1 Rewrite rule. Can be used to obtain introduction rules

lemma *rmult-iff* [iff]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \langle a', b' \rangle, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle : \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s) \langle - \rangle \\ & (\langle a', a \rangle : r \ \& \ a' : A \ \& \ a : A \ \& \ b' : B \ \& \ b : B) \mid \\ & (\langle b', b \rangle : s \ \& \ a' = a \ \& \ a : A \ \& \ b' : B \ \& \ b : B) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *rmultE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \langle \langle a', b' \rangle, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle : \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s); \\ & \quad \llbracket \langle a', a \rangle : r; \ a' : A; \ a : A; \ b' : B; \ b : B \rrbracket \implies Q; \\ & \quad \llbracket \langle b', b \rangle : s; \ a : A; \ a' = a; \ b' : B; \ b : B \rrbracket \implies Q \\ & \rrbracket \implies Q \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

19.2.2 Type checking

lemma *rmult-type*: $\text{rmult}(A, r, B, s) \leq (A * B) * (A * B)$

<proof>

lemmas *field-rmult* = *rmult-type* [THEN *field-rel-subset*]

19.2.3 Linearity

lemma *linear-rmult*:

$$\llbracket \text{linear}(A, r); \text{linear}(B, s) \rrbracket \implies \text{linear}(A * B, \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s))$$

<proof>

19.2.4 Well-foundedness

lemma *wf-on-rmult*: $\llbracket \text{wf}[A](r); \text{wf}[B](s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}[A * B](\text{rmult}(A, r, B, s))$

<proof>

lemma *wf-rmult*: $\llbracket \text{wf}(r); \text{wf}(s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}(\text{rmult}(\text{field}(r), r, \text{field}(s), s))$

<proof>

lemma *well-ord-rmult*:

$$\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s) \rrbracket \implies \text{well-ord}(A * B, \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s))$$

<proof>

19.2.5 An ord-iso congruence law

lemma *prod-bij*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket f : \text{bij}(A, C); \ g : \text{bij}(B, D) \rrbracket \\ & \implies (\text{lam } \langle x, y \rangle : A * B. \ \langle f'x, g'y \rangle) : \text{bij}(A * B, C * D) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *prod-ord-iso-cong*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, A', r'); \quad g: \text{ord-iso}(B, s, B', s') \quad]] \\ & \implies (\text{lam } \langle x, y \rangle : A * B. \langle f'x, g'y \rangle) \\ & \quad : \text{ord-iso}(A * B, \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s), A' * B', \text{rmult}(A', r', B', s')) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *singleton-prod-bij*: $(\text{lam } z:A. \langle x, z \rangle) : \text{bij}(A, \{x\} * A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *singleton-prod-ord-iso*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{well-ord}(\{x\}, xr) \implies \\ & (\text{lam } z:A. \langle x, z \rangle) : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, \{x\} * A, \text{rmult}(\{x\}, xr, A, r)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *prod-sum-singleton-bij*:

$$\begin{aligned} & a \sim : C \implies \\ & (\text{lam } x: C * B + D. \text{case}(\%x. x, \%y. \langle a, y \rangle, x)) \\ & \quad : \text{bij}(C * B + D, C * B \text{ Un } \{a\} * D) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *prod-sum-singleton-ord-iso*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[a:A; \quad \text{well-ord}(A, r) \quad]] \implies \\ & (\text{lam } x: \text{pred}(A, a, r) * B + \text{pred}(B, b, s). \text{case}(\%x. x, \%y. \langle a, y \rangle, x)) \\ & \quad : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A, a, r) * B + \text{pred}(B, b, s), \\ & \quad \quad \text{radd}(A * B, \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s), B, s), \\ & \quad \quad \text{pred}(A, a, r) * B \text{ Un } \{a\} * \text{pred}(B, b, s), \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

19.2.6 Distributive law

lemma *sum-prod-distrib-bij*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{lam } \langle x, z \rangle : (A + B) * C. \text{case}(\%y. \text{Inl}(\langle y, z \rangle), \%y. \text{Inr}(\langle y, z \rangle), x)) \\ & \quad : \text{bij}((A + B) * C, (A * C) + (B * C)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *sum-prod-distrib-ord-iso*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{lam } \langle x, z \rangle : (A + B) * C. \text{case}(\%y. \text{Inl}(\langle y, z \rangle), \%y. \text{Inr}(\langle y, z \rangle), x)) \\ & \quad : \text{ord-iso}((A + B) * C, \text{rmult}(A + B, \text{radd}(A, r, B, s), C, t), \\ & \quad \quad (A * C) + (B * C), \text{radd}(A * C, \text{rmult}(A, r, C, t), B * C, \text{rmult}(B, s, C, t))) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

19.2.7 Associativity

lemma *prod-assoc-bij*:

$$(\text{lam } \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle : (A * B) * C. \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle) : \text{bij}((A * B) * C, A * (B * C))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-assoc-ord-iso*:

$(\text{lam } \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle : (A * B) * C. \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle)$
 $: \text{ord-iso}((A * B) * C, \text{rmult}(A * B, \text{rmult}(A, r, B, s), C, t),$
 $A * (B * C), \text{rmult}(A, r, B * C, \text{rmult}(B, s, C, t)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.3 Inverse Image of a Relation

19.3.1 Rewrite rule

lemma *rvimage-iff*: $\langle a, b \rangle : \text{rvimage}(A, f, r) \iff \langle f'a, f'b \rangle : r \ \& \ a:A \ \& \ b:A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.3.2 Type checking

lemma *rvimage-type*: $\text{rvimage}(A, f, r) \leq A * A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *field-rvimage* = *rvimage-type* [THEN *field-rel-subset*]

lemma *rvimage-converse*: $\text{rvimage}(A, f, \text{converse}(r)) = \text{converse}(\text{rvimage}(A, f, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.3.3 Partial Ordering Properties

lemma *irrefl-rvimage*:
 $[\![f : \text{inj}(A, B); \text{irrefl}(B, r)]\!] \implies \text{irrefl}(A, \text{rvimage}(A, f, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-on-rvimage*:
 $[\![f : \text{inj}(A, B); \text{trans}[B](r)]\!] \implies \text{trans}[A](\text{rvimage}(A, f, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-rvimage*:
 $[\![f : \text{inj}(A, B); \text{part-ord}(B, r)]\!] \implies \text{part-ord}(A, \text{rvimage}(A, f, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.3.4 Linearity

lemma *linear-rvimage*:
 $[\![f : \text{inj}(A, B); \text{linear}(B, r)]\!] \implies \text{linear}(A, \text{rvimage}(A, f, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-rvimage*:
 $[\![f : \text{inj}(A, B); \text{tot-ord}(B, r)]\!] \implies \text{tot-ord}(A, \text{rvimage}(A, f, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.3.5 Well-foundedness

lemma *wf-rvimage* [*intro*!]: $\text{wf}(r) \implies \text{wf}(\text{rvimage}(A, f, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

But note that the combination of *wf-imp-wf-on* and *wf-rvimage* gives $wf(r) \implies wf[C](rvimage(A, f, r))$

lemma *wf-on-rvimage*: $[\![f: A \multimap B; \ wf[B](r) \]\!] \implies wf[A](rvimage(A, f, r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-rvimage*:
 $[\![f: inj(A, B); \ well-ord(B, r) \]\!] \implies well-ord(A, rvimage(A, f, r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-rvimage*:
 $f: bij(A, B) \implies f: ord-iso(A, rvimage(A, f, s), B, s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-rvimage-eq*:
 $f: ord-iso(A, r, B, s) \implies rvimage(A, f, s) = r \text{ Int } A * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.4 Every well-founded relation is a subset of some inverse image of an ordinal

lemma *wf-rvimage-Ord*: $Ord(i) \implies wf(rvimage(A, f, Memrel(i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

constdefs
 $wfrank :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$
 $wfrank(r, a) == wfrec(r, a, \%x f. \bigcup y \in r - \{\{x\}. succ(f'y))$

constdefs
 $wftype :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $wftype(r) == \bigcup y \in range(r). succ(wfrank(r, y))$

lemma *wfrank*: $wf(r) \implies wfrank(r, a) = (\bigcup y \in r - \{\{a\}. succ(wfrank(r, y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-wfrank*: $wf(r) \implies Ord(wfrank(r, a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfrank-lt*: $[\![wf(r); \ <a, b> \in r \]\!] \implies wfrank(r, a) < wfrank(r, b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-wftype*: $wf(r) \implies Ord(wftype(r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wftypeI*: $[\![wf(r); \ x \in field(r) \]\!] \implies wfrank(r, x) \in wftype(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-imp-subset-rvimage*:

$[[wf(r); r \subseteq A * A]] \implies \exists i f. Ord(i) \ \& \ r \leq rvimage(A, f, Memrel(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wf-iff-subset-rvimage*:

$relation(r) \implies wf(r) \iff (\exists i f A. Ord(i) \ \& \ r \leq rvimage(A, f, Memrel(i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.5 Other Results

lemma *wf-times*: $A \ Int \ B = 0 \implies wf(A * B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Could also be used to prove *wf-radd*

lemma *wf-Un*:

$[[range(r) \ Int \ domain(s) = 0; wf(r); wf(s)]] \implies wf(r \ Un \ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.5.1 The Empty Relation

lemma *wf0*: $wf(0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear0*: $linear(0, 0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord0*: $well-ord(0, 0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

19.5.2 The "measure" relation is useful with wfrec

lemma *measure-eq-rvimage-Memrel*:

$measure(A, f) = rvimage(A, Lambda(A, f), Memrel(Collect(RepFun(A, f), Ord)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-measure [iff]*: $wf(measure(A, f))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *measure-iff [iff]*: $\langle x, y \rangle : measure(A, f) \iff x:A \ \& \ y:A \ \& \ f(x) < f(y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-measure*:

assumes *Ord**f*: $!!x. x \in A \implies Ord(f(x))$

and *inj*: $!!x \ y. [x \in A; y \in A; f(x) = f(y)] \implies x = y$

shows *linear*($A, measure(A, f)$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-measure*: $wf[B](measure(A, f))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-measure*:
assumes *Ord**f*: $\forall x. x \in A \implies \text{Ord}(f(x))$
and *inj*: $\forall x y. [x \in A; y \in A; f(x) = f(y)] \implies x=y$
shows *well-ord*(*A*, *measure*(*A*,*f*))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *measure-type*: *measure*(*A*,*f*) $\leq A * A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.5.3 Well-foundedness of Unions

lemma *wf-on-Union*:
assumes *wfA*: *wf*[*A*](*r*)
and *wfB*: $\forall a. a \in A \implies \text{wf}[B(a)](s)$
and *ok*: $\forall u v. [\langle u, v \rangle \in s; v \in B(a); a \in A]$
 $\implies (\exists a' \in A. \langle a', a \rangle \in r \ \& \ u \in B(a')) \mid u \in B(a)$
shows *wf*[$\bigcup a \in A. B(a)$](*s*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.5.4 Bijections involving Powersets

lemma *Pow-sum-bij*:
 $(\lambda Z \in \text{Pow}(A+B). \langle \{x \in A. \text{Inl}(x) \in Z\}, \{y \in B. \text{Inr}(y) \in Z\} \rangle)$
 $\in \text{bij}(\text{Pow}(A+B), \text{Pow}(A) * \text{Pow}(B))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

As a special case, we have $\text{bij}(\text{Pow}(A \times B), A \rightarrow \text{Pow}(B))$

lemma *Pow-Sigma-bij*:
 $(\lambda r \in \text{Pow}(\text{Sigma}(A,B)). \lambda x \in A. r''\{x\})$
 $\in \text{bij}(\text{Pow}(\text{Sigma}(A,B)), \Pi x \in A. \text{Pow}(B(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

20 Order Types and Ordinal Arithmetic

theory *OrderType* **imports** *OrderArith* *OrdQuant* *Nat* **begin**

The order type of a well-ordering is the least ordinal isomorphic to it. Ordinal arithmetic is traditionally defined in terms of order types, as it is here. But a definition by transfinite recursion would be much simpler!

constdefs

ordermap $:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$

```

ordemap(A,r) == lam x:A. wfrec[A](r, x, %x f. f “ pred(A,x,r))

ordertype :: [i,i]=>i
ordertype(A,r) == ordemap(A,r)“A

Ord-alt  :: i => o
Ord-alt(X) == well-ord(X, Memrel(X)) & (ALL u:X. u=pred(X, u, Mem-
rel(X)))

ordify   :: i=>i
ordify(x) == if Ord(x) then x else 0

omult    :: [i,i]=>i      (infixl ** 70)
i ** j == ordertype(j*i, rmult(j,Memrel(j),i,Memrel(i)))

raw-oadd :: [i,i]=>i
raw-oadd(i,j) == ordertype(i+j, radd(i,Memrel(i),j,Memrel(j)))

oadd     :: [i,i]=>i      (infixl ++ 65)
i ++ j == raw-oadd(ordify(i),ordify(j))

odiff    :: [i,i]=>i      (infixl -- 65)
i -- j == ordertype(i-j, Memrel(i))

syntax (xsymbols)
op **    :: [i,i] => i      (infixl ×× 70)

syntax (HTML output)
op **    :: [i,i] => i      (infixl ×× 70)

```

20.1 Proofs needing the combination of Ordinal.thy and Order.thy

lemma *le-well-ord-Memrel*: $j \text{ le } i \implies \text{well-ord}(j, \text{Memrel}(i))$
<proof>

lemmas *well-ord-Memrel* = *le-refl* [THEN *le-well-ord-Memrel*]

lemma *lt-pred-Memrel*:
 $j < i \implies \text{pred}(i, j, \text{Memrel}(i)) = j$
<proof>

lemma *pred-Memrel*:

$x:A \implies \text{pred}(A, x, \text{Memrel}(A)) = A \text{ Int } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-iso-implies-eq-lemma*:

$[\mid j < i; f: \text{ord-iso}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j)) \mid] \implies R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-iso-implies-eq*:

$[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); f: \text{ord-iso}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j)) \mid]$
 $\implies i = j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.2 Ordermap and ordertype

lemma *ordermap-type*:

$\text{ordermap}(A, r) : A \rightarrow \text{ordertype}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.2.1 Unfolding of ordermap

lemma *ordermap-eq-image*:

$[\mid \text{wf}[A](r); x:A \mid]$
 $\implies \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ‘ } x = \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ‘ } \text{pred}(A, x, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordermap-pred-unfold*:

$[\mid \text{wf}[A](r); x:A \mid]$
 $\implies \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ‘ } x = \{ \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ‘ } y \mid y : \text{pred}(A, x, r) \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *ordermap-unfold* = *ordermap-pred-unfold* [*simplified pred-def*]

20.2.2 Showing that ordermap, ordertype yield ordinals

lemma *Ord-ordermap*:

$[\mid \text{well-ord}(A, r); x:A \mid] \implies \text{Ord}(\text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ‘ } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-ordertype*:

$\text{well-ord}(A, r) \implies \text{Ord}(\text{ordertype}(A, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.2.3 ordermap preserves the orderings in both directions

lemma *ordermap-mono*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[<w,x>: r; \text{ wf}[A](r); \text{ w: A}; \text{ x: A }]] \\ & \implies \text{ ordermap}(A,r)'w : \text{ ordermap}(A,r)'x \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *converse-ordermap-mono*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{ ordermap}(A,r)'w : \text{ ordermap}(A,r)'x; \text{ well-ord}(A,r); \text{ w: A}; \text{ x: A }]] \\ & \implies <w,x>: r \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemmas *ordermap-surj* =

ordermap-type [THEN *surj-image*, *unfolded ordertype-def* [symmetric]]

lemma *ordermap-bij*:

$$\text{ well-ord}(A,r) \implies \text{ ordermap}(A,r) : \text{ bij}(A, \text{ ordertype}(A,r))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

20.2.4 Isomorphisms involving ordertype

lemma *ordertype-ord-iso*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ well-ord}(A,r) \\ & \implies \text{ ordermap}(A,r) : \text{ ord-iso}(A,r, \text{ ordertype}(A,r), \text{ Memrel}(\text{ ordertype}(A,r))) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ordertype-eq*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{ f: ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); \text{ well-ord}(B,s)]] \\ & \implies \text{ ordertype}(A,r) = \text{ ordertype}(B,s) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ordertype-eq-imp-ord-iso*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{ ordertype}(A,r) = \text{ ordertype}(B,s); \text{ well-ord}(A,r); \text{ well-ord}(B,s)]] \\ & \implies \text{ EX f. f: ord-iso}(A,r,B,s) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

20.2.5 Basic equalities for ordertype

lemma *le-ordertype-Memrel*: $j \text{ le } i \implies \text{ ordertype}(j, \text{ Memrel}(i)) = j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *ordertype-Memrel* = *le-reft* [THEN *le-ordertype-Memrel*]

lemma *ordertype-0* [*simp*]: $\text{ ordertype}(0,r) = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *bij-ordertype-vimage* = *ord-iso-rvimage* [THEN *ordertype-eq*]

20.2.6 A fundamental unfolding law for ordertype.

lemma *ordermap-pred-eq-ordermap*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \ y:A; \ z: \text{pred}(A, y, r) \]] \\ & \implies \text{ordermap}(\text{pred}(A, y, r), r) \text{ ' } z = \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ' } z \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ordertype-unfold*:

$$\text{ordertype}(A, r) = \{ \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ' } y \mid y : A \}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski; proofs simplified by lcp

lemma *ordertype-pred-subset*: $[[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \ x:A \]] \implies$

$$\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), r) \leq \text{ordertype}(A, r)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-lt*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \ x:A \]] \\ & \implies \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), r) < \text{ordertype}(A, r) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ordertype-pred-unfold*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{well-ord}(A, r) \\ & \implies \text{ordertype}(A, r) = \{ \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), r). \ x:A \} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

20.3 Alternative definition of ordinal

lemma *Ord-is-Ord-alt*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Ord-alt}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-alt-is-Ord*:

$$\text{Ord-alt}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(i)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.4 Ordinal Addition

20.4.1 Order Type calculations for radd

Addition with 0

lemma *bij-sum-0*: $(\text{lam } z:A+0. \text{ case } (\%x. x, \%y. y, z)) : \text{bij}(A+0, A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-sum-0-eq*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A, r) \implies \text{ordertype}(A+0, \text{radd}(A, r, 0, s)) = \text{ordertype}(A, r)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bij-0-sum*: $(\text{lam } z:0+A. \text{ case } (\%x. x, \%y. y, z)) : \text{bij}(0+A, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-0-sum-eq*:
 $\text{well-ord}(A, r) \implies \text{ordertype}(0+A, \text{radd}(0, s, A, r)) = \text{ordertype}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Initial segments of radd. Statements by Grabczewski

lemma *pred-Inl-bij*:
 $a:A \implies (\text{lam } x:\text{pred}(A, a, r). \text{Inl}(x))$
 $: \text{bij}(\text{pred}(A, a, r), \text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inl}(a), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-Inl-eq*:
 $[[a:A; \text{well-ord}(A, r)]]$
 $\implies \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inl}(a), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)) =$
 $\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A, a, r), r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-Inr-bij*:
 $b:B \implies$
 $\text{id}(A+\text{pred}(B, b, s))$
 $: \text{bij}(A+\text{pred}(B, b, s), \text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inr}(b), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-Inr-eq*:
 $[[b:B; \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s)]]$
 $\implies \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inr}(b), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)) =$
 $\text{ordertype}(A+\text{pred}(B, b, s), \text{radd}(A, r, \text{pred}(B, b, s), s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.4.2 ordify: trivial coercion to an ordinal

lemma *Ord-ordify* [*iff*, *TC*]: $\text{Ord}(\text{ordify}(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordify-idem* [*simp*]: $\text{ordify}(\text{ordify}(x)) = \text{ordify}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.4.3 Basic laws for ordinal addition

lemma *Ord-raw-oadd*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]]$ $\implies \text{Ord}(\text{raw-oadd}(i, j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-oadd* [*iff*, *TC*]: $\text{Ord}(i++j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Ordinal addition with zero

lemma *raw-odd-0*: $Ord(i) \implies raw-odd(i, 0) = i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *odd-0* [*simp*]: $Ord(i) \implies i++0 = i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-odd-0-left*: $Ord(i) \implies raw-odd(0, i) = i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *odd-0-left* [*simp*]: $Ord(i) \implies 0++i = i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *odd-eq-if-raw-odd*:
 $i++j = (if\ Ord(i)\ then\ (if\ Ord(j)\ then\ raw-odd(i, j)\ else\ i)$
 $\quad\quad\quad else\ (if\ Ord(j)\ then\ j\ else\ 0))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-odd-eq-odd*: $[Ord(i); Ord(j)] \implies raw-odd(i, j) = i++j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-odd1*: $k < i \implies k < i++j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *odd-le-self*: $Ord(i) \implies i \leq i++j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Various other results

lemma *id-ord-iso-Memrel*: $A \leq B \implies id(A) : ord-iso(A, Memrel(A), A, Memrel(B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-ord-iso-Memrel*:
 $[f : ord-iso(A, Memrel(B), C, r); A \leq B] \implies f : ord-iso(A, Memrel(A), C, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-ord-iso*:
 $[f \in ord-iso(i, Memrel(i), Order.pred(A, a, r), r); a \in A; j < i;$
 $\quad\quad\quad trans[A](r)]$
 $\implies restrict(f, j) \in ord-iso(j, Memrel(j), Order.pred(A, f'j, r), r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-ord-iso2*:
 $[f \in ord-iso(Order.pred(A, a, r), r, i, Memrel(i)); a \in A;$
 $\quad\quad\quad j < i; trans[A](r)]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \implies \text{converse}(\text{restrict}(\text{converse}(f), j)) \\ & \in \text{ord-iso}(\text{Order.pred}(A, \text{converse}(f)'j, r), r, j, \text{Memrel}(j)) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-sum-Memrel*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{well-ord}(A, r); k < j] \\ & \implies \text{ordertype}(A+k, \text{radd}(A, r, k, \text{Memrel}(j))) = \\ & \quad \text{ordertype}(A+k, \text{radd}(A, r, k, \text{Memrel}(k))) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-mono2*: $k < j \implies i++k < i++j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-cancel2*: $[i++j < i++k; \text{Ord}(j)] \implies j < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-iff2*: $\text{Ord}(j) \implies i++j < i++k \iff j < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-inject*: $[i++j = i++k; \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k)] \implies j = k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-oadd-disj*: $k < i++j \implies k < i \mid (\exists l. j. k = i++l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.4.4 Ordinal addition with successor – via associativity!

lemma *oadd-assoc*: $(i++j)++k = i++(j++k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-unfold*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i++j = i \text{ Un } (\bigcup_{k \in j. \{i++k\}})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-1*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i++1 = \text{succ}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-succ* [*simp*]: $\text{Ord}(j) \implies i++\text{succ}(j) = \text{succ}(i++j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Ordinal addition with limit ordinals

lemma *oadd-UN*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\forall x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(j(x)); a:A] \\ & \implies i++(\bigcup_{x \in A. j(x)}) = (\bigcup_{x \in A. i++j(x)}) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-Limit*: $\text{Limit}(j) \implies i++j = (\bigcup_{k \in j. i++k})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-eq-0-iff*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies (i++j) = 0 \iff i=0 \ \& \ j=0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-eq-lt-iff*: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies 0 < (i ++ j) \iff 0 < i \mid 0 < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-LimitI*: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Limit}(j) \mid] \implies \text{Limit}(i ++ j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Order/monotonicity properties of ordinal addition

lemma *oadd-le-self2*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i \text{ le } j ++ i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-le-mono1*: $k \text{ le } j \implies k ++ i \text{ le } j ++ i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-mono*: $[\mid i' \text{ le } i; j' < j \mid] \implies i' ++ j' < i ++ j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-le-mono*: $[\mid i' \text{ le } i; j' \text{ le } j \mid] \implies i' ++ j' \text{ le } i ++ j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-le-iff2*: $[\mid \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k) \mid] \implies i ++ j \text{ le } i ++ k \iff j \text{ le } k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-self*: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); 0 < j \mid] \implies i < i ++ j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Every ordinal is exceeded by some limit ordinal.

lemma *Ord-imp-greater-Limit*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \exists k. i < k \ \& \ \text{Limit}(k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord2-imp-greater-Limit*: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies \exists k. i < k \ \& \ j < k \ \& \ \text{Limit}(k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.5 Ordinal Subtraction

The difference is $\text{ordertype}(j - i, \text{Memrel}(j))$. It's probably simpler to define the difference recursively!

lemma *bij-sum-Diff*:
 $A \leq B \implies (\text{lam } y:B. \text{if}(y:A, \text{Inl}(y), \text{Inr}(y))) : \text{bij}(B, A + (B - A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-sum-Diff*:
 $i \text{ le } j \implies$
 $\text{ordertype}(i + (j - i), \text{radd}(i, \text{Memrel}(j), j - i, \text{Memrel}(j))) =$
 $\text{ordertype}(j, \text{Memrel}(j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-odiff* [*simp*, *TC*]:

$$[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies \text{Ord}(i--j)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-oadd-ordertype-Diff*:

$$i \text{ le } j \implies \text{raw-oadd}(i, j--i) = \text{ordertype}(i+(j-i), \text{radd}(i, \text{Memrel}(j), j-i, \text{Memrel}(j)))$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-odiff-inverse*: $i \text{ le } j \implies i ++ (j--i) = j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *odiff-oadd-inverse*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies (i++j) -- i = j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *odiff-lt-mono2*: $[[i < j; k \text{ le } i]] \implies i--k < j--k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.6 Ordinal Multiplication

lemma *Ord-omult* [*simp*, *TC*]:

$$[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies \text{Ord}(i**j)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.6.1 A useful unfolding law

lemma *pred-Pair-eq*:

$$[[a:A; b:B]] \implies \text{pred}(A*B, <a,b>, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s)) = \text{pred}(A,a,r)*B \text{ Un } (\{a\} * \text{pred}(B,b,s))$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-Pair-eq*:

$$[[a:A; b:B; \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s)]] \implies \begin{aligned} &\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A*B, <a,b>, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s)), \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s)) = \\ &\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A,a,r)*B + \text{pred}(B,b,s), \\ &\quad \text{radd}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s), B, s)) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-Pair-lemma*:

$$[[i' < i; j' < j]] \implies \begin{aligned} &\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(i*j, <i',j'>, \text{rmult}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j))), \\ &\quad \text{rmult}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j))) = \\ &\text{raw-oadd } (j**i', j') \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-omult*:

$$[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); k < j**i]] \implies \exists x \ j' \ i'. k = j**i' ++ j' \ \& \ j' < j \ \& \ i' < i$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-oadd-lt*:

$\llbracket j' < j; i' < i \rrbracket \implies j ** i' ++ j' < j ** i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-unfold*:

$\llbracket Ord(i); Ord(j) \rrbracket \implies j ** i = (\bigcup j' \in j. \bigcup i' \in i. \{j ** i' ++ j'\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

20.6.2 Basic laws for ordinal multiplication

Ordinal multiplication by zero

lemma *omult-0* [*simp*]: $i ** 0 = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-0-left* [*simp*]: $0 ** i = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Ordinal multiplication by 1

lemma *omult-1* [*simp*]: $Ord(i) \implies i ** 1 = i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-1-left* [*simp*]: $Ord(i) \implies 1 ** i = i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Distributive law for ordinal multiplication and addition

lemma *oadd-omult-distrib*:

$\llbracket Ord(i); Ord(j); Ord(k) \rrbracket \implies i ** (j ++ k) = (i ** j) ++ (i ** k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-succ*: $\llbracket Ord(i); Ord(j) \rrbracket \implies i ** succ(j) = (i ** j) ++ i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Associative law

lemma *omult-assoc*:

$\llbracket Ord(i); Ord(j); Ord(k) \rrbracket \implies (i ** j) ** k = i ** (j ** k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Ordinal multiplication with limit ordinals

lemma *omult-UN*:

$\llbracket Ord(i); \forall x. x:A \implies Ord(j(x)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies i ** (\bigcup x \in A. j(x)) = (\bigcup x \in A. i ** j(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-Limit*: $\llbracket Ord(i); Limit(j) \rrbracket \implies i ** j = (\bigcup k \in j. i ** k)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

20.6.3 Ordering/monotonicity properties of ordinal multiplication

lemma *lt-omult1*: $[[k < i; 0 < j]] \implies k < i ** j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-self*: $[[Ord(i); 0 < j]] \implies i \leq i ** j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-mono1*: $[[k \leq j; Ord(i)]] \implies k ** i \leq j ** i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-lt-mono2*: $[[k < j; 0 < i]] \implies i ** k < i ** j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-mono2*: $[[k \leq j; Ord(i)]] \implies i ** k \leq i ** j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-mono*: $[[i' \leq i; j' \leq j]] \implies i' ** j' \leq i ** j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-lt-mono*: $[[i' \leq i; j' < j; 0 < i]] \implies i' ** j' < i ** j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-self2*: $[[Ord(i); 0 < j]] \implies i \leq j ** i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Further properties of ordinal multiplication

lemma *omult-inject*: $[[i ** j = i ** k; 0 < i; Ord(j); Ord(k)]] \implies j = k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

20.7 The Relation *Lt*

lemma *wf-Lt*: $wf(Lt)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *irrefl-Lt*: $irrefl(A, Lt)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-Lt*: $trans[A](Lt)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-Lt*: $part-ord(A, Lt)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-Lt*: $linear(nat, Lt)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-Lt*: $tot-ord(nat, Lt)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-Lt*: *well-ord*(*nat*,*Lt*)
 <proof>

<ML>

end

21 Finite Powerset Operator and Finite Function Space

theory *Finite* **imports** *Inductive Epsilon Nat* **begin**

rep-datatype
elimination *natE*
induction *nat-induct*
case-eqns *nat-case-0 nat-case-succ*
recursor-eqns *recursor-0 recursor-succ*

consts
Fin :: *i* => *i*
FiniteFun :: [*i*,*i*] => *i* ((- -||> / -) [61, 60] 60)

inductive
domains *Fin*(*A*) <= *Pow*(*A*)
intros
emptyI: 0 : *Fin*(*A*)
consI: [| *a*: *A*; *b*: *Fin*(*A*) |] ==> *cons*(*a*,*b*) : *Fin*(*A*)
type-intros *empty-subsetI cons-subsetI PowI*
type-elim *PowD* [THEN *revcut-rl*]

inductive
domains *FiniteFun*(*A*,*B*) <= *Fin*(*A***B*)
intros
emptyI: 0 : *A* -||> *B*
consI: [| *a*: *A*; *b*: *B*; *h*: *A* -||> *B*; *a* ~: *domain*(*h*) |]
 ==> *cons*(<*a*,*b*>, *h*) : *A* -||> *B*
type-intros *Fin.intros*

21.1 Finite Powerset Operator

lemma *Fin-mono*: *A* <= *B* ==> *Fin*(*A*) <= *Fin*(*B*)
 <proof>

lemmas $FinD = Fin.dom-subset$ [*THEN subsetD, THEN PowD, standard*]

lemma *Fin-induct* [*case-names 0 cons, induct set: Fin*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| b: Fin(A); \\ & \quad P(0); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [| x: A; \ y: Fin(A); \ x \sim y; \ P(y) \ |] ==> P(cons(x,y)) \\ & \quad |] ==> P(b) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *Fin.intros* [*simp*]

lemma *Fin-0*: $Fin(0) = \{0\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-UnI* [*simp*]: $[| b: Fin(A); \ c: Fin(A) \ |] ==> b \ Un \ c : Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-UnionI*: $C : Fin(Fin(A)) ==> Union(C) : Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-subset-lemma* [*rule-format*]: $b: Fin(A) ==> \forall z. z \leq b \longrightarrow z: Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-subset*: $[| c \leq b; \ b: Fin(A) \ |] ==> c: Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-IntI1* [*intro, simp*]: $b: Fin(A) ==> b \ Int \ c : Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-IntI2* [*intro, simp*]: $c: Fin(A) ==> b \ Int \ c : Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-0-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| c: Fin(A); \ b: Fin(A); \ P(b); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [| x: A; \ y: Fin(A); \ x \sim y; \ P(y) \ |] ==> P(y - \{x\}) \\ & \quad |] ==> c \leq b \longrightarrow P(b - c) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-0-induct*:

[[$b: \text{Fin}(A)$;
 $P(b)$;
 $!!x\ y. \ [\ x: A; \ y: \text{Fin}(A); \ x:y; \ P(y) \] \ ==> \ P(y-\{x\})$
 $] \ ==> \ P(0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-fun-subset-Fin*: $n: \text{nat} \implies n \rightarrow A \leq \text{Fin}(\text{nat} * A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

21.2 Finite Function Space

lemma *FiniteFun-mono*:

[[$A \leq C$; $B \leq D$]] $\implies A -||> B \leq C -||> D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-mono1*: $A \leq B \implies A -||> A \leq B -||> B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-is-fun*: $h: A -||> B \implies h: \text{domain}(h) \rightarrow B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-domain-Fin*: $h: A -||> B \implies \text{domain}(h) : \text{Fin}(A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *FiniteFun-apply-type* = *FiniteFun-is-fun* [THEN *apply-type*, *standard*]

lemma *FiniteFun-subset-lemma* [rule-format]:

$b: A -||> B \implies \text{ALL } z. z \leq b \implies z: A -||> B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-subset*: [[$c \leq b$; $b: A -||> B$]] $\implies c: A -||> B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-FiniteFunI* [rule-format]: $A: \text{Fin}(X) \implies \text{ALL } f. f: A \rightarrow B \implies f: A -||> B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lam-FiniteFun*: $A: \text{Fin}(X) \implies (\text{lam } x:A. b(x)) : A -||> \{b(x). x:A\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-Collect-iff*:

$f : \text{FiniteFun}(A, \{y:B. P(y)\})$
 $\iff f : \text{FiniteFun}(A,B) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:\text{domain}(f). P(f'x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

21.3 The Contents of a Singleton Set

constdefs

contents :: $i \Rightarrow i$
contents(X) == *THE* x . $X = \{x\}$

lemma *contents-eq* [*simp*]: *contents* ($\{x\}$) = x
 \langle *proof* \rangle

\langle *ML* \rangle

end

22 Cardinal Numbers Without the Axiom of Choice

theory *Cardinal* **imports** *OrderType Finite Nat Sum* **begin**

constdefs

Least :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i$ (**binder** *LEAST* 10)
Least(P) == *THE* i . *Ord*(i) & $P(i)$ & (*ALL* j . $j < i \rightarrow \sim P(j)$)

eqpoll :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** *eqpoll* 50)
 A *eqpoll* B == *EX* f . f : *bij*(A, B)

lepoll :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** *lepoll* 50)
 A *lepoll* B == *EX* f . f : *inj*(A, B)

lesspoll :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** *lesspoll* 50)
 A *lesspoll* B == A *lepoll* B & $\sim(A$ *eqpoll* $B)$

cardinal :: $i \Rightarrow i$ ($|-$)
 $|A|$ == *LEAST* i . i *eqpoll* A

Finite :: $i \Rightarrow o$
Finite(A) == *EX* n :*nat*. A *eqpoll* n

Card :: $i \Rightarrow o$
Card(i) == ($i = |i|$)

syntax (*xsymbols*)

eqpoll :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \approx 50)
lepoll :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \lesssim 50)
lesspoll :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \prec 50)
LEAST :: $[pttrn, o] \Rightarrow i$ ($(\exists \mu. / -) [0, 10] 10$)

syntax (*HTML output*)

$eqpoll \quad :: [i,i] ==> o \quad (\text{infixl} \approx 50)$
 $LEAST \quad :: [pttrn, o] ==> i \quad ((3\mu-./ -) [0, 10] 10)$

22.1 The Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem

See Davey and Priestly, page 106

lemma *decomp-bnd-mono*: $bnd\text{-}mono(X, \%W. X - g^{''}(Y - f^{''}W))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Banach-last-equation*:

$g: Y \rightarrow X$
 $==> g^{''}(Y - f^{''}lfp(X, \%W. X - g^{''}(Y - f^{''}W))) =$
 $X - lfp(X, \%W. X - g^{''}(Y - f^{''}W))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *decomposition*:

$[f: X \rightarrow Y; g: Y \rightarrow X] ==>$
 $EX\ XA\ XB\ YA\ YB. (XA\ Int\ XB = 0) \ \& \ (XA\ Un\ XB = X) \ \&$
 $(YA\ Int\ YB = 0) \ \& \ (YA\ Un\ YB = Y) \ \&$
 $f^{''}XA = YA \ \& \ g^{''}YB = XB$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *schroeder-bernstein*:

$[f: inj(X,Y); g: inj(Y,X)] ==> EX\ h. h: bij(X,Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij-imp-epoll*: $f: bij(A,B) ==> A \approx B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *epoll-refl* = *id-bij* [*THEN* *bij-imp-epoll*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemma *epoll-sym*: $X \approx Y ==> Y \approx X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *epoll-trans*:

$[X \approx Y; Y \approx Z] ==> X \approx Z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-imp-lepoll*: $X \leq Y ==> X \lesssim Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *lepoll-refl* = *subset-refl* [*THEN* *subset-imp-lepoll*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemmas *le-imp-lepoll* = *le-imp-subset* [*THEN subset-imp-lepoll, standard*]

lemma *eqpoll-imp-lepoll*: $X \approx Y \implies X \lesssim Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-trans*: $[X \lesssim Y; Y \lesssim Z] \implies X \lesssim Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqpollI*: $[X \lesssim Y; Y \lesssim X] \implies X \approx Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqpollE*:
 $[X \approx Y; [X \lesssim Y; Y \lesssim X] \implies P] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll-iff*: $X \approx Y \iff X \lesssim Y \ \& \ Y \lesssim X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-0-is-0*: $A \lesssim 0 \implies A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *empty-lepollI* = *empty-subsetI* [*THEN subset-imp-lepoll, standard*]

lemma *lepoll-0-iff*: $A \lesssim 0 \iff A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-lepoll-Un*:
 $[A \lesssim B; C \lesssim D; B \text{ Int } D = 0] \implies A \text{ Un } C \lesssim B \text{ Un } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *eqpoll-0-is-0* = *eqpoll-imp-lepoll* [*THEN lepoll-0-is-0, standard*]

lemma *eqpoll-0-iff*: $A \approx 0 \iff A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll-disjoint-Un*:
 $[A \approx B; C \approx D; A \text{ Int } C = 0; B \text{ Int } D = 0] \implies A \text{ Un } C \approx B \text{ Un } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.2 lesspoll: contributions by Krzysztof Grabczewski

lemma *lesspoll-not-refl*: $\sim (i \prec i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-irrefl* [*elim!*]: $i \prec i \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-imp-lepoll*: $A \prec B \implies A \lesssim B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-well-ord*: $[| A \lesssim B; \text{well-ord}(B, r) |] \implies \exists x. \text{well-ord}(A, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-iff-leqpoll*: $A \lesssim B \iff A \prec B \mid A \approx B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-not-surj-succ*:
 $[| f : \text{inj}(A, \text{succ}(m)); f \sim : \text{surj}(A, \text{succ}(m)) |] \implies \exists f. f : \text{inj}(A, m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-trans*:
 $[| X \prec Y; Y \prec Z |] \implies X \prec Z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-trans1*:
 $[| X \lesssim Y; Y \prec Z |] \implies X \prec Z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-trans2*:
 $[| X \prec Y; Y \lesssim Z |] \implies X \prec Z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Least-equality*:
 $[| P(i); \text{Ord}(i); \neg \exists x. x < i \implies \sim P(x) |] \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) = i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LeastI*: $[| P(i); \text{Ord}(i) |] \implies P(\text{LEAST } x. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Least-le*: $[| P(i); \text{Ord}(i) |] \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) \leq i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-LeastE*: $[| P(i); i < (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) |] \implies Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LeastI2*:

$[[P(i); \text{Ord}(i); \forall j. P(j) \implies Q(j)]] \implies Q(\text{LEAST } j. P(j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Least-0*:

$[[\sim (EX i. \text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ P(i))]] \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Least* [*intro,simp,TC*]: $\text{Ord}(\text{LEAST } x. P(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Least-cong*:

$(\forall y. P(y) <-> Q(y)) \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) = (\text{LEAST } x. Q(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-cong*: $X \approx Y \implies |X| = |Y|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-cardinal-epoll*:

$\text{well-ord}(A,r) \implies |A| \approx A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Ord-cardinal-epoll* = *well-ord-Memrel* [*THEN well-ord-cardinal-epoll*]

lemma *well-ord-cardinal-eqE*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(X,r); \text{well-ord}(Y,s); |X| = |Y|]] \implies X \approx Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-cardinal-epoll-iff*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(X,r); \text{well-ord}(Y,s)]] \implies |X| = |Y| <-> X \approx Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-cardinal-le*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies |i| \text{ le } i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-cardinal-eq*: $\text{Card}(K) \implies |K| = K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CardI*: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{!!}j. j < i \implies \sim(j \approx i) \mid] \implies \text{Card}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-is-Ord*: $\text{Card}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-cardinal-le*: $\text{Card}(K) \implies K \text{ le } |K|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-cardinal* [*simp,intro!*]: $\text{Ord}(|A|)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-iff-initial*: $\text{Card}(K) <-> \text{Ord}(K) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } j. j < K \longrightarrow \sim j \approx K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-Card-imp-lesspoll*: $[\mid \text{Card}(a); i < a \mid] \implies i \prec a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-0*: $\text{Card}(0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-Un*: $[\mid \text{Card}(K); \text{Card}(L) \mid] \implies \text{Card}(K \text{ Un } L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-cardinal*: $\text{Card}(|A|)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-eq-lemma*: $[\mid |i| \text{ le } j; j \text{ le } i \mid] \implies |j| = |i|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-mono*: $i \text{ le } j \implies |i| \text{ le } |j|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-lt-imp-lt*: $[\mid |i| < |j|; \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \mid] \implies i < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-lt-imp-lt*: $[\mid |i| < K; \text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K) \mid] \implies i < K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-lt-iff*: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K) \mid] \implies (|i| < K) <-> (i < K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-le-iff*: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K) \mid] \implies (K \text{ le } |i|) <-> (K \text{ le } i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-lepoll-imp-Card-le*:

$[| \text{well-ord}(B, r); A \lesssim B |] ==> |A| \text{ le } |B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-cardinal-le*: $[| A \lesssim i; \text{Ord}(i) |] ==> |A| \text{ le } i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-Ord-imp-eqpoll*: $[| A \lesssim i; \text{Ord}(i) |] ==> |A| \approx A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-imp-eqpoll*: $[| A \prec i; \text{Ord}(i) |] ==> |A| \approx A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-subset-Ord*: $[| A \leq i; \text{Ord}(i) |] ==> |A| \leq i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

22.3 The finite cardinals

lemma *cons-lepoll-consD*:

$[| \text{cons}(u, A) \lesssim \text{cons}(v, B); u \sim A; v \sim B |] ==> A \lesssim B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-eqpoll-consD*: $[| \text{cons}(u, A) \approx \text{cons}(v, B); u \sim A; v \sim B |] ==> A \approx B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-lepoll-succD*: $\text{succ}(m) \lesssim \text{succ}(n) ==> m \lesssim n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-lepoll-imp-le* [rule-format]:

$m : \text{nat} ==> \text{ALL } n : \text{nat}. m \lesssim n \dashv\dashv m \text{ le } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-eqpoll-iff*: $[| m : \text{nat}; n : \text{nat} |] ==> m \approx n \leftrightarrow m = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-into-Card*:

$n : \text{nat} ==> \text{Card}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *cardinal-0* = *nat-0I* [THEN *nat-into-Card*, THEN *Card-cardinal-eq*, iff]

lemmas *cardinal-1* = *nat-1I* [THEN *nat-into-Card*, THEN *Card-cardinal-eq*, iff]

lemma *succ-lepoll-natE*: $[\mid \text{succ}(n) \lesssim n; \ n:\text{nat} \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *n-lesspoll-nat*: $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \prec \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-lepoll-imp-ex-epoll-n*:
 $[\mid n \in \text{nat}; \ \text{nat} \lesssim X \mid] \implies \exists Y. Y \subseteq X \ \& \ n \approx Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-imp-lesspoll-succ*:
 $[\mid A \lesssim m; \ m:\text{nat} \mid] \implies A \prec \text{succ}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-succ-imp-lepoll*:
 $[\mid A \prec \text{succ}(m); \ m:\text{nat} \mid] \implies A \lesssim m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-succ-iff*: $m:\text{nat} \implies A \prec \text{succ}(m) \iff A \lesssim m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-succ-disj*: $[\mid A \lesssim \text{succ}(m); \ m:\text{nat} \mid] \implies A \lesssim m \mid A \approx \text{succ}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-cardinal-lt*: $[\mid A \prec i; \ \text{Ord}(i) \mid] \implies |A| < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.4 The first infinite cardinal: Omega, or nat

lemma *lt-not-lepoll*: $[\mid n < i; \ n:\text{nat} \mid] \implies i \not\lesssim n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-nat-epoll-iff*: $[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \ n:\text{nat} \mid] \implies i \approx n \iff i = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-nat*: $\text{Card}(\text{nat})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-le-cardinal*: $\text{nat} \leq i \implies \text{nat} \leq |i|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.5 Towards Cardinal Arithmetic

lemma *cons-lepoll-cong*:
 $[\mid A \lesssim B; \ b \sim B \mid] \implies \text{cons}(a, A) \lesssim \text{cons}(b, B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-epoll-cong*:

$\llbracket A \approx B; a \sim A; b \sim B \rrbracket \implies cons(a,A) \approx cons(b,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-lepoll-cons-iff*:

$\llbracket a \sim A; b \sim B \rrbracket \implies cons(a,A) \lesssim cons(b,B) \iff A \lesssim B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-epoll-cons-iff*:

$\llbracket a \sim A; b \sim B \rrbracket \implies cons(a,A) \approx cons(b,B) \iff A \approx B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singleton-epoll-1*: $\{a\} \approx 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-singleton*: $|\{a\}| = 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-0-is-lepoll-1*: $A \sim 0 \implies 1 \lesssim A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-epoll-cong*: $A \approx B \implies succ(A) \approx succ(B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-epoll-cong*: $\llbracket A \approx C; B \approx D \rrbracket \implies A+B \approx C+D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-epoll-cong*:

$\llbracket A \approx C; B \approx D \rrbracket \implies A*B \approx C*D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-disjoint-epoll*:

$\llbracket f: inj(A,B); A \text{ Int } B = 0 \rrbracket \implies A \text{ Un } (B - range(f)) \approx B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

22.6 Lemmas by Krzysztof Grabczewski

lemma *Diff-sing-lepoll*:

$\llbracket a:A; A \lesssim succ(n) \rrbracket \implies A - \{a\} \lesssim n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-Diff-sing*:

$\llbracket succ(n) \lesssim A \rrbracket \implies n \lesssim A - \{a\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-sing-epoll*: $[| a:A; A \approx succ(n) |] ==> A - \{a\} \approx n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-1-is-sing*: $[| A \lesssim 1; a:A |] ==> A = \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-lepoll-sum*: $A \text{ Un } B \lesssim A+B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-Un*:
 $[| well-ord(X,R); well-ord(Y,S) |] ==> \exists X T. well-ord(X \text{ Un } Y, T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *disj-Un-epoll-sum*: $A \text{ Int } B = 0 ==> A \text{ Un } B \approx A + B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

22.7 Finite and infinite sets

lemma *Finite-0* [*simp*]: $Finite(0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-nat-imp-Finite*: $[| A \lesssim n; n:nat |] ==> Finite(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-nat-is-Finite*:
 $A \prec nat ==> Finite(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-Finite*:
 $[| Y \lesssim X; Finite(X) |] ==> Finite(Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *subset-Finite* = *subset-imp-lepoll* [*THEN lepoll-Finite, standard*]

lemma *Finite-Int*: $Finite(A) \mid Finite(B) ==> Finite(A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Finite-Diff* = *Diff-subset* [*THEN subset-Finite, standard*]

lemma *Finite-cons*: $Finite(x) ==> Finite(cons(y,x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-succ*: $Finite(x) ==> Finite(succ(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-cons-iff* [*iff*]: $Finite(cons(y,x)) <-> Finite(x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-succ-iff* [iff]: $\text{Finite}(\text{succ}(x)) <-> \text{Finite}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-le-infinite-Ord*:
[| $\text{Ord}(i); \sim \text{Finite}(i)$ |] $\implies \text{nat le } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-imp-well-ord*:
 $\text{Finite}(A) \implies \text{EX } r. \text{ well-ord}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-lepoll-imp-not-empty*: $\text{succ}(x) \lesssim y \implies y \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll-succ-imp-not-empty*: $x \approx \text{succ}(n) \implies x \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Fin-lemma* [rule-format]:
 $n \in \text{nat} \implies \forall A. (A \approx n \ \& \ A \subseteq X) \dashrightarrow A \in \text{Fin}(X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Fin*: [| $\text{Finite}(A); A \subseteq X$ |] $\implies A \in \text{Fin}(X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll-imp-Finite-iff*: $A \approx B \implies \text{Finite}(A) <-> \text{Finite}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Fin-lemma* [rule-format]: $n: \text{nat} \implies \text{ALL } A. A \approx n \dashrightarrow A : \text{Fin}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-into-Fin*: $\text{Finite}(A) \implies A : \text{Fin}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Fin-into-Finite*: $A : \text{Fin}(U) \implies \text{Finite}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Fin-iff*: $\text{Finite}(A) <-> A : \text{Fin}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Un*: [| $\text{Finite}(A); \text{Finite}(B)$ |] $\implies \text{Finite}(A \text{ Un } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Un-iff* [simp]: $\text{Finite}(A \text{ Un } B) <-> (\text{Finite}(A) \ \& \ \text{Finite}(B))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The converse must hold too.

lemma *Finite-Union*: [| $\text{ALL } y:X. \text{Finite}(y); \text{Finite}(X)$ |] $\implies \text{Finite}(\text{Union}(X))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-induct* [case-names 0 cons, induct set: Finite]:
[[Finite(A); P(0);
!! x B. [[Finite(B); x ~: B; P(B)]] ==> P(cons(x, B))]]
==> P(A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-sing-Finite*: Finite(A - {a}) ==> Finite(A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-Finite* [rule-format]: Finite(B) ==> Finite(A-B) --> Finite(A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-RepFun*: Finite(A) ==> Finite(RepFun(A,f))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-RepFun-iff-lemma* [rule-format]:
[[Finite(x); !!x y. f(x)=f(y) ==> x=y]]
==> $\forall A. x = \text{RepFun}(A,f) \text{ --> Finite}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

I don't know why, but if the premise is expressed using meta-connectives then the simplifier cannot prove it automatically in conditional rewriting.

lemma *Finite-RepFun-iff*:
($\forall x y. f(x)=f(y) \text{ --> } x=y$) ==> Finite(RepFun(A,f)) <-> Finite(A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Pow*: Finite(A) ==> Finite(Pow(A))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Pow-imp-Finite*: Finite(Pow(A)) ==> Finite(A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Pow-iff* [iff]: Finite(Pow(A)) <-> Finite(A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-wf-on-converse-Memrel*: n:nat ==> wf[n](converse(Memrel(n)))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-well-ord-converse-Memrel*: n:nat ==> well-ord(n,converse(Memrel(n)))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma well-ord-converse:
  [| well-ord(A,r);
    well-ord(ordertype(A,r), converse(Memrel(ordertype(A, r)))) |]
  ==> well-ord(A,converse(r))
<proof>

lemma ordertype-eq-n:
  [| well-ord(A,r); A ≈ n; n:nat |] ==> ordertype(A,r)=n
<proof>

lemma Finite-well-ord-converse:
  [| Finite(A); well-ord(A,r) |] ==> well-ord(A,converse(r))
<proof>

lemma nat-into-Finite: n:nat ==> Finite(n)
<proof>

lemma nat-not-Finite: ~ Finite(nat)
<proof>

<ML>

end

```

23 The Cumulative Hierarchy and a Small Universe for Recursive Types

```

theory Univ imports Epsilon Cardinal begin

constdefs
  Vfrom      :: [i,i] => i
  Vfrom(A,i) == transrec(i, %x f. A Un (∪ y ∈ x. Pow(f'y)))

syntax   Vset :: i => i
translations
  Vset(x) ==      Vfrom(0,x)

constdefs
  Vrec      :: [i, [i,i] => i] => i
  Vrec(a,H) == transrec(rank(a), %x g. lam z: Vset(succ(x)).
    H(z, lam w: Vset(x). g'rank(w)'w)) ' a

  Vrecursor :: [[i,i] => i, i] => i
  Vrecursor(H,a) == transrec(rank(a), %x g. lam z: Vset(succ(x)).
    H(lam w: Vset(x). g'rank(w)'w, z)) ' a

```

$univ \quad :: i => i$
 $univ(A) == Vfrom(A, nat)$

23.1 Immediate Consequences of the Definition of $Vfrom(A, i)$

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING – RECURSIVE!

lemma $Vfrom$: $Vfrom(A, i) = A \text{ Un } (\bigcup_{j \in i}. Pow(Vfrom(A, j)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.1.1 Monotonicity

lemma $Vfrom\text{-}mono$ [rule-format]:
 $A \leq B ==> \forall j. i \leq j \longrightarrow Vfrom(A, i) \leq Vfrom(B, j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $VfromI$: $[\mid a \in Vfrom(A, j); j < i \mid] ==> a \in Vfrom(A, i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.1.2 A fundamental equality: $Vfrom$ does not require ordinals!

lemma $Vfrom\text{-}rank\text{-}subset1$: $Vfrom(A, x) \leq Vfrom(A, rank(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vfrom\text{-}rank\text{-}subset2$: $Vfrom(A, rank(x)) \leq Vfrom(A, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vfrom\text{-}rank\text{-}eq$: $Vfrom(A, rank(x)) = Vfrom(A, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.2 Basic Closure Properties

lemma $zero\text{-}in\text{-}Vfrom$: $y : x ==> 0 \in Vfrom(A, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $i\text{-}subset\text{-}Vfrom$: $i \leq Vfrom(A, i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $A\text{-}subset\text{-}Vfrom$: $A \leq Vfrom(A, i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $A\text{-}into\text{-}Vfrom = A\text{-}subset\text{-}Vfrom$ [THEN subsetD]

lemma $subset\text{-}mem\text{-}Vfrom$: $a \leq Vfrom(A, i) ==> a \in Vfrom(A, succ(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.2.1 Finite sets and ordered pairs

lemma $singleton\text{-}in\text{-}Vfrom$: $a \in Vfrom(A, i) ==> \{a\} \in Vfrom(A, succ(i))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *doubleton-in-Vfrom*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i)]] ==> \{a,b\} \in Vfrom(A,succ(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-in-Vfrom*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i)]] ==> \langle a,b \rangle \in Vfrom(A,succ(succ(i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-in-Vfrom*: $a \leq Vfrom(A,i) ==> succ(a) \in Vfrom(A,succ(succ(i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.3 0, Successor and Limit Equations for *Vfrom*

lemma *Vfrom-0*: $Vfrom(A,0) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vfrom-succ-lemma*: $Ord(i) ==> Vfrom(A,succ(i)) = A \text{ Un } Pow(Vfrom(A,i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vfrom-succ*: $Vfrom(A,succ(i)) = A \text{ Un } Pow(Vfrom(A,i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vfrom-Union*: $y:X ==> Vfrom(A,Union(X)) = (\bigcup y \in X. Vfrom(A,y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.4 *Vfrom* applied to Limit Ordinals

lemma *Limit-Vfrom-eq*:

$Limit(i) ==> Vfrom(A,i) = (\bigcup y \in i. Vfrom(A,y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Limit-VfromE*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); \sim R ==> Limit(i);$
 $!!x. [[x < i; a \in Vfrom(A,x)]] ==> R$
 $]] ==> R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singleton-in-VLimit*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i)]] ==> \{a\} \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Vfrom-UnI1* =

Un-upper1 [*THEN subset-refl* [*THEN Vfrom-mono*, *THEN subsetD*], *standard*]

lemmas *Vfrom-UnI2* =

Un-upper2 [*THEN subset-refl* [*THEN Vfrom-mono*, *THEN subsetD*], *standard*]

Hard work is finding a single $j:i$ such that $a,b_i = Vfrom(A,j)$

lemma *doubleton-in-VLimit*:

$\llbracket a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i) \rrbracket ==> \{a,b\} \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-in-VLimit*:

$\llbracket a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i) \rrbracket ==> \langle a,b \rangle \in Vfrom(A,i) \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *product-VLimit*: $Limit(i) ==> Vfrom(A,i) * Vfrom(A,i) \leq Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Sigma-subset-VLimit* =

subset-trans [OF *Sigma-mono product-VLimit*]

lemmas *nat-subset-VLimit* =

subset-trans [OF *nat-le-Limit* [THEN *le-imp-subset*] *i-subset-Vfrom*]

lemma *nat-into-VLimit*: $\llbracket n: nat; Limit(i) \rrbracket ==> n \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.4.1 Closure under Disjoint Union

lemmas *zero-in-VLimit* = *Limit-has-0* [THEN *ltD*, THEN *zero-in-Vfrom*, *standard*]

lemma *one-in-VLimit*: $Limit(i) ==> 1 \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inl-in-VLimit*:

$\llbracket a \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i) \rrbracket ==> Inl(a) \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inr-in-VLimit*:

$\llbracket b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i) \rrbracket ==> Inr(b) \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-VLimit*: $Limit(i) ==> Vfrom(C,i) + Vfrom(C,i) \leq Vfrom(C,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *sum-subset-VLimit* = *subset-trans* [OF *sum-mono sum-VLimit*]

23.5 Properties assuming *Transset*(A)

lemma *Transset-Vfrom*: $Transset(A) ==> Transset(Vfrom(A,i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Vfrom-succ*:

$Transset(A) ==> Vfrom(A, succ(i)) = Pow(Vfrom(A,i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Pair-subset*: $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle \leq C; Transset(C) \rrbracket ==> a: C \ \& \ b: C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Pair-subset-VLimit:*

$$\begin{aligned} & [[<a,b> \leq Vfrom(A,i); \text{Transset}(A); \text{Limit}(i)]] \\ & \implies <a,b> \in Vfrom(A,i) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-in-Vfrom:*

$$[[X \in Vfrom(A,j); \text{Transset}(A)]] \implies \text{Union}(X) \in Vfrom(A, \text{succ}(j))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-in-VLimit:*

$$[[X \in Vfrom(A,i); \text{Limit}(i); \text{Transset}(A)]] \implies \text{Union}(X) \in Vfrom(A,i)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

General theorem for membership in $Vfrom(A,i)$ when i is a limit ordinal

lemma *in-VLimit:*

$$\begin{aligned} & [[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); \text{Limit}(i); \\ & \quad !!x y j. [[j < i; 1:j; x \in Vfrom(A,j); y \in Vfrom(A,j)]] \\ & \quad \implies \exists x k. h(x,y) \in Vfrom(A,k) \ \& \ k < i]] \\ & \implies h(a,b) \in Vfrom(A,i) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

23.5.1 Products

lemma *prod-in-Vfrom:*

$$\begin{aligned} & [[a \in Vfrom(A,j); b \in Vfrom(A,j); \text{Transset}(A)]] \\ & \implies a*b \in Vfrom(A, \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(j)))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-in-VLimit:*

$$\begin{aligned} & [[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); \text{Limit}(i); \text{Transset}(A)]] \\ & \implies a*b \in Vfrom(A,i) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

23.5.2 Disjoint Sums, or Quine Ordered Pairs

lemma *sum-in-Vfrom:*

$$\begin{aligned} & [[a \in Vfrom(A,j); b \in Vfrom(A,j); \text{Transset}(A); 1:j]] \\ & \implies a+b \in Vfrom(A, \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(j)))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-in-VLimit:*

$$\begin{aligned} & [[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); \text{Limit}(i); \text{Transset}(A)]] \\ & \implies a+b \in Vfrom(A,i) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

23.5.3 Function Space!

lemma *fun-in-Vfrom:*

$$[[a \in Vfrom(A,j); b \in Vfrom(A,j); \text{Transset}(A)]] \implies$$

$a \rightarrow b \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(succ(succ(j))))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-in-VLimit*:

$[| a \in Vfrom(A, i); b \in Vfrom(A, i); Limit(i); Transset(A) |]$
 $\implies a \rightarrow b \in Vfrom(A, i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pow-in-Vfrom*:

$[| a \in Vfrom(A, j); Transset(A) |] \implies Pow(a) \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(j)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pow-in-VLimit*:

$[| a \in Vfrom(A, i); Limit(i); Transset(A) |] \implies Pow(a) \in Vfrom(A, i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.6 The Set $Vset(i)$

lemma *Vset*: $Vset(i) = (\bigcup_{j \in i} Pow(Vset(j)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Vset-succ* = *Transset-0* [THEN *Transset-Vfrom-succ*, standard]

lemmas *Transset-Vset* = *Transset-0* [THEN *Transset-Vfrom*, standard]

23.6.1 Characterisation of the elements of $Vset(i)$

lemma *VsetD* [rule-format]: $Ord(i) \implies \forall b. b \in Vset(i) \longrightarrow rank(b) < i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *VsetI-lemma* [rule-format]:

$Ord(i) \implies \forall b. rank(b) \in i \longrightarrow b \in Vset(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *VsetI*: $rank(x) < i \implies x \in Vset(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Merely a lemma for the next result

lemma *Vset-Ord-rank-iff*: $Ord(i) \implies b \in Vset(i) \longleftrightarrow rank(b) < i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vset-rank-iff* [simp]: $b \in Vset(a) \longleftrightarrow rank(b) < rank(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This is $rank(rank(a)) = rank(a)$

declare *Ord-rank* [THEN *rank-of-Ord*, simp]

lemma *rank-Vset*: $Ord(i) \implies rank(Vset(i)) = i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Vset*: $i \in \text{nat} \implies \text{Finite}(\text{Vset}(i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.6.2 Reasoning about Sets in Terms of Their Elements' Ranks

lemma *arg-subset-Vset-rank*: $a \leq \text{Vset}(\text{rank}(a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-Vset-subset*:
 $[[\text{!!}i. \text{Ord}(i) \implies a \text{ Int } \text{Vset}(i) \leq b]] \implies a \leq b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.6.3 Set Up an Environment for Simplification

lemma *rank-Inl*: $\text{rank}(a) < \text{rank}(\text{Inl}(a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-Inr*: $\text{rank}(a) < \text{rank}(\text{Inr}(a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *rank-rls* = *rank-Inl rank-Inr rank-pair1 rank-pair2*

23.6.4 Recursion over Vset Levels!

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING: recursive!

lemma *Vrec*: $\text{Vrec}(a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: \text{Vset}(\text{rank}(a)). \text{Vrec}(x, H))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This form avoids giant explosions in proofs. NOTE USE OF ==

lemma *def-Vrec*:
 $[[\text{!!}x. h(x) == \text{Vrec}(x, H)]] \implies$
 $h(a) = H(a, \text{lam } x: \text{Vset}(\text{rank}(a)). h(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING: recursive!

lemma *Vrecursor*:
 $\text{Vrecursor}(H, a) = H(\text{lam } x: \text{Vset}(\text{rank}(a)). \text{Vrecursor}(H, x), a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This form avoids giant explosions in proofs. NOTE USE OF ==

lemma *def-Vrecursor*:
 $h == \text{Vrecursor}(H) \implies h(a) = H(\text{lam } x: \text{Vset}(\text{rank}(a)). h(x), a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.7 The Datatype Universe: *univ*(A)

lemma *univ-mono*: $A \leq B \implies \text{univ}(A) \leq \text{univ}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-univ*: $\text{Transset}(A) \implies \text{Transset}(\text{univ}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.7.1 The Set $\text{univ}(A)$ as a Limit

lemma *univ-eq-UN*: $\text{univ}(A) = (\bigcup i \in \text{nat}. V\text{from}(A, i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-univ-eq-Int*: $c \leq \text{univ}(A) \implies c = (\bigcup i \in \text{nat}. c \text{ Int } V\text{from}(A, i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *univ-Int-Vfrom-subset*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[a \leq \text{univ}(X); \\ & \quad !!i. i:\text{nat} \implies a \text{ Int } V\text{from}(X, i) \leq b]] \\ & \implies a \leq b \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *univ-Int-Vfrom-eq*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[a \leq \text{univ}(X); \quad b \leq \text{univ}(X); \\ & \quad !!i. i:\text{nat} \implies a \text{ Int } V\text{from}(X, i) = b \text{ Int } V\text{from}(X, i) \\ & \quad]] \implies a = b \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.8 Closure Properties for $\text{univ}(A)$

lemma *zero-in-univ*: $0 \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-subset-univ*: $\{0\} \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *A-subset-univ*: $A \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *A-into-univ* = *A-subset-univ* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

23.8.1 Closure under Unordered and Ordered Pairs

lemma *singleton-in-univ*: $a: \text{univ}(A) \implies \{a\} \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *doubleton-in-univ*:

$$[[a: \text{univ}(A); \quad b: \text{univ}(A)]] \implies \{a, b\} \in \text{univ}(A)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pair-in-univ*:

$$[[a: \text{univ}(A); \quad b: \text{univ}(A)]] \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in \text{univ}(A)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-in-univ*:

$[[X: \text{univ}(A); \text{Transset}(A)]] \implies \text{Union}(X) \in \text{univ}(A)$
<proof>

lemma *product-univ*: $\text{univ}(A) * \text{univ}(A) \leq \text{univ}(A)$

<proof>

23.8.2 The Natural Numbers

lemma *nat-subset-univ*: $\text{nat} \leq \text{univ}(A)$

<proof>

$\text{n:nat} \implies \text{n:univ}(A)$

lemmas *nat-into-univ* = *nat-subset-univ* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

23.8.3 Instances for 1 and 2

lemma *one-in-univ*: $1 \in \text{univ}(A)$

<proof>

unused!

lemma *two-in-univ*: $2 \in \text{univ}(A)$

<proof>

lemma *bool-subset-univ*: $\text{bool} \leq \text{univ}(A)$

<proof>

lemmas *bool-into-univ* = *bool-subset-univ* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

23.8.4 Closure under Disjoint Union

lemma *Inl-in-univ*: $a: \text{univ}(A) \implies \text{Inl}(a) \in \text{univ}(A)$

<proof>

lemma *Inr-in-univ*: $b: \text{univ}(A) \implies \text{Inr}(b) \in \text{univ}(A)$

<proof>

lemma *sum-univ*: $\text{univ}(C) + \text{univ}(C) \leq \text{univ}(C)$

<proof>

lemmas *sum-subset-univ* = *subset-trans* [*OF sum-mono sum-univ*]

lemma *Sigma-subset-univ*:

$[[A \subseteq \text{univ}(D); \bigwedge x. x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq \text{univ}(D)]] \implies \text{Sigma}(A, B) \subseteq \text{univ}(D)$
<proof>

23.9 Finite Branching Closure Properties

23.9.1 Closure under Finite Powerset

lemma *Fin-Vfrom-lemma*:

$\llbracket b: \text{Fin}(\text{Vfrom}(A,i)); \text{Limit}(i) \rrbracket \implies \exists j. b \leq \text{Vfrom}(A,j) \ \& \ j < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Fin-VLimit*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Fin}(\text{Vfrom}(A,i)) \leq \text{Vfrom}(A,i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Fin-subset-VLimit* = *subset-trans* [OF *Fin-mono Fin-VLimit*]

lemma *Fin-univ*: $\text{Fin}(\text{univ}(A)) \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.9.2 Closure under Finite Powers: Functions from a Natural Number

lemma *nat-fun-VLimit*:

$\llbracket n: \text{nat}; \text{Limit}(i) \rrbracket \implies n \rightarrow \text{Vfrom}(A,i) \leq \text{Vfrom}(A,i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *nat-fun-subset-VLimit* = *subset-trans* [OF *Pi-mono nat-fun-VLimit*]

lemma *nat-fun-univ*: $n: \text{nat} \implies n \rightarrow \text{univ}(A) \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.9.3 Closure under Finite Function Space

General but seldom-used version; normally the domain is fixed

lemma *FiniteFun-VLimit1*:

$\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Vfrom}(A,i) \multimap \text{Vfrom}(A,i) \leq \text{Vfrom}(A,i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-univ1*: $\text{univ}(A) \multimap \text{univ}(A) \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Version for a fixed domain

lemma *FiniteFun-VLimit*:

$\llbracket W \leq \text{Vfrom}(A,i); \text{Limit}(i) \rrbracket \implies W \multimap \text{Vfrom}(A,i) \leq \text{Vfrom}(A,i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-univ*:

$W \leq \text{univ}(A) \implies W \multimap \text{univ}(A) \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-in-univ*:

$\llbracket f: W \multimap \text{univ}(A); W \leq \text{univ}(A) \rrbracket \implies f \in \text{univ}(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Remove $j=$ from the rule above

lemmas *FiniteFun-in-univ'* = *FiniteFun-in-univ* [*OF* - *subsetI*]

23.10 * For QUniv. Properties of Vfrom analogous to the "take-lemma" *

Intersecting $a*b$ with Vfrom...

This version says a, b exist one level down, in the smaller set Vfrom(X, i)

lemma *doubleton-in-Vfrom-D*:

$[[\{a, b\} \in Vfrom(X, succ(i)); Transset(X)]]$
 $==> a \in Vfrom(X, i) \ \& \ b \in Vfrom(X, i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

This weaker version says a, b exist at the same level

lemmas *Vfrom-doubleton-D* = *Transset-Vfrom* [*THEN Transset-doubleton-D, standard*]

lemma *Pair-in-Vfrom-D*:

$[[<a, b> \in Vfrom(X, succ(i)); Transset(X)]]$
 $==> a \in Vfrom(X, i) \ \& \ b \in Vfrom(X, i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *product-Int-Vfrom-subset*:

Transset(X) $==>$
 $(a*b) \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, succ(i)) \leq (a \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, i)) * (b \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, i))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

24 A Small Universe for Lazy Recursive Types

theory *QUniv* **imports** *Univ QPair* **begin**

rep-datatype

elimination *sumE*

induction *TrueI*

case-eqns *case-Inl case-Inr*

rep-datatype
elimination $qsumE$
induction $TrueI$
case-eqns $qcase-QInl$ $qcase-QInr$

constdefs
 $quniv :: i ==> i$
 $quniv(A) == Pow(univ(eclose(A)))$

24.1 Properties involving Transset and Sum

lemma *Transset-includes-summands*:
 $[| Transset(C); A+B \leq C |] ==> A \leq C \ \& \ B \leq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-sum-Int-subset*:
 $Transset(C) ==> (A+B) \text{ Int } C \leq (A \text{ Int } C) + (B \text{ Int } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

24.2 Introduction and Elimination Rules

lemma *qunivI*: $X \leq univ(eclose(A)) ==> X : quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qunivD*: $X : quniv(A) ==> X \leq univ(eclose(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *quniv-mono*: $A \leq B ==> quniv(A) \leq quniv(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

24.3 Closure Properties

lemma *univ-eclose-subset-quniv*: $univ(eclose(A)) \leq quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *univ-subset-quniv*: $univ(A) \leq quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *univ-into-quniv* = *univ-subset-quniv* [THEN subsetD, standard]

lemma *Pow-univ-subset-quniv*: $Pow(univ(A)) \leq quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *univ-subset-into-quniv* =
 $PowI$ [THEN Pow-univ-subset-quniv [THEN subsetD], standard]

lemmas *zero-in-quniv* = *zero-in-univ* [THEN univ-into-quniv, standard]

lemmas *one-in-quniv* = *one-in-univ* [THEN univ-into-quniv, standard]

lemmas $two-in-quniv = two-in-univ$ [*THEN univ-into-quniv, standard*]

lemmas $A-subset-quniv = subset-trans$ [*OF A-subset-univ univ-subset-quniv*]

lemmas $A-into-quniv = A-subset-quniv$ [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

lemma $QPair-subset-univ$:
 $[| a \leq univ(A); b \leq univ(A) |] \implies \langle a; b \rangle \leq univ(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

24.4 Quine Disjoint Sum

lemma $QInl-subset-univ$: $a \leq univ(A) \implies QInl(a) \leq univ(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $naturals-subset-nat =$
 $Ord-nat$ [*THEN Ord-is-Transset, unfolded Transset-def, THEN bspec, standard*]

lemmas $naturals-subset-univ =$
 $subset-trans$ [*OF naturals-subset-nat nat-subset-univ*]

lemma $QInr-subset-univ$: $a \leq univ(A) \implies QInr(a) \leq univ(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

24.5 Closure for Quine-Inspired Products and Sums

lemma $QPair-in-quniv$:
 $[| a: quniv(A); b: quniv(A) |] \implies \langle a; b \rangle : quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $QSigma-quniv$: $quniv(A) <*> quniv(A) \leq quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $QSigma-subset-quniv = subset-trans$ [*OF QSigma-mono QSigma-quniv*]

lemma $quniv-QPair-D$:
 $\langle a; b \rangle : quniv(A) \implies a: quniv(A) \ \& \ b: quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $quniv-QPair-E = quniv-QPair-D$ [*THEN conjE, standard*]

lemma $quniv-QPair-iff$: $\langle a; b \rangle : quniv(A) \iff a: quniv(A) \ \& \ b: quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

24.6 Quine Disjoint Sum

lemma *QInl-in-quniv*: $a : \text{quniv}(A) \implies \text{QInl}(a) : \text{quniv}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *QInr-in-quniv*: $b : \text{quniv}(A) \implies \text{QInr}(b) : \text{quniv}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *qsum-quniv*: $\text{quniv}(C) <+> \text{quniv}(C) \leq \text{quniv}(C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *qsum-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [OF *qsum-mono* *qsum-quniv*]

24.7 The Natural Numbers

lemmas *nat-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [OF *nat-subset-univ* *univ-subset-quniv*]

lemmas *nat-into-quniv* = *nat-subset-quniv* [THEN *subsetD*, *standard*]

lemmas *bool-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [OF *bool-subset-univ* *univ-subset-quniv*]

lemmas *bool-into-quniv* = *bool-subset-quniv* [THEN *subsetD*, *standard*]

lemma *QPair-Int-Vfrom-succ-subset*:
 $\text{Transset}(X) \implies$
 $\langle a; b \rangle \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, \text{succ}(i)) \leq \langle a \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i); b \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

24.8 "Take-Lemma" Rules

lemma *QPair-Int-Vfrom-subset*:
 $\text{Transset}(X) \implies$
 $\langle a; b \rangle \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i) \leq \langle a \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i); b \text{ Int Vfrom}(X, i) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *QPair-Int-Vset-subset-trans* =
subset-trans [OF *Transset-0* [THEN *QPair-Int-Vfrom-subset*] *QPair-mono*]

lemma *QPair-Int-Vset-subset-UN*:
 $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \langle a; b \rangle \text{ Int Vset}(i) \leq (\bigcup_{j \in i}. \langle a \text{ Int Vset}(j); b \text{ Int Vset}(j) \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

end

25 Datatype and CoDatatype Definitions

```

theory Datatype imports Inductive Univ QUniv
uses
  Tools/datatype-package.ML
  Tools/numeral-syntax.ML begin

end

```

26 Arithmetic Operators and Their Definitions

```

theory Arith imports Univ begin

```

Proofs about elementary arithmetic: addition, multiplication, etc.

```

constdefs
  pred  ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
  pred( $y$ ) == nat-case( $0$ ,  $\%x. x, y$ )

  natify ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
  natify == Vrecursor( $\%f a. \text{if } a = \text{succ}(\text{pred}(a)) \text{ then } \text{succ}(f \text{pred}(a))$ 
                       $\text{else } 0$ )

```

```

consts
  raw-add  ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ 
  raw-diff ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ 
  raw-mult ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ 

```

```

primrec
  raw-add ( $0, n$ ) =  $n$ 
  raw-add (succ( $m$ ),  $n$ ) = succ(raw-add( $m, n$ ))

```

```

primrec
  raw-diff-0:   raw-diff( $m, 0$ ) =  $m$ 
  raw-diff-succ: raw-diff( $m, \text{succ}(n)$ ) =
                  nat-case( $0, \%x. x, \text{raw-diff}(m, n)$ )

```

```

primrec
  raw-mult( $0, n$ ) =  $0$ 
  raw-mult(succ( $m$ ),  $n$ ) = raw-add ( $n, \text{raw-mult}(m, n)$ )

```

```

constdefs
  add ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$                                 (infixl  $\#+$  65)
   $m \#+ n$  == raw-add (natify( $m$ ), natify( $n$ ))

  diff ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$                                 (infixl  $\#-$  65)

```

```

    m #- n == raw-diff (natify(m), natify(n))

    mult :: [i,i] => i                                (infixl #* 70)
    m #* n == raw-mult (natify(m), natify(n))

    raw-div :: [i,i] => i
    raw-div (m, n) ==
      transrec(m, %j f. if j < n | n = 0 then 0 else succ(f'(j #- n)))

    raw-mod :: [i,i] => i
    raw-mod (m, n) ==
      transrec(m, %j f. if j < n | n = 0 then j else f'(j #- n))

    div :: [i,i] => i                                (infixl div 70)
    m div n == raw-div (natify(m), natify(n))

    mod :: [i,i] => i                                (infixl mod 70)
    m mod n == raw-mod (natify(m), natify(n))

syntax (xsymbols)
    mult    :: [i,i] => i                            (infixr #× 70)

syntax (HTML output)
    mult    :: [i, i] => i                            (infixr #× 70)

declare rec-type [simp]
    nat-0-le [simp]

lemma zero-lt-lemma: [| 0 < k; k ∈ nat |] ==> ∃ j ∈ nat. k = succ(j)
  <proof>

lemmas zero-lt-natE = zero-lt-lemma [THEN bexE, standard]

26.1 natify, the Coercion to nat

lemma pred-succ-eq [simp]: pred(succ(y)) = y
  <proof>

lemma natify-succ: natify(succ(x)) = succ(natify(x))
  <proof>

lemma natify-0 [simp]: natify(0) = 0
  <proof>

lemma natify-non-succ: ∀ z. x ~ = succ(z) ==> natify(x) = 0
  <proof>

```

lemma *natify-in-nat* [*iff, TC*]: $\text{natify}(x) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *natify-ident* [*simp*]: $n \in \text{nat} \implies \text{natify}(n) = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *natify-eqE*: $[\text{natify}(x) = y; x \in \text{nat}] \implies x = y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *natify-idem* [*simp*]: $\text{natify}(\text{natify}(x)) = \text{natify}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-natify1* [*simp*]: $\text{natify}(m) \# + n = m \# + n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-natify2* [*simp*]: $m \# + \text{natify}(n) = m \# + n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-natify1* [*simp*]: $\text{natify}(m) \# * n = m \# * n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-natify2* [*simp*]: $m \# * \text{natify}(n) = m \# * n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-natify1* [*simp*]: $\text{natify}(m) \# - n = m \# - n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-natify2* [*simp*]: $m \# - \text{natify}(n) = m \# - n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-natify1* [*simp*]: $\text{natify}(m) \bmod n = m \bmod n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-natify2* [*simp*]: $m \bmod \text{natify}(n) = m \bmod n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-natify1* [*simp*]: *natify(m) div n = m div n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-natify2* [*simp*]: *m div natify(n) = m div n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

26.2 Typing rules

lemma *raw-add-type*: [*m* ∈ *nat*; *n* ∈ *nat*] ==> *raw-add (m, n) ∈ nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: *m #+ n ∈ nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-mult-type*: [*m* ∈ *nat*; *n* ∈ *nat*] ==> *raw-mult (m, n) ∈ nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: *m #* n ∈ nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-diff-type*: [*m* ∈ *nat*; *n* ∈ *nat*] ==> *raw-diff (m, n) ∈ nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: *m #- n ∈ nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-0-eq-0* [*simp*]: *0 #- n = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-succ-succ* [*simp*]: *succ(m) #- succ(n) = m #- n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *raw-diff-succ* [*simp del*]

lemma *diff-0* [*simp*]: *m #- 0 = natify(m)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-le-self*: *m* ∈ *nat* ==> (*m #- n*) *le m*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

26.3 Addition

lemma *add-0-natify* [simp]: $0 \# + m = \text{natify}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-succ* [simp]: $\text{succ}(m) \# + n = \text{succ}(m \# + n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-0*: $m \in \text{nat} \implies 0 \# + m = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-assoc*: $(m \# + n) \# + k = m \# + (n \# + k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-0-right-natify* [simp]: $m \# + 0 = \text{natify}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-succ-right* [simp]: $m \# + \text{succ}(n) = \text{succ}(m \# + n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-0-right*: $m \in \text{nat} \implies m \# + 0 = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-commute*: $m \# + n = n \# + m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-left-commute*: $m \# + (n \# + k) = n \# + (m \# + k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *add-ac* = *add-assoc add-commute add-left-commute*

lemma *raw-add-left-cancel*:
 $\llbracket \text{raw-add}(k, m) = \text{raw-add}(k, n); k \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-left-cancel-natify*: $k \# + m = k \# + n \implies \text{natify}(m) = \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-left-cancel*:
 $\llbracket i = j; i \# + m = j \# + n; m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-le-elim1-natify*: $k \# + m \text{ le } k \# + n \implies \text{natify}(m) \text{ le } \text{natify}(n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-le-elim1*: $[| k\# + m \text{ le } k\# + n; m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ le } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-lt-elim1-natify*: $k\# + m < k\# + n \implies \text{natify}(m) < \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-lt-elim1*: $[| k\# + m < k\# + n; m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} |] \implies m < n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-less-add*: $[| n \in \text{nat}; m \in \text{nat} |] \implies 0 < m \# + n \iff (0 < m \mid 0 < n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

26.4 Monotonicity of Addition

lemma *add-lt-mono1*: $[| i < j; j \in \text{nat} |] \implies i\# + k < j\# + k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

strict, in second argument

lemma *add-lt-mono2*: $[| i < j; j \in \text{nat} |] \implies k\# + i < k\# + j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A [clumsy] way of lifting lt monotonicity to \leq monotonicity

lemma *Ord-lt-mono-imp-le-mono*:
 assumes *lt-mono*: $!!i\ j. [| i < j; j:k |] \implies f(i) < f(j)$
 and ford: $!!i. i:k \implies \text{Ord}(f(i))$
 and leij: $i \text{ le } j$
 and jink: $j:k$
 shows $f(i) \text{ le } f(j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

\leq monotonicity, 1st argument

lemma *add-le-mono1*: $[| i \text{ le } j; j \in \text{nat} |] \implies i\# + k \text{ le } j\# + k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

\leq monotonicity, both arguments

lemma *add-le-mono*: $[| i \text{ le } j; k \text{ le } l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat} |] \implies i\# + k \text{ le } j\# + l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Combinations of less-than and less-than-or-equals

lemma *add-lt-le-mono*: $[| i < j; k \leq l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat} |] \implies i\# + k < j\# + l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-le-lt-mono*: $[| i \leq j; k < l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat} |] \implies i\# + k < j\# + l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Less-than: in other words, strict in both arguments

lemma *add-lt-mono*: $[[i < j; k < l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}]] \implies i \# + k < j \# + l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-add-inverse*: $(n \# + m) \# - n = \text{natify}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-add-inverse2*: $(m \# + n) \# - n = \text{natify}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-cancel*: $(k \# + m) \# - (k \# + n) = m \# - n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-cancel2*: $(m \# + k) \# - (n \# + k) = m \# - n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-add-0*: $n \# - (n \# + m) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-0* [*simp*]: $\text{pred}(0) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-succ-imp-eq-m1*: $[[i = \text{succ}(j); i \in \text{nat}]] \implies j = i \# - 1 \ \& \ j \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-Un-distrib*:
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies \text{pred}(i \text{ Un } j) = \text{pred}(i) \text{ Un } \text{pred}(j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-type* [*TC, simp*]:
 $i \in \text{nat} \implies \text{pred}(i) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-diff-pred*: $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies i \# - \text{succ}(j) = \text{pred}(i \# - j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-succ-eq-pred*: $i \# - \text{succ}(j) = \text{pred}(i \# - j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-diff-Un-distrib*:
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}]] \implies (i \text{ Un } j) \# - k = (i \# - k) \text{ Un } (j \# - k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-Un-distrib*:
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies (i \text{ Un } j) \# - k = (i \# - k) \text{ Un } (j \# - k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We actually prove $i \# - j \# - k = i \# - (j \# + k)$

lemma *diff-diff-left* [*simplified*]:
 $\text{natify}(i) \# - \text{natify}(j) \# - k = \text{natify}(i) \# - (\text{natify}(j) \# + k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-add-iff*: $(u \# + m = u \# + n) <-> (0 \# + m = \text{natify}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less-add-iff*: $(u \# + m < u \# + n) <-> (0 \# + m < \text{natify}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-add-eq*: $((u \# + m) \# - (u \# + n)) = ((0 \# + m) \# - n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-cong2*: $u = u' ==> (t == u) == (t == u')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iff-cong2*: $u <-> u' ==> (t == u) == (t == u')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

26.5 Multiplication

lemma *mult-0* [*simp*]: $0 \# * m = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-succ* [*simp*]: $\text{succ}(m) \# * n = n \# + (m \# * n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-0-right* [*simp*]: $m \# * 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-succ-right* [*simp*]: $m \# * \text{succ}(n) = m \# + (m \# * n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-1-natify* [*simp*]: $1 \# * n = \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-1-right-natify* [*simp*]: $n \# * 1 = \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-1*: $n \in \text{nat} ==> 1 \# * n = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-1-right*: $n \in \text{nat} ==> n \# * 1 = n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-commute*: $m \#* n = n \#* m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-mult-distrib*: $(m \#+ n) \#* k = (m \#* k) \#+ (n \#* k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-mult-distrib-left*: $k \#* (m \#+ n) = (k \#* m) \#+ (k \#* n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-assoc*: $(m \#* n) \#* k = m \#* (n \#* k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-left-commute*: $m \#* (n \#* k) = n \#* (m \#* k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *mult-ac = mult-assoc mult-commute mult-left-commute*

lemma *lt-succ-eq-0-disj*:
 $[[m \in nat; n \in nat]]$
 $\implies (m < succ(n)) \iff (m = 0 \mid (\exists j \in nat. m = succ(j) \ \& \ j < n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-diff-conv* [rule-format]:
 $[[j \in nat; k \in nat]]$ $\implies \forall i \in nat. (i < j \#- k) \iff (i \#+ k < j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *nat-typechecks = rec-type nat-0I nat-1I nat-succI Ord-nat*

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

27 Arithmetic with simplification

theory *ArithSimp*
imports *Arith*
uses $\sim\sim /src/Provers/Arith/cancel-numerals.ML$
 $\sim\sim /src/Provers/Arith/combine-numerals.ML$
 arith-data.ML

begin

27.1 Difference

lemma *diff-self-eq-0* [*simp*]: $m \# - m = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-diff-inverse*: $[| n \text{ le } m; m:\text{nat} |] ==> n \# + (m \# - n) = m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-diff-inverse2*: $[| n \text{ le } m; m:\text{nat} |] ==> (m \# - n) \# + n = m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-succ*: $[| n \text{ le } m; m:\text{nat} |] ==> \text{succ}(m) \# - n = \text{succ}(m \# - n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-less-diff* [*simp*]:
 $[| m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] ==> 0 < (n \# - m) \iff m < n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-mult-distrib*: $(m \# - n) \# * k = (m \# * k) \# - (n \# * k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-mult-distrib2*: $k \# * (m \# - n) = (k \# * m) \# - (k \# * n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

27.2 Remainder

lemma *div-termination*: $[| 0 < n; n \text{ le } m; m:\text{nat} |] ==> m \# - n < m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *div-rls* =
nat-typechecks *Ord-transrec-type* *apply-funtype*
div-termination [*THEN ltD*]
nat-into-Ord *not-lt-iff-le* [*THEN iffD1*]

lemma *raw-mod-type*: $[| m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] ==> \text{raw-mod } (m, n) : \text{nat}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-type* [*TC,iff*]: $m \text{ mod } n : \text{nat}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-DIV*: $a \text{ div } 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-MOD*: $a \text{ mod } 0 = \text{natify}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-mod-less*: $m < n \implies \text{raw-mod } (m, n) = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-less* [simp]: $[| m < n; n : \text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ mod } n = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-mod-geq*:
 $[| 0 < n; n \text{ le } m; m : \text{nat} |] \implies \text{raw-mod } (m, n) = \text{raw-mod } (m \# -n, n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-geq*: $[| n \text{ le } m; m : \text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ mod } n = (m \# -n) \text{ mod } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.3 Division

lemma *raw-div-type*: $[| m : \text{nat}; n : \text{nat} |] \implies \text{raw-div } (m, n) : \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-type* [TC, iff]: $m \text{ div } n : \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-div-less*: $m < n \implies \text{raw-div } (m, n) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-less* [simp]: $[| m < n; n : \text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ div } n = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-div-geq*: $[| 0 < n; n \text{ le } m; m : \text{nat} |] \implies \text{raw-div}(m, n) = \text{succ}(\text{raw-div}(m \# -n, n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-geq* [simp]:
 $[| 0 < n; n \text{ le } m; m : \text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ div } n = \text{succ } ((m \# -n) \text{ div } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *div-less* [simp] *div-geq* [simp]

lemma *mod-div-lemma*: $[[m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat}]] \implies (m \text{ div } n) \# * n \# + m \text{ mod } n = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-div-equality-natify*: $(m \text{ div } n) \# * n \# + m \text{ mod } n = \text{natify}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-div-equality*: $m: \text{nat} \implies (m \text{ div } n) \# * n \# + m \text{ mod } n = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.4 Further Facts about Remainder

(mainly for mutilated chess board)

lemma *mod-succ-lemma*:
 $[[0 < n; m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat}]] \implies \text{succ}(m) \text{ mod } n = (\text{if } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n) = n \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-succ*:
 $n: \text{nat} \implies \text{succ}(m) \text{ mod } n = (\text{if } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n) = n \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-less-divisor*: $[[0 < n; n: \text{nat}]] \implies m \text{ mod } n < n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-1-eq* [simp]: $m \text{ mod } 1 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod2-cases*: $b < 2 \implies k \text{ mod } 2 = b \mid k \text{ mod } 2 = (\text{if } b=1 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod2-succ-succ* [simp]: $\text{succ}(\text{succ}(m)) \text{ mod } 2 = m \text{ mod } 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod2-add-more* [simp]: $(m \# + m \# + n) \text{ mod } 2 = n \text{ mod } 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod2-add-self* [simp]: $(m \# + m) \text{ mod } 2 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.5 Additional theorems about \leq

lemma *add-le-self*: $m: \text{nat} \implies m \text{ le } (m \# + n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-le-self2*: $m: \text{nat} \implies m \text{ le } (n \# + m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-mono1*: $[| i \text{ le } j; j:\text{nat} |] \implies (i \#* k) \text{ le } (j \#* k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-mono*: $[| i \text{ le } j; k \text{ le } l; j:\text{nat}; l:\text{nat} |] \implies i \#* k \text{ le } j \#* l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-lt-mono2*: $[| i < j; 0 < k; j:\text{nat}; k:\text{nat} |] \implies k \#* i < k \#* j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-lt-mono1*: $[| i < j; 0 < k; j:\text{nat}; k:\text{nat} |] \implies i \#* k < j \#* k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-eq-0-iff* [iff]: $m \# + n = 0 \iff \text{nativify}(m)=0 \ \& \ \text{nativify}(n)=0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-lt-mult-iff* [iff]: $0 < m \#* n \iff 0 < \text{nativify}(m) \ \& \ 0 < \text{nativify}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-eq-1-iff* [iff]: $m \#* n = 1 \iff \text{nativify}(m)=1 \ \& \ \text{nativify}(n)=1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-is-zero*: $[| m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] \implies (m \#* n = 0) \iff (m = 0 \mid n = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-is-zero-nativify* [iff]:
 $(m \#* n = 0) \iff (\text{nativify}(m) = 0 \mid \text{nativify}(n) = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.6 Cancellation Laws for Common Factors in Comparisons

lemma *mult-less-cancel-lemma*:
 $[| k:\text{nat}; m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] \implies (m \#* k < n \#* k) \iff (0 < k \ \& \ m < n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-less-cancel2* [simp]:
 $(m \#* k < n \#* k) \iff (0 < \text{nativify}(k) \ \& \ \text{nativify}(m) < \text{nativify}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-less-cancel1* [simp]:
 $(k \#* m < k \#* n) \iff (0 < \text{nativify}(k) \ \& \ \text{nativify}(m) < \text{nativify}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-cancel2* [simp]: $(m \#* k \text{ le } n \#* k) \iff (0 < \text{nativify}(k) \implies \text{nativify}(m) \text{ le } \text{nativify}(n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-cancel1* [simp]: $(k \#* m \text{ le } k \#* n) <-> (0 < \text{natty}(k) \text{ --> } \text{natty}(m) \text{ le } \text{natty}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-cancel-le1*: $k : \text{nat} \implies k \#* m \text{ le } k \longleftrightarrow (0 < k \longrightarrow \text{natty}(m) \text{ le } 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-eq-iff-le*: $[| \text{Ord}(m); \text{Ord}(n) |] \implies m = n <-> (m \text{ le } n \ \& \ n \text{ le } m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-cancel2-lemma*:
 $[| k : \text{nat}; m : \text{nat}; n : \text{nat} |] \implies (m \#* k = n \#* k) <-> (m = n \mid k = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-cancel2* [simp]:
 $(m \#* k = n \#* k) <-> (\text{natty}(m) = \text{natty}(n) \mid \text{natty}(k) = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-cancel1* [simp]:
 $(k \#* m = k \#* n) <-> (\text{natty}(m) = \text{natty}(n) \mid \text{natty}(k) = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-cancel-raw*:
 $[| 0 < n; 0 < k; k : \text{nat}; m : \text{nat}; n : \text{nat} |] \implies (k \#* m) \text{ div } (k \#* n) = m \text{ div } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-cancel*:
 $[| 0 < \text{natty}(n); 0 < \text{natty}(k) |] \implies (k \#* m) \text{ div } (k \#* n) = m \text{ div } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.7 More Lemmas about Remainder

lemma *mult-mod-distrib-raw*:
 $[| k : \text{nat}; m : \text{nat}; n : \text{nat} |] \implies (k \#* m) \text{ mod } (k \#* n) = k \#* (m \text{ mod } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-mult-distrib2*: $k \#* (m \text{ mod } n) = (k \#* m) \text{ mod } (k \#* n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-mod-distrib*: $(m \text{ mod } n) \#* k = (m \#* k) \text{ mod } (n \#* k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-add-self2-raw*: $n \in \text{nat} \implies (m \#* + n) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-add-self2* [simp]: $(m \# + n) \bmod n = m \bmod n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-add-self1* [simp]: $(n \# + m) \bmod n = m \bmod n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-mult-self1-raw*: $k \in \text{nat} \implies (m \# + k \# * n) \bmod n = m \bmod n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-mult-self1* [simp]: $(m \# + k \# * n) \bmod n = m \bmod n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-mult-self2* [simp]: $(m \# + n \# * k) \bmod n = m \bmod n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-eq-self-implies-10*: $m = m \# * n \implies \text{natify}(n) = 1 \mid m = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-imp-succ-add* [rule-format]:
 $\llbracket m < n; n: \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \exists k: \text{nat}. n = \text{succ}(m \# + k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-iff-succ-add*:
 $\llbracket m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies (m < n) \iff (\exists k: \text{nat}. n = \text{succ}(m \# + k))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-lt-elim2*:
 $\llbracket a \# + d = b \# + c; a < b; b \in \text{nat}; c \in \text{nat}; d \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies c < d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-le-elim2*:
 $\llbracket a \# + d = b \# + c; a \leq b; b \in \text{nat}; c \in \text{nat}; d \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies c \leq d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

27.7.1 More Lemmas About Difference

lemma *diff-is-0-lemma*:
 $\llbracket m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m \# - n = 0 \iff m \leq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-is-0-iff*: $m \# - n = 0 \iff \text{natify}(m) \leq \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-lt-imp-diff-eq-0*:
 $\llbracket a: \text{nat}; b: \text{nat}; a < b \rrbracket \implies a \# - b = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

consts

$length :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $hd :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $tl :: i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

$length([]) = 0$
 $length(Cons(a,l)) = succ(length(l))$

primrec

$hd([]) = 0$
 $hd(Cons(a,l)) = a$

primrec

$tl([]) = []$
 $tl(Cons(a,l)) = l$

consts

$map :: [i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$
 $set-of-list :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $app :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (infixr @ 60)

primrec

$map(f, []) = []$
 $map(f, Cons(a,l)) = Cons(f(a), map(f,l))$

primrec

$set-of-list([]) = 0$
 $set-of-list(Cons(a,l)) = cons(a, set-of-list(l))$

primrec

$app-Nil: [] @ ys = ys$
 $app-Cons: (Cons(a,l)) @ ys = Cons(a, l @ ys)$

consts

$rev :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $flat :: i \Rightarrow i$
 $list-add :: i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

$rev([]) = []$
 $rev(Cons(a,l)) = rev(l) @ [a]$

primrec

$flat([]) = []$
 $flat(Cons(l, ls)) = l @ flat(ls)$

primrec

$list-add([]) = 0$
 $list-add(Cons(a, l)) = a \# + list-add(l)$

consts

$drop \quad :: [i, i] => i$

primrec

$drop-0: \quad drop(0, l) = l$
 $drop-succ: drop(succ(i), l) = tl(drop(i, l))$

constdefs

$take \quad :: [i, i] => i$
 $take(n, as) == list-rec(lam n:nat. [],$
 $\quad \%a l r. lam n:nat. nat-case([], \%m. Cons(a, r'm), n), as)'n$

$nth :: [i, i] => i$
 $—$ returns the (n+1)th element of a list, or 0 if the list is too short.
 $nth(n, as) == list-rec(lam n:nat. 0,$
 $\quad \%a l r. lam n:nat. nat-case(a, \%m. r'm, n), as)'n$

$list-update :: [i, i, i] => i$
 $list-update(xs, i, v) == list-rec(lam n:nat. Nil,$
 $\quad \%u us vs. lam n:nat. nat-case(Cons(v, us), \%m. Cons(u, vs'm), n), xs)'i$

consts

$filter :: [i=>o, i] => i$
 $upt :: [i, i] => i$

primrec

$filter(P, Nil) = Nil$
 $filter(P, Cons(x, xs)) =$
 $(if P(x) then Cons(x, filter(P, xs)) else filter(P, xs))$

primrec

$upt(i, 0) = Nil$
 $upt(i, succ(j)) = (if i le j then upt(i, j)@[j] else Nil)$

constdefs

$min :: [i, i] => i$
 $min(x, y) == (if x le y then x else y)$

$max :: [i, i] ==> i$
 $max(x, y) == (if\ x\ le\ y\ then\ y\ else\ x)$

declare *list.intros* [*simp*, *TC*]

inductive-cases *ConsE*: *Cons*(*a*,*l*) : *list*(*A*)

lemma *Cons-type-iff* [*simp*]: *Cons*(*a*,*l*) ∈ *list*(*A*) <-> *a* ∈ *A* & *l* ∈ *list*(*A*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Cons-iff*: *Cons*(*a*,*l*)=*Cons*(*a'*,*l'*) <-> *a*=*a'* & *l*=*l'*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Nil-Cons-iff*: ~ *Nil*=*Cons*(*a*,*l*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *list-unfold*: *list*(*A*) = {0} + (*A* * *list*(*A*))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *list-mono*: *A* <= *B* ==> *list*(*A*) <= *list*(*B*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *list-univ*: *list*(*univ*(*A*)) <= *univ*(*A*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *list-subset-univ* = *subset-trans* [*OF list-mono list-univ*]

lemma *list-into-univ*: [*l*: *list*(*A*); *A* <= *univ*(*B*)] ==> *l*: *univ*(*B*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *list-case-type*:
 [*l*: *list*(*A*);
 c: *C*(*Nil*);
 !!*x y*. [*x*: *A*; *y*: *list*(*A*)] ==> *h*(*x*,*y*): *C*(*Cons*(*x*,*y*))
] ==> *list-case*(*c*,*h*,*l*) : *C*(*l*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *list-0-triv*: *list*(0) = {*Nil*}
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tl-type*: $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{tl}(l) : \text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-Nil* [*simp*]: $i:\text{nat} \implies \text{drop}(i, \text{Nil}) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-succ-Cons* [*simp*]: $i:\text{nat} \implies \text{drop}(\text{succ}(i), \text{Cons}(a,l)) = \text{drop}(i,l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-type* [*simp*, *TC*]: $[\mid i:\text{nat}; l: \text{list}(A) \mid] \implies \text{drop}(i,l) : \text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *drop-succ* [*simp del*]

lemma *list-rec-type* [*TC*]:
 $[\mid l: \text{list}(A);$
 $\quad c: C(\text{Nil});$
 $\quad !!x\ y\ r. [\mid x:A; y: \text{list}(A); r: C(y) \mid] \implies h(x,y,r): C(\text{Cons}(x,y))$
 $\quad \mid] \implies \text{list-rec}(c,h,l) : C(l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-type* [*TC*]:
 $[\mid l: \text{list}(A); !!x. x: A \implies h(x): B \mid] \implies \text{map}(h,l) : \text{list}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-type2* [*TC*]: $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(h,l) : \text{list}(\{h(u). u:A\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-type* [*TC*]: $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(l) : \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-length-in-nat*:
 $[\mid x < \text{length}(xs); xs \in \text{list}(A) \mid] \implies x \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *app-type* [TC]: $[[\text{xs}: \text{list}(A); \text{ys}: \text{list}(A)] \implies \text{xs}@\text{ys} : \text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-type* [TC]: $\text{xs}: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{rev}(\text{xs}) : \text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *flat-type* [TC]: $\text{ls}: \text{list}(\text{list}(A)) \implies \text{flat}(\text{ls}) : \text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-of-list-type* [TC]: $\text{l}: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{set-of-list}(\text{l}) : \text{Pow}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-of-list-append*:
 $\text{xs}: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{set-of-list}(\text{xs}@\text{ys}) = \text{set-of-list}(\text{xs}) \cup \text{set-of-list}(\text{ys})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-add-type* [TC]: $\text{xs}: \text{list}(\text{nat}) \implies \text{list-add}(\text{xs}) : \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-ident* [simp]: $\text{l}: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(\%u. u, \text{l}) = \text{l}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-compose*: $\text{l}: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(h, \text{map}(j, \text{l})) = \text{map}(\%u. h(j(u)), \text{l})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-app-distrib*: $\text{xs}: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(h, \text{xs}@\text{ys}) = \text{map}(h, \text{xs}) @ \text{map}(h, \text{ys})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-flat*: $\text{ls}: \text{list}(\text{list}(A)) \implies \text{map}(h, \text{flat}(\text{ls})) = \text{flat}(\text{map}(\text{map}(h), \text{ls}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-rec-map*:
 $\text{l}: \text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\text{list-rec}(c, d, \text{map}(h, \text{l})) =$
 $\text{list-rec}(c, \%x \text{ xs } r. d(h(x), \text{map}(h, \text{xs}), r), \text{l})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *list-CollectD* = *Collect-subset* [*THEN list-mono*, *THEN subsetD*, *standard*]

lemma *map-list-Collect*: $l: list(\{x:A. h(x)=j(x)\}) \implies map(h,l) = map(j,l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-map* [*simp*]: $xs: list(A) \implies length(map(h,xs)) = length(xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-app* [*simp*]:
 $[| xs: list(A); ys: list(A) |]$
 $\implies length(xs@ys) = length(xs) \# + length(ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-rev* [*simp*]: $xs: list(A) \implies length(rev(xs)) = length(xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-flat*:
 $ls: list(list(A)) \implies length(flat(ls)) = list-add(map(length,ls))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-length-Cons* [*rule-format*]:
 $xs: list(A) \implies$
 $\forall x. \ EX \ z \ zs. \ drop(length(xs), Cons(x,xs)) = Cons(z,zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-length* [*rule-format*]:
 $l: list(A) \implies \forall i \in length(l). (EX \ z \ zs. \ drop(i,l) = Cons(z,zs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *app-right-Nil* [*simp*]: $xs: list(A) \implies xs@Nil=xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *app-assoc*: $xs: list(A) \implies (xs@ys)@zs = xs@(ys@zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *flat-app-distrib*: $ls: list(list(A)) \implies flat(ls@ms) = flat(ls)@flat(ms)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-map-distrib*: $l: list(A) \implies rev(map(h,l)) = map(h,rev(l))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-app-distrib*:
 $\llbracket xs: list(A); \quad ys: list(A) \rrbracket \implies rev(xs@ys) = rev(ys)@rev(xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-rev-ident* [*simp*]: $l: list(A) \implies rev(rev(l))=l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-flat*: $ls: list(list(A)) \implies rev(flat(ls)) = flat(map(rev,rev(ls)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-add-app*:
 $\llbracket xs: list(nat); \quad ys: list(nat) \rrbracket$
 $\implies list-add(xs@ys) = list-add(ys) \# + list-add(xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-add-rev*: $l: list(nat) \implies list-add(rev(l)) = list-add(l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-add-flat*:
 $ls: list(list(nat)) \implies list-add(flat(ls)) = list-add(map(list-add,ls))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-append-induct* [*case-names Nil snoc, consumes 1*]:
 $\llbracket l: list(A);$
 $\quad P(Nil);$
 $\quad !!x \ y. \llbracket x: A; \quad y: list(A); \quad P(y) \rrbracket \implies P(y @ [x])$
 $\rrbracket \implies P(l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-complete-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
assumes *ih*:
 $\bigwedge l. \llbracket l \in list(A);$
 $\quad \forall l' \in list(A). \ length(l') < length(l) \implies P(l') \rrbracket$
 $\implies P(l)$
shows $n \in nat \implies \forall l \in list(A). \ length(l) < n \implies P(l)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *list-complete-induct*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[l \in list(A); \\ & \quad \wedge l. [[l \in list(A); \\ & \quad \quad \forall l' \in list(A). length(l') < length(l) \implies P(l')]] \\ & \quad \implies P(l)]] \implies P(l) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-sym*: $[[i:nat; j:nat]] \implies min(i,j)=min(j,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-type* [*simp*, *TC*]: $[[i:nat; j:nat]] \implies min(i,j):nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-0* [*simp*]: $i:nat \implies min(0,i) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-02* [*simp*]: $i:nat \implies min(i, 0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-min-iff*: $[[i:nat; j:nat; k:nat]] \implies i < min(j,k) \iff i < j \ \& \ i < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-succ-succ* [*simp*]:
 $[[i:nat; j:nat]] \implies min(succ(i), succ(j)) = succ(min(i, j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-append* [*simp*]:
 $xs:list(A) \implies filter(P, xs@ys) = filter(P, xs) @ filter(P, ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-type* [*simp*, *TC*]: $xs:list(A) \implies filter(P, xs):list(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-filter*: $xs:list(A) \implies length(filter(P, xs)) \leq length(xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-is-subset*: $xs:list(A) \implies set-of-list(filter(P,xs)) \leq set-of-list(xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-False* [simp]: $xs:list(A) ==> filter(\%p. False, xs) = Nil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-True* [simp]: $xs:list(A) ==> filter(\%p. True, xs) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-is-0-iff* [simp]: $xs:list(A) ==> length(xs)=0 <-> xs=Nil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-is-0-iff2* [simp]: $xs:list(A) ==> 0 = length(xs) <-> xs=Nil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-tl* [simp]: $xs:list(A) ==> length(tl(xs)) = length(xs) \# - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-greater-0-iff*: $xs:list(A) ==> 0 < length(xs) <-> xs \sim Nil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-succ-iff*: $xs:list(A) ==> length(xs)=succ(n) <-> (EX y ys. xs=Cons(y, ys) \& length(ys)=n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-is-Nil-iff* [simp]:
 $xs:list(A) ==> (xs@ys = Nil) <-> (xs=Nil \& ys = Nil)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-is-Nil-iff2* [simp]:
 $xs:list(A) ==> (Nil = xs@ys) <-> (xs=Nil \& ys = Nil)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-is-self-iff* [simp]:
 $xs:list(A) ==> (xs@ys = xs) <-> (ys = Nil)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-is-self-iff2* [simp]:
 $xs:list(A) ==> (xs = xs@ys) <-> (ys = Nil)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-is-Nil-iff* [rule-format]:
 $[| xs:list(A); ys:list(A); zs:list(A) |] ==>$
 $length(ys)=length(zs) \dashv\dashv (xs@ys=zs <-> (xs=Nil \& ys=zs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-is-Nil-iff2* [rule-format]:

$[| \text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A); \text{zs}:\text{list}(A) |] \implies$
 $\text{length}(\text{ys})=\text{length}(\text{zs}) \dashv\vdash (\text{zs}=\text{ys}@\text{xs} \dashv\vdash (\text{xs}=\text{Nil} \ \& \ \text{ys}=\text{zs}))$
 <proof>

lemma *append-eq-append-iff* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(A).$
 $\text{length}(\text{xs})=\text{length}(\text{ys}) \dashv\vdash (\text{xs}@\text{us} = \text{ys}@\text{vs}) \dashv\vdash (\text{xs}=\text{ys} \ \& \ \text{us}=\text{vs})$
 <proof>

lemma *append-eq-append* [rule-format]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(A). \forall \text{us} \in \text{list}(A). \forall \text{vs} \in \text{list}(A).$
 $\text{length}(\text{us}) = \text{length}(\text{vs}) \dashv\vdash (\text{xs}@\text{us} = \text{ys}@\text{vs}) \dashv\vdash (\text{xs}=\text{ys} \ \& \ \text{us}=\text{vs})$
 <proof>

lemma *append-eq-append-iff2* [simp]:

$[| \text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A); \text{us}:\text{list}(A); \text{vs}:\text{list}(A); \text{length}(\text{us})=\text{length}(\text{vs}) |]$
 $\implies \text{xs}@\text{us} = \text{ys}@\text{vs} \dashv\vdash (\text{xs}=\text{ys} \ \& \ \text{us}=\text{vs})$
 <proof>

lemma *append-self-iff* [simp]:

$[| \text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A); \text{zs}:\text{list}(A) |] \implies \text{xs}@\text{ys}=\text{xs}@\text{zs} \dashv\vdash \text{ys}=\text{zs}$
 <proof>

lemma *append-self-iff2* [simp]:

$[| \text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A); \text{zs}:\text{list}(A) |] \implies \text{ys}@\text{xs}=\text{zs}@\text{xs} \dashv\vdash \text{ys}=\text{zs}$
 <proof>

lemma *append1-eq-iff* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(A). \text{xs}@[x] = \text{ys}@[y] \dashv\vdash (\text{xs} = \text{ys} \ \& \ x=y)$
 <proof>

lemma *append-right-is-self-iff* [simp]:

$[| \text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A) |] \implies (\text{xs}@\text{ys} = \text{ys}) \dashv\vdash (\text{xs}=\text{Nil})$
 <proof>

lemma *append-right-is-self-iff2* [simp]:

$[| \text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A) |] \implies (\text{ys} = \text{xs}@\text{ys}) \dashv\vdash (\text{xs}=\text{Nil})$
 <proof>

lemma *hd-append* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{xs} \sim \text{Nil} \dashv\vdash \text{hd}(\text{xs} @ \text{ys}) = \text{hd}(\text{xs})$
 <proof>

lemma *tl-append* [*rule-format,simp*]:
 $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies xs \sim \text{Nil} \dashv\vdash \text{tl}(xs @ ys) = \text{tl}(xs) @ ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-is-Nil-iff* [*simp*]: $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies (\text{rev}(xs) = \text{Nil} \iff xs = \text{Nil})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nil-is-rev-iff* [*simp*]: $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies (\text{Nil} = \text{rev}(xs) \iff xs = \text{Nil})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-is-rev-iff* [*rule-format,simp*]:
 $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \forall ys \in \text{list}(A). \text{rev}(xs) = \text{rev}(ys) \iff xs = ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-list-elim* [*rule-format*]:
 $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies$
 $(xs = \text{Nil} \dashv\vdash P) \dashv\vdash (\forall ys \in \text{list}(A). \forall y \in A. xs = ys @ [y] \dashv\vdash P) \dashv\vdash P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-drop* [*rule-format,simp*]:
 $n: \text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(\text{drop}(n, xs)) = \text{length}(xs) \# - n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-all* [*rule-format,simp*]:
 $n: \text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(xs) \leq n \dashv\vdash \text{drop}(n, xs) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-append* [*rule-format*]:
 $n: \text{nat} \implies$
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{drop}(n, xs @ ys) = \text{drop}(n, xs) @ \text{drop}(n \# - \text{length}(xs), ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-drop*:
 $m: \text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{drop}(n, \text{drop}(m, xs)) = \text{drop}(n \# + m, xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-0* [*simp*]: $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{take}(0, xs) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-succ-Cons* [*simp*]:
 $n: \text{nat} \implies \text{take}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, xs)) = \text{Cons}(a, \text{take}(n, xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-Nil* [*simp*]: $n:\text{nat} \implies \text{take}(n, \text{Nil}) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-all* [*rule-format, simp*]:
 $n:\text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(xs) \leq n \implies \text{take}(n, xs) = xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-type* [*rule-format, simp, TC*]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(n, xs):\text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-append* [*rule-format, simp*]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall ys \in \text{list}(A). \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(n, xs @ ys) =$
 $\text{take}(n, xs) @ \text{take}(n \# - \text{length}(xs), ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-take* [*rule-format*]:
 $m : \text{nat} \implies$
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(n, \text{take}(m, xs)) = \text{take}(\min(n, m), xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-0* [*simp*]: $\text{nth}(0, \text{Cons}(a, l)) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-Cons* [*simp*]: $n:\text{nat} \implies \text{nth}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, l)) = \text{nth}(n, l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-empty* [*simp*]: $\text{nth}(n, \text{Nil}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-type* [*rule-format, simp, TC*]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall n. n < \text{length}(xs) \implies \text{nth}(n, xs) : A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-eq-0* [*rule-format*]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{length}(xs) \leq n \implies \text{nth}(n, xs) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-append* [*rule-format*]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{nth}(n, xs @ ys) = (\text{if } n < \text{length}(xs) \text{ then } \text{nth}(n, xs)$
 $\text{else } \text{nth}(n \# - \text{length}(xs), ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-of-list-conv-nth*:

$xs: \text{list}(A)$
 $\implies \text{set-of-list}(xs) = \{x:A. \exists i:\text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \ \& \ x = \text{nth}(i, xs)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-take-lemma* [rule-format]:

$k:\text{nat} \implies$
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). (\forall ys \in \text{list}(A). k \leq \text{length}(xs) \implies k \leq \text{length}(ys) \implies$
 $(\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < k \implies \text{nth}(i, xs) = \text{nth}(i, ys)) \implies \text{take}(k, xs) = \text{take}(k, ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-equalityI* [rule-format]:

$[[xs:\text{list}(A); ys:\text{list}(A); \text{length}(xs) = \text{length}(ys);$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \implies \text{nth}(i, xs) = \text{nth}(i, ys)]]$
 $\implies xs = ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-equalityI* [rule-format]:

$[[xs:\text{list}(A); ys:\text{list}(A); (\forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(i, xs) = \text{take}(i, ys))]]$
 $\implies xs = ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-drop* [rule-format]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{nth}(i, \text{drop}(n, xs)) = \text{nth}(n \# + i, xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-succ* [rule-format]:

$xs \in \text{list}(A)$
 $\implies \forall i. i < \text{length}(xs) \implies \text{take}(\text{succ}(i), xs) = \text{take}(i, xs) @ [\text{nth}(i, xs)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-add* [rule-format]:

$[[xs \in \text{list}(A); j \in \text{nat}]]$
 $\implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(i \# + j, xs) = \text{take}(i, xs) @ \text{take}(j, \text{drop}(i, xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-take*:

$l \in \text{list}(A) \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{length}(\text{take}(n, l)) = \min(n, \text{length}(l))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

28.1 The function zip

Crafty definition to eliminate a type argument

consts

zip-aux $:: [i, i] \implies i$

primrec

$$\text{zip-aux}(B, []) =$$

$$(\lambda ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{list-case}([], \%y l. [], ys))$$

$$\text{zip-aux}(B, \text{Cons}(x, l)) =$$

$$(\lambda ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{list-case}(\text{Nil}, \%y zs. \text{Cons}(<x, y>, \text{zip-aux}(B, l) 'zs), ys))$$

constdefs

$$\text{zip} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$$

$$\text{zip}(xs, ys) == \text{zip-aux}(\text{set-of-list}(ys), xs) 'ys$$

lemma *list-on-set-of-list*: $xs \in \text{list}(A) \Rightarrow xs \in \text{list}(\text{set-of-list}(xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-Nil* [simp]: $ys:\text{list}(A) \Rightarrow \text{zip}(\text{Nil}, ys) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-Nil2* [simp]: $xs:\text{list}(A) \Rightarrow \text{zip}(xs, \text{Nil}) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-aux-unique* [rule-format]:
 $[| B \leq C; xs \in \text{list}(A) |]$
 $\Rightarrow \forall ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{zip-aux}(C, xs) 'ys = \text{zip-aux}(B, xs) 'ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-Cons-Cons* [simp]:
 $[| xs:\text{list}(A); ys:\text{list}(B); x:A; y:B |] \Rightarrow$
 $\text{zip}(\text{Cons}(x, xs), \text{Cons}(y, ys)) = \text{Cons}(<x, y>, \text{zip}(xs, ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-type* [rule-format, simp, TC]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \Rightarrow \forall ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{zip}(xs, ys):\text{list}(A * B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-zip* [rule-format, simp]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \Rightarrow \forall ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{length}(\text{zip}(xs, ys)) =$
 $\text{min}(\text{length}(xs), \text{length}(ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-append1* [rule-format]:
 $[| ys:\text{list}(A); zs:\text{list}(B) |] \Rightarrow$
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{zip}(xs @ ys, zs) =$
 $\text{zip}(xs, \text{take}(\text{length}(xs), zs)) @ \text{zip}(ys, \text{drop}(\text{length}(xs), zs))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-append2* [rule-format]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{zs}:\text{list}(B)] \implies \forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(B). \text{zip}(\text{xs}, \text{ys} @ \text{zs}) =$
 $\text{zip}(\text{take}(\text{length}(\text{ys}), \text{xs}), \text{ys}) @ \text{zip}(\text{drop}(\text{length}(\text{ys}), \text{xs}), \text{zs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-append* [simp]:

$[[\text{length}(\text{xs}) = \text{length}(\text{us}); \text{length}(\text{ys}) = \text{length}(\text{vs});$
 $\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{us}:\text{list}(B); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A); \text{vs}:\text{list}(B)] \implies$
 $\text{zip}(\text{xs} @ \text{ys}, \text{us} @ \text{vs}) = \text{zip}(\text{xs}, \text{us}) @ \text{zip}(\text{ys}, \text{vs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-rev* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{ys}:\text{list}(B) \implies \forall \text{xs} \in \text{list}(A).$
 $\text{length}(\text{xs}) = \text{length}(\text{ys}) \dashv\vdash \text{zip}(\text{rev}(\text{xs}), \text{rev}(\text{ys})) = \text{rev}(\text{zip}(\text{xs}, \text{ys}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-zip* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{ys}:\text{list}(B) \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall \text{xs} \in \text{list}(A).$
 $i < \text{length}(\text{xs}) \dashv\vdash i < \text{length}(\text{ys}) \dashv\vdash$
 $\text{nth}(i, \text{zip}(\text{xs}, \text{ys})) = \langle \text{nth}(i, \text{xs}), \text{nth}(i, \text{ys}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-of-list-zip* [rule-format]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(B); i:\text{nat}] \implies \text{set-of-list}(\text{zip}(\text{xs}, \text{ys})) =$
 $\{ \langle x, y \rangle : A * B. \text{EX } i:\text{nat}. i < \min(\text{length}(\text{xs}), \text{length}(\text{ys}))$
 $\& x = \text{nth}(i, \text{xs}) \& y = \text{nth}(i, \text{ys}) \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-update-Nil* [simp]: $i:\text{nat} \implies \text{list-update}(\text{Nil}, i, v) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-update-Cons-0* [simp]: $\text{list-update}(\text{Cons}(x, \text{xs}), 0, v) = \text{Cons}(v, \text{xs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-update-Cons-succ* [simp]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies$
 $\text{list-update}(\text{Cons}(x, \text{xs}), \text{succ}(n), v) = \text{Cons}(x, \text{list-update}(\text{xs}, n, v))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-update-type* [rule-format,simp,TC]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); v:A] \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{list-update}(\text{xs}, n, v):\text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-list-update* [rule-format,simp]:
 $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{length}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, v)) = \text{length}(xs)$
 <proof>

lemma *nth-list-update* [rule-format]:
 $[[xs: \text{list}(A)]] \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall j \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \implies$
 $\text{nth}(j, \text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) = (\text{if } i=j \text{ then } x \text{ else } \text{nth}(j, xs))$
 <proof>

lemma *nth-list-update-eq* [simp]:
 $[[i < \text{length}(xs); xs: \text{list}(A)]] \implies \text{nth}(i, \text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) = x$
 <proof>

lemma *nth-list-update-neq* [rule-format,simp]:
 $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall j \in \text{nat}. i \sim j \implies \text{nth}(j, \text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) = \text{nth}(j, xs)$
 <proof>

lemma *list-update-overwrite* [rule-format,simp]:
 $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs)$
 $\implies \text{list-update}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, x), i, y) = \text{list-update}(xs, i, y)$
 <proof>

lemma *list-update-same-conv* [rule-format]:
 $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \implies$
 $(\text{list-update}(xs, i, x) = xs) \iff (\text{nth}(i, xs) = x)$
 <proof>

lemma *update-zip* [rule-format]:
 $ys: \text{list}(B) \implies$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall xy \in A*B. \forall xs \in \text{list}(A).$
 $\text{length}(xs) = \text{length}(ys) \implies$
 $\text{list-update}(\text{zip}(xs, ys), i, xy) = \text{zip}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, \text{fst}(xy)),$
 $\text{list-update}(ys, i, \text{snd}(xy)))$
 <proof>

lemma *set-update-subset-cons* [rule-format]:
 $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{set-of-list}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) \leq \text{cons}(x, \text{set-of-list}(xs))$
 <proof>

lemma *set-of-list-update-subsetI*:
 $[[\text{set-of-list}(xs) \leq A; xs: \text{list}(A); x:A; i:\text{nat}]]$
 $\implies \text{set-of-list}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) \leq A$
 <proof>

lemma *upt-rec*:

$j:\text{nat} \implies \text{upt}(i,j) = (\text{if } i < j \text{ then } \text{Cons}(i, \text{upt}(\text{succ}(i), j)) \text{ else } \text{Nil})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upt-conv-Nil* [*simp*]: $[j \leq i; j:\text{nat}] \implies \text{upt}(i,j) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upt-succ-append*:

$[i \leq j; j:\text{nat}] \implies \text{upt}(i, \text{succ}(j)) = \text{upt}(i, j) @ [j]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upt-conv-Cons*:

$[i < j; j:\text{nat}] \implies \text{upt}(i,j) = \text{Cons}(i, \text{upt}(\text{succ}(i), j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upt-type* [*simp*, *TC*]: $j:\text{nat} \implies \text{upt}(i,j) : \text{list}(\text{nat})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upt-add-eq-append*:

$[i \leq j; j:\text{nat}; k:\text{nat}] \implies \text{upt}(i, j \# + k) = \text{upt}(i,j) @ \text{upt}(j, j \# + k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-upt* [*simp*]: $[i:\text{nat}; j:\text{nat}] \implies \text{length}(\text{upt}(i,j)) = j \# - i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-upt* [*rule-format*, *simp*]:

$[i:\text{nat}; j:\text{nat}; k:\text{nat}] \implies i \# + k < j \dashrightarrow \text{nth}(k, \text{upt}(i,j)) = i \# + k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-upt* [*rule-format*, *simp*]:

$[m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat}] \implies$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. i \# + m \leq n \dashrightarrow \text{take}(m, \text{upt}(i,n)) = \text{upt}(i, i \# + m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-succ-upt*:

$[m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat}] \implies \text{map}(\text{succ}, \text{upt}(m,n)) = \text{upt}(\text{succ}(m), \text{succ}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-map* [*rule-format*, *simp*]:

$xs : \text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall n \in \text{nat}. n < \text{length}(xs) \dashrightarrow \text{nth}(n, \text{map}(f, xs)) = f(\text{nth}(n, xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-map-upt* [*rule-format*]:

$[m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat}] \implies$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < n \# - m \dashrightarrow \text{nth}(i, \text{map}(f, \text{upt}(m,n))) = f(m \# + i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

constdefs

$sublist :: [i, i] ==> i$
 $sublist(xs, A) ==$
 $map(fst, (filter(\%p. snd(p): A, zip(xs, upt(0, length(xs)))))$

lemma *sublist-0* [simp]: $xs:list(A) ==> sublist(xs, 0) = Nil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-Nil* [simp]: $sublist(Nil, A) = Nil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-shift-lemma*:

$[| xs:list(B); i:nat |] ==>$
 $map(fst, filter(\%p. snd(p):A, zip(xs, upt(i, i \# + length(xs))))) =$
 $map(fst, filter(\%p. snd(p):nat \& snd(p) \# + i:A, zip(xs, upt(0, length(xs)))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-type* [simp, TC]:
 $xs:list(B) ==> sublist(xs, A):list(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-add-eq-append2*:
 $[| i:nat; j:nat |] ==> upt(0, i \# + j) = upt(0, i) @ upt(i, i \# + j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-append*:
 $[| xs:list(B); ys:list(B) |] ==>$
 $sublist(xs@ys, A) = sublist(xs, A) @ sublist(ys, \{j:nat. j \# + length(xs): A\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-Cons*:
 $[| xs:list(B); x:B |] ==>$
 $sublist(Cons(x, xs), A) =$
 $(if 0:A then [x] else []) @ sublist(xs, \{j:nat. succ(j) : A\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-singleton* [simp]:
 $sublist([x], A) = (if 0 : A then [x] else [])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-upt-eq-take* [rule-format, simp]:
 $xs:list(A) ==> ALL n:nat. sublist(xs, n) = take(n, xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-Int-eq*:

$xs : list(B) ==> sublist(xs, A \cap nat) = sublist(xs, A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Repetition of a List Element

consts $repeat :: [i,i] => i$

primrec

$repeat(a, 0) = []$

$repeat(a, succ(n)) = Cons(a, repeat(a, n))$

lemma *length-repeat*: $n \in nat ==> length(repeat(a, n)) = n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repeat-succ-app*: $n \in nat ==> repeat(a, succ(n)) = repeat(a, n) @ [a]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repeat-type [TC]*: $[|a \in A; n \in nat|] ==> repeat(a, n) \in list(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

29 Equivalence Relations

theory *EquivClass* **imports** *Trancl Perm* **begin**

constdefs

quotient $:: [i,i] => i$ (**infixl** $'/'$ 90)
 $A / r == \{r''\{x\} . x:A\}$

congruent $:: [i,i] => i$
 $congruent(r, b) == ALL y z. <y, z>:r --> b(y)=b(z)$

congruent2 $:: [i,i,[i,i] => i] => o$
 $congruent2(r1, r2, b) == ALL y1 z1 y2 z2.$
 $<y1, z1>:r1 --> <y2, z2>:r2 --> b(y1, y2) = b(z1, z2)$

syntax

RESPECTS $:: [i=>i, i] => o$ (**infixr** *respects* 80)

RESPECTS2 $:: [i=>i, i] => o$ (**infixr** *respects2* 80)

— Abbreviation for the common case where the relations are identical

translations

$f \text{ respects } r == congruent(r, f)$

$f \text{ respects2 } r \Rightarrow \text{congruent2}(r, r, f)$

29.1 Suppes, Theorem 70: r is an equiv relation iff $\text{converse}(r) \circ r = r$

lemma *sym-trans-comp-subset*:

$[\text{sym}(r); \text{trans}(r)] \Rightarrow \text{converse}(r) \circ r \leq r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *refl-comp-subset*:

$[\text{refl}(A, r); r \leq A * A] \Rightarrow r \leq \text{converse}(r) \circ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-comp-eq*:

$\text{equiv}(A, r) \Rightarrow \text{converse}(r) \circ r = r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-equivI*:

$[\text{converse}(r) \circ r = r; \text{domain}(r) = A] \Rightarrow \text{equiv}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-subset*:

$[\text{sym}(r); \text{trans}(r); \langle a, b \rangle: r] \Rightarrow r''\{a\} \leq r''\{b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-eq*:

$[\text{equiv}(A, r); \langle a, b \rangle: r] \Rightarrow r''\{a\} = r''\{b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-self*:

$[\text{equiv}(A, r); a: A] \Rightarrow a: r''\{a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-equiv-class*:

$[\text{equiv}(A, r); r''\{b\} \leq r''\{a\}; b: A] \Rightarrow \langle a, b \rangle: r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-equiv-class*: $[r''\{a\} = r''\{b\}; \text{equiv}(A, r); b: A] \Rightarrow \langle a, b \rangle: r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-nondisjoint*:

$[\text{equiv}(A, r); x: (r''\{a\} \text{ Int } r''\{b\})] \Rightarrow \langle a, b \rangle: r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-type*: $\text{equiv}(A, r) \implies r \leq A * A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-eq-iff*:
 $\text{equiv}(A, r) \implies \langle x, y \rangle: r \iff r''\{x\} = r''\{y\} \ \& \ x:A \ \& \ y:A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-equiv-class-iff*:
 $[\![\text{equiv}(A, r); \ x:A; \ y:A]\!] \implies r''\{x\} = r''\{y\} \iff \langle x, y \rangle: r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quotientI* $[TC]$: $x:A \implies r''\{x\}: A//r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quotientE*:
 $[\![X: A//r; \ !x. [\![X = r''\{x\}; \ x:A]\!] \implies P]\!] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-quotient*:
 $\text{equiv}(A, r) \implies \text{Union}(A//r) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quotient-disj*:
 $[\![\text{equiv}(A, r); \ X: A//r; \ Y: A//r]\!] \implies X=Y \mid (X \text{ Int } Y \leq 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

29.2 Defining Unary Operations upon Equivalence Classes

lemma *UN-equiv-class*:
 $[\![\text{equiv}(A, r); \ b \text{ respects } r; \ a:A]\!] \implies (\text{UN } x:r''\{a\}. b(x)) = b(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-equiv-class-type*:
 $[\![\text{equiv}(A, r); \ b \text{ respects } r; \ X: A//r; \ !x. \ x:A \implies b(x):B]\!] \implies (\text{UN } x:X. b(x)) : B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-equiv-class-inject*:
 $[\![\text{equiv}(A, r); \ b \text{ respects } r; \ (\text{UN } x:X. b(x)) = (\text{UN } y:Y. b(y)); \ X: A//r; \ Y: A//r; \ !x \ y. [\![x:A; \ y:A; \ b(x)=b(y)]\!] \implies \langle x, y \rangle: r]\!] \implies X=Y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

29.3 Defining Binary Operations upon Equivalence Classes

lemma *congruent2-implies-congruent*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r1); \text{congruent2}(r1, r2, b); a: A \rrbracket \implies \text{congruent}(r2, b(a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *congruent2-implies-congruent-UN*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A1, r1); \text{equiv}(A2, r2); \text{congruent2}(r1, r2, b); a: A2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{congruent}(r1, \%x1. \bigcup x2 \in r2 \{a\}. b(x1, x2))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-equiv-class2*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A1, r1); \text{equiv}(A2, r2); \text{congruent2}(r1, r2, b); a1: A1; a2: A2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\bigcup x1 \in r1 \{a1\}. \bigcup x2 \in r2 \{a2\}. b(x1, x2)) = b(a1, a2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-equiv-class-type2*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); b \text{ respects2 } r;$
 $X1: A//r; X2: A//r;$
 $!!x1\ x2. \llbracket x1: A; x2: A \rrbracket \implies b(x1, x2) : B$
 $\rrbracket \implies (UN\ x1:X1. UN\ x2:X2. b(x1, x2)) : B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *congruent2I*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A1, r1); \text{equiv}(A2, r2);$
 $!!\ y\ z\ w. \llbracket w \in A2; \langle y, z \rangle \in r1 \rrbracket \implies b(y, w) = b(z, w);$
 $!!\ y\ z\ w. \llbracket w \in A1; \langle y, z \rangle \in r2 \rrbracket \implies b(w, y) = b(w, z)$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{congruent2}(r1, r2, b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *congruent2-commuteI*:

assumes *equivA*: $\text{equiv}(A, r)$
and *commute*: $!!\ y\ z. \llbracket y: A; z: A \rrbracket \implies b(y, z) = b(z, y)$
and *cong*: $!!\ y\ z\ w. \llbracket w: A; \langle y, z \rangle: r \rrbracket \implies b(w, y) = b(w, z)$
shows *b respects2 r*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *congruent-commuteI*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); Z: A//r;$
 $!!w. \llbracket w: A \rrbracket \implies \text{congruent}(r, \%z. b(w, z));$
 $!!x\ y. \llbracket x: A; y: A \rrbracket \implies b(y, x) = b(x, y)$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{congruent}(r, \%w. UN\ z: Z. b(w, z))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

30 The Integers as Equivalence Classes Over Pairs of Natural Numbers

theory *Int* **imports** *EquivClass ArithSimp* **begin**

constdefs

intrel :: *i*
 $intrel == \{p : (nat*nat)*(nat*nat). \\ \exists x1\ y1\ x2\ y2. p = \langle \langle x1, y1 \rangle, \langle x2, y2 \rangle \rangle \ \& \ x1 \# + y2 = x2 \# + y1 \}$

int :: *i*
 $int == (nat*nat) // intrel$

int-of :: *i* => *i* — coercion from nat to int ($\$ \#$ - [80] 80)
 $\$ \# \ m == intrel \ \langle \langle natify(m), 0 \rangle \rangle$

intify :: *i* => *i* — coercion from ANYTHING to int
 $intify(m) == if\ m : int\ then\ m\ else\ \$ \# 0$

raw-zminus :: *i* => *i*
 $raw-zminus(z) == \bigcup \langle x, y \rangle \in z. intrel \ \langle \langle y, x \rangle \rangle$

zminus :: *i* => *i* ($\$ -$ - [80] 80)
 $\$ - \ z == raw-zminus\ (intify(z))$

znegative :: *i* => *o*
 $znegative(z) == \exists x\ y. x < y \ \& \ y \in nat \ \& \ \langle x, y \rangle \in z$

iszero :: *i* => *o*
 $iszero(z) == z = \$ \# 0$

raw-nat-of :: *i* => *i*
 $raw-nat-of(z) == natify\ (\bigcup \langle x, y \rangle \in z. x \# - y)$

nat-of :: *i* => *i*
 $nat-of(z) == raw-nat-of\ (intify(z))$

zmagnitude :: *i* => *i*
— could be replaced by an absolute value function from int to int?
 $zmagnitude(z) ==$
 $THE\ m. m \in nat \ \& \ ((\sim\ znegative(z) \ \& \ z = \$ \# \ m) \mid$
 $(znegative(z) \ \& \ \$ - \ z = \$ \# \ m))$

raw-zmult :: $[i, i] = > i$

raw-zmult(*z1*, *z2*) ==
 $\bigcup p1 \in z1. \bigcup p2 \in z2. \text{split}(\%x1 \ y1. \text{split}(\%x2 \ y2. \\ \text{intrel}''\{<x1 \#*x2 \ \# + \ y1 \#*y2, \ x1 \#*y2 \ \# + \ y1 \#*x2>\}, \ p2), \ p1)$

zmult :: $[i, i] = > i$ (**infixl** \$* 70)
z1 \$* *z2* == *raw-zmult* (*intify*(*z1*), *intify*(*z2*))

raw-zadd :: $[i, i] = > i$
raw-zadd (*z1*, *z2*) ==
 $\bigcup z1 \in z1. \bigcup z2 \in z2. \text{let } <x1, y1> = z1; <x2, y2> = z2 \\ \text{in intrel}''\{<x1 \ \# + \ x2, \ y1 \ \# + \ y2>\}$

zadd :: $[i, i] = > i$ (**infixl** \$+ 65)
z1 \$+ *z2* == *raw-zadd* (*intify*(*z1*), *intify*(*z2*))

zdiff :: $[i, i] = > i$ (**infixl** \$- 65)
z1 \$- *z2* == *z1* \$+ *zminus*(*z2*)

zless :: $[i, i] = > o$ (**infixl** \$< 50)
z1 \$< *z2* == *znegative*(*z1* \$- *z2*)

zle :: $[i, i] = > o$ (**infixl** \$<= 50)
z1 \$<= *z2* == *z1* \$< *z2* | *intify*(*z1*) = *intify*(*z2*)

syntax (*xsymbols*)

zmult :: $[i, i] = > i$ (**infixl** \$× 70)
zle :: $[i, i] = > o$ (**infixl** \$≤ 50) — less than or equals

syntax (*HTML output*)

zmult :: $[i, i] = > i$ (**infixl** \$× 70)
zle :: $[i, i] = > o$ (**infixl** \$≤ 50)

declare *quotientE* [*elim!*]

30.1 Proving that *intrel* is an equivalence relation

lemma *intrel-iff* [*simp*]:

$<<x1, y1>, <x2, y2>>: \text{intrel} <->$
 $x1 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ y1 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ x2 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ y2 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ x1 \ \# + \ y2 = x2 \ \# + \ y1$
 <proof>

lemma *intrelI* [*intro!*]:

$[\ x1 \ \# + \ y2 = x2 \ \# + \ y1; \ x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat} \]$
 $\implies <<x1, y1>, <x2, y2>>: \text{intrel}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *intrelE* [*elim!*]:

$\llbracket p : \text{intrel};$
 $!!x1\ y1\ x2\ y2. \llbracket p = \langle \langle x1, y1 \rangle, \langle x2, y2 \rangle \rangle; \ x1 \# + y2 = x2 \# + y1;$
 $x1 \in \text{nat};\ y1 \in \text{nat};\ x2 \in \text{nat};\ y2 \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies Q \rrbracket$
 $\implies Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-trans-lemma*:

$\llbracket x1 \# + y2 = x2 \# + y1; \ x2 \# + y3 = x3 \# + y2 \rrbracket \implies x1 \# + y3 = x3 \# + y1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equiv-intrel*: *equiv*(*nat***nat*, *intrel*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-intrel-int*: $\llbracket m \in \text{nat};\ n \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{intrel} \ \text{“} \ \{ \langle m, n \rangle \} : \text{int}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare *equiv-intrel* [*THEN* *eq-equiv-class-iff*, *simp*]

declare *conj-cong* [*cong*]

lemmas *eq-intrelD* = *eq-equiv-class* [*OF* - *equiv-intrel*]

lemma *int-of-type* [*simp*, *TC*]: $\$ \# m : \text{int}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-of-eq* [*iff*]: $(\$ \# m = \$ \# n) \iff \text{nativify}(m) = \text{nativify}(n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-of-inject*: $\llbracket \$ \# m = \$ \# n; \ m \in \text{nat}; \ n \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m = n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *intify-in-int* [*iff*, *TC*]: *intify*(*x*) : *int*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *intify-ident* [*simp*]: $n : \text{int} \implies \text{intify}(n) = n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

30.2 Collapsing rules: to remove *intify* from arithmetic expressions

lemma *intify-idem* [*simp*]: *intify*(*intify*(*x*)) = *intify*(*x*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-of-natify* [simp]: $\$ \# (natify(m)) = \$ \# m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-intify* [simp]: $\$ - (intify(m)) = \$ - m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-intify1* [simp]: $intify(x) \$ + y = x \$ + y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-intify2* [simp]: $x \$ + intify(y) = x \$ + y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-intify1* [simp]: $intify(x) \$ - y = x \$ - y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-intify2* [simp]: $x \$ - intify(y) = x \$ - y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-intify1* [simp]: $intify(x) \$ * y = x \$ * y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-intify2* [simp]: $x \$ * intify(y) = x \$ * y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-intify1* [simp]: $intify(x) \$ < y \leftrightarrow x \$ < y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-intify2* [simp]: $x \$ < intify(y) \leftrightarrow x \$ < y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-intify1* [simp]: $intify(x) \$ \leq y \leftrightarrow x \$ \leq y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-intify2* [simp]: $x \$ \leq intify(y) \leftrightarrow x \$ \leq y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.3 *zminus*: unary negation on *int*

lemma *zminus-congruent*: $(\%<x,y>. intrel''\{<y,x>\})$ respects *intrel*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zminus-type*: $z : int \implies raw-zminus(z) : int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-type* [*TC,iff*]: $\$-z : int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zminus-inject*:
 $[[raw-zminus(z) = raw-zminus(w); z : int; w : int]] \implies z = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-inject-intify* [*dest!*]: $\$-z = \$-w \implies intify(z) = intify(w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-inject*: $[[\$-z = \$-w; z : int; w : int]] \implies z = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zminus*:
 $[[x \in nat; y \in nat]] \implies raw-zminus(intrel\{\langle x, y \rangle\}) = intrel\{\langle y, x \rangle\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus*:
 $[[x \in nat; y \in nat]] \implies \$-(intrel\{\langle x, y \rangle\}) = intrel\{\langle y, x \rangle\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zminus-zminus*: $z : int \implies raw-zminus (raw-zminus(z)) = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zminus-intify* [*simp*]: $\$-(\$- z) = intify(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-int0* [*simp*]: $\$-(\#\mathbf{0}) = \#\mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zminus*: $z : int \implies \$-(\$- z) = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.4 *znegative*: the test for negative integers

lemma *znegative*: $[[x \in nat; y \in nat]] \implies znegative(intrel\{\langle x, y \rangle\}) \iff x < y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-znegative-int-of* [*iff*]: $\sim znegative(\# n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *znegative-zminus-int-of* [*simp*]: $znegative(\$- \# succ(n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-znegative-imp-zero*: $\sim \text{znegative}(\$ - \$\# n) ==> \text{natify}(n)=0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.5 *nat-of*: Coercion of an Integer to a Natural Number

lemma *nat-of-intify* [simp]: $\text{nat-of}(\text{intify}(z)) = \text{nat-of}(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-congruent*: $(\lambda x. (\lambda \langle x, y \rangle. x \# - y)(x))$ respects *intrel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-nat-of*:
 $[\mid x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \mid] ==> \text{raw-nat-of}(\text{intrel}''\{\langle x, y \rangle\}) = x \# - y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-nat-of-int-of*: $\text{raw-nat-of}(\$ \# n) = \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-int-of* [simp]: $\text{nat-of}(\$ \# n) = \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-nat-of-type*: $\text{raw-nat-of}(z) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-type* [iff, TC]: $\text{nat-of}(z) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.6 *zmagnitude*: magnitide of an integer, as a natural number

lemma *zmagnitude-int-of* [simp]: $\text{zmagnitude}(\$ \# n) = \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *natify-int-of-eq*: $\text{natify}(x)=n ==> \$ \# x = \$ \# n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmagnitude-zminus-int-of* [simp]: $\text{zmagnitude}(\$ - \$ \# n) = \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmagnitude-type* [iff, TC]: $\text{zmagnitude}(z) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-int-of*:
 $[\mid z: \text{int}; \sim \text{znegative}(z) \mid] ==> \exists n \in \text{nat}. z = \$ \# n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-mag* [simp]:

$\llbracket z : \text{int}; \sim \text{znegative}(z) \rrbracket \implies \$\# (\text{zmagnitude}(z)) = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zneg-int-of*:

$\llbracket \text{znegative}(z); z : \text{int} \rrbracket \implies \exists n \in \text{nat}. z = \$- (\$ \# \text{succ}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zneg-mag [simp]*:

$\llbracket \text{znegative}(z); z : \text{int} \rrbracket \implies \$\# (\text{zmagnitude}(z)) = \$- z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-cases*: $z : \text{int} \implies \exists n \in \text{nat}. z = \$ \# n \mid z = \$- (\$ \# \text{succ}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-raw-nat-of*:

$\llbracket \sim \text{znegative}(z); z : \text{int} \rrbracket \implies \$\# (\text{raw-nat-of}(z)) = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-nat-of-intify*:

$\sim \text{znegative}(\text{intify}(z)) \implies \$\# (\text{nat-of}(z)) = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-nat-of*: $\llbracket \sim \text{znegative}(z); z : \text{int} \rrbracket \implies \$\# (\text{nat-of}(z)) = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zneg-nat-of [simp]*: $\text{znegative}(\text{intify}(z)) \implies \text{nat-of}(z) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30.7 *op* \$+: addition on int

Congruence Property for Addition

lemma *zadd-congruent2*:

$(\%z1 \ z2. \text{let } \langle x1, y1 \rangle = z1; \langle x2, y2 \rangle = z2$
 $\quad \text{in } \text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle \})$
 $\text{respects2 } \text{intrel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-type*: $\llbracket z : \text{int}; w : \text{int} \rrbracket \implies \text{raw-zadd}(z, w) : \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-type [iff, TC]*: $z \ \$ + \ w : \text{int}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd*:

$\llbracket x1 \in \text{nat}; y1 \in \text{nat}; x2 \in \text{nat}; y2 \in \text{nat} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{raw-zadd} (\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1, y1 \rangle \}, \text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x2, y2 \rangle \}) =$
 $\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd*:

$$[| x1 \in nat; y1 \in nat; x2 \in nat; y2 \in nat |]
\implies (intrel\{\langle x1, y1 \rangle\} \$+ (intrel\{\langle x2, y2 \rangle\}) =
intrel\{\langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle\}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-int0*: $z : int \implies raw\text{-}zadd\ (\$ \# 0, z) = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-int0-intify* [*simp*]: $\$ \# 0 \$+ z = intify(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-int0*: $z : int \implies \$ \# 0 \$+ z = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zminus-zadd-distrib*:

$$[| z : int; w : int |] \implies \$- raw\text{-}zadd(z, w) = raw\text{-}zadd(\$- z, \$- w)$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zadd-distrib* [*simp*]: $\$- (z \$+ w) = \$- z \$+ \$- w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-commute*:

$$[| z : int; w : int |] \implies raw\text{-}zadd(z, w) = raw\text{-}zadd(w, z)$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-commute*: $z \$+ w = w \$+ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-assoc*:

$$[| z1 : int; z2 : int; z3 : int |]
\implies raw\text{-}zadd\ (raw\text{-}zadd(z1, z2), z3) = raw\text{-}zadd(z1, raw\text{-}zadd(z2, z3))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-assoc*: $(z1 \$+ z2) \$+ z3 = z1 \$+ (z2 \$+ z3)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-left-commute*: $z1 \$+ (z2 \$+ z3) = z2 \$+ (z1 \$+ z3)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *zadd-ac* = *zadd-assoc* *zadd-commute* *zadd-left-commute*

lemma *int-of-add*: $\$ \# (m \# + n) = (\$ \# m) \$+ (\$ \# n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-succ-int-1*: $\$ \# succ(m) = \$ \# 1 \$+ (\$ \# m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-of-diff*:

$[[m \in \text{nat}; \ n \leq m]] \implies \# (m \# - n) = (\# m) \# - (\# n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-zminus-inverse*: $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zadd } (z, \# - z) = \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zminus-inverse* [simp]: $z \# + (\# - z) = \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zminus-inverse2* [simp]: $(\# - z) \# + z = \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-int0-right-intify* [simp]: $z \# + \# 0 = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-int0-right*: $z : \text{int} \implies z \# + \# 0 = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30.8 $\text{op } \# \times$: Integer Multiplication

Congruence property for multiplication

lemma *zmult-congruent2*:

$(\%p1 \ p2. \text{split}(\%x1 \ y1. \text{split}(\%x2 \ y2. \\ \text{intrel}''\{\langle x1 \# * x2 \# + y1 \# * y2, x1 \# * y2 \# + y1 \# * x2 \rangle\}, p2), p1)) \\ \text{respects2 intrel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-type*: $[[z : \text{int}; \ w : \text{int}]] \implies \text{raw-zmult}(z, w) : \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-type* [iff, TC]: $z \# * w : \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult*:

$[[x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat}]] \\ \implies \text{raw-zmult}(\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1, y1 \rangle\}, \text{intrel}''\{\langle x2, y2 \rangle\}) = \\ \text{intrel}''\{\langle x1 \# * x2 \# + y1 \# * y2, x1 \# * y2 \# + y1 \# * x2 \rangle\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult*:

$[[x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat}]] \\ \implies (\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1, y1 \rangle\}) \# * (\text{intrel}''\{\langle x2, y2 \rangle\}) = \\ \text{intrel}''\{\langle x1 \# * x2 \# + y1 \# * y2, x1 \# * y2 \# + y1 \# * x2 \rangle\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-int0*: $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zmult } (\# 0, z) = \# 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-int0* [simp]: $\$ \# 0 \ \$ * \ z = \$ \# 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-int1*: $z : int ==> raw-zmult (\$ \# 1, z) = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-int1-intify* [simp]: $\$ \# 1 \ \$ * \ z = intify(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-int1*: $z : int ==> \$ \# 1 \ \$ * \ z = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-commute*:
[$z : int; \ w : int$] $==> raw-zmult(z, w) = raw-zmult(w, z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-commute*: $z \ \$ * \ w = w \ \$ * \ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-zminus*:
[$z : int; \ w : int$] $==> raw-zmult(\$ - \ z, \ w) = \$ - \ raw-zmult(z, \ w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zminus* [simp]: $(\$ - \ z) \ \$ * \ w = \$ - \ (z \ \$ * \ w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zminus-right* [simp]: $w \ \$ * \ (\$ - \ z) = \$ - \ (w \ \$ * \ z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-assoc*:
[$z1 : int; \ z2 : int; \ z3 : int$]
 $==> raw-zmult (raw-zmult(z1, z2), z3) = raw-zmult(z1, raw-zmult(z2, z3))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-assoc*: $(z1 \ \$ * \ z2) \ \$ * \ z3 = z1 \ \$ * \ (z2 \ \$ * \ z3)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-left-commute*: $z1 \ \$ * \ (z2 \ \$ * \ z3) = z2 \ \$ * \ (z1 \ \$ * \ z3)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *zmult-ac = zmult-assoc zmult-commute zmult-left-commute*

lemma *raw-zadd-zmult-distrib*:
[$z1 : int; \ z2 : int; \ w : int$]
 $==> raw-zmult(raw-zadd(z1, z2), w) =$

$\text{raw-zadd } (\text{raw-zmult}(z1, w), \text{raw-zmult}(z2, w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zmult-distrib*: $(z1 \ \$+ \ z2) \ \$* \ w = (z1 \ \$* \ w) \ \$+ \ (z2 \ \$* \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zmult-distrib2*: $w \ \$* \ (z1 \ \$+ \ z2) = (w \ \$* \ z1) \ \$+ \ (w \ \$* \ z2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *int-typechecks* =
int-of-type zminus-type zmagnitude-type zadd-type zmult-type

lemma *zdiff-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: $z \ \$- \ w : \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zdiff-eq* [*simp*]: $\$- \ (z \ \$- \ y) = y \ \$- \ z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zmult-distrib*: $(z1 \ \$- \ z2) \ \$* \ w = (z1 \ \$* \ w) \ \$- \ (z2 \ \$* \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zmult-distrib2*: $w \ \$* \ (z1 \ \$- \ z2) = (w \ \$* \ z1) \ \$- \ (w \ \$* \ z2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zdiff-eq*: $x \ \$+ \ (y \ \$- \ z) = (x \ \$+ \ y) \ \$- \ z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zadd-eq*: $(x \ \$- \ y) \ \$+ \ z = (x \ \$+ \ z) \ \$- \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30.9 The "Less Than" Relation

lemma *zless-linear-lemma*:
 $[[\ z : \text{int}; \ w : \text{int} \] \ ==> \ z \ \$< \ w \mid z = w \mid w \ \$< \ z]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-linear*: $z \ \$< \ w \mid \text{intify}(z) = \text{intify}(w) \mid w \ \$< \ z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-not-refl* [*iff*]: $\sim (z \ \$< \ z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neq-iff-zless*: $[[\ x : \text{int}; \ y : \text{int} \] \ ==> \ (x \ \sim = \ y) \ <-> \ (x \ \$< \ y \mid y \ \$< \ x)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-imp-intify-neq*: $w \ \$< \ z \ ==> \ \text{intify}(w) \ \sim = \ \text{intify}(z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-imp-succ-zadd-lemma*:

$[[w \$< z; w: int; z: int]] ==> (\exists n \in nat. z = w \$+ \$\#(succ(n)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-imp-succ-zadd*:

$w \$< z ==> (\exists n \in nat. w \$+ \$\#(succ(n)) = intify(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-succ-zadd-lemma*:

$w : int ==> w \$< w \$+ \$\# succ(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-succ-zadd*: $w \$< w \$+ \$\# succ(n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-iff-succ-zadd*:

$w \$< z <-> (\exists n \in nat. w \$+ \$\#(succ(n)) = intify(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-int-of [simp]*: $[[m \in nat; n \in nat]] ==> (\$ \# m \$< \$ \# n) <-> (m < n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-trans-lemma*:

$[[x \$< y; y \$< z; x: int; y: int; z: int]] ==> x \$< z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-trans*: $[[x \$< y; y \$< z]] ==> x \$< z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-not-sym*: $z \$< w ==> \sim (w \$< z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *zless-asy* = *zless-not-sym* [*THEN swap, standard*]

lemma *zless-imp-zle*: $z \$< w ==> z \$<= w$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-linear*: $z \$<= w \mid w \$<= z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

30.10 Less Than or Equals

lemma *zle-refl*: $z \$<= z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-eq-refl*: $x=y \implies x \leq y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-anti-sym-intify*: $[[x \leq y; y \leq x]] \implies \text{intify}(x) = \text{intify}(y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-anti-sym*: $[[x \leq y; y \leq x; x: \text{int}; y: \text{int}]] \implies x=y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-trans-lemma*:
 $[[x: \text{int}; y: \text{int}; z: \text{int}; x \leq y; y \leq z]] \implies x \leq z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-trans*: $[[x \leq y; y \leq z]] \implies x \leq z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-zless-trans*: $[[i \leq j; j < k]] \implies i < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-zle-trans*: $[[i < j; j \leq k]] \implies i < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-zless-iff-zle*: $\sim (z < w) \iff (w \leq z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-zle-iff-zless*: $\sim (z \leq w) \iff (w < z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.11 More subtraction laws (for *zcompare-rls*)

lemma *zdiff-zdiff-eq*: $(x \$- y) \$- z = x \$- (y \$+ z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zdiff-eq2*: $x \$- (y \$- z) = (x \$+ z) \$- y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zless-iff*: $(x \$- y < z) \iff (x < z \$+ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-zdiff-iff*: $(x < z \$- y) \iff (x \$+ y < z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-eq-iff*: $[[x: \text{int}; z: \text{int}]] \implies (x \$- y = z) \iff (x = z \$+ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-zdiff-iff*: $[[x: \text{int}; z: \text{int}]] \implies (x = z \$- y) \iff (x \$+ y = z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zle-iff-lemma*:

$$[[\ x: \text{int};\ z: \text{int}\]] ==> (x \$-y \$<= z) <-> (x \$<= z \$+ y)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zle-iff*: $(x \$-y \$<= z) <-> (x \$<= z \$+ y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zle-zdiff-iff-lemma*:

$$[[\ x: \text{int};\ z: \text{int}\]] ==> (x \$<= z \$-y) <-> (x \$+ y \$<= z)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zle-zdiff-iff*: $(x \$<= z \$-y) <-> (x \$+ y \$<= z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This list of rewrites simplifies (in)equalities by bringing subtractions to the top and then moving negative terms to the other side. Use with *zadd-ac*

lemmas *zcompare-rls* =
zdiff-def [*symmetric*]
zadd-zdiff-eq *zdiff-zadd-eq* *zdiff-zdiff-eq* *zdiff-zdiff-eq2*
zdiff-zless-iff *zless-zdiff-iff* *zdiff-zle-iff* *zle-zdiff-iff*
zdiff-eq-iff *eq-zdiff-iff*

30.12 Monotonicity and Cancellation Results for Instantiation of the CancelNumerals Simprocs

lemma *zadd-left-cancel*:

$$[[\ w: \text{int};\ w': \text{int}\]] ==> (z \$+ w' = z \$+ w) <-> (w' = w)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-left-cancel-intify* [*simp*]:

$$(z \$+ w' = z \$+ w) <-> \text{intify}(w') = \text{intify}(w)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-right-cancel*:

$$[[\ w: \text{int};\ w': \text{int}\]] ==> (w' \$+ z = w \$+ z) <-> (w' = w)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-right-cancel-intify* [*simp*]:

$$(w' \$+ z = w \$+ z) <-> \text{intify}(w') = \text{intify}(w)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-right-cancel-zless* [*simp*]: $(w' \$+ z \$< w \$+ z) <-> (w' \$< w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-left-cancel-zless* [*simp*]: $(z \$+ w' \$< z \$+ w) <-> (w' \$< w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-right-cancel-zle* [*simp*]: $(w' \$+ z \$<= w \$+ z) <-> w' \$<= w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-left-cancel-zle* [*simp*]: $(z \$+ w' \$<= z \$+ w) <-> w' \$<= w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *zadd-zless-mono1* = *zadd-right-cancel-zless* [*THEN iffD2, standard*]

lemmas *zadd-zless-mono2* = *zadd-left-cancel-zless* [*THEN iffD2, standard*]

lemmas *zadd-zle-mono1* = *zadd-right-cancel-zle* [*THEN iffD2, standard*]

lemmas *zadd-zle-mono2* = *zadd-left-cancel-zle* [*THEN iffD2, standard*]

lemma *zadd-zle-mono*: $[| w' \$<= w; z' \$<= z |] ==> w' \$+ z' \$<= w \$+ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zless-mono*: $[| w' \$< w; z' \$<= z |] ==> w' \$+ z' \$< w \$+ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.13 Comparison laws

lemma *zminus-zless-zminus* [*simp*]: $(\$- x \$< \$- y) <-> (y \$< x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zle-zminus* [*simp*]: $(\$- x \$<= \$- y) <-> (y \$<= x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.13.1 More inequality lemmas

lemma *equation-zminus*: $[| x: int; y: int |] ==> (x = \$- y) <-> (y = \$- x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-equation*: $[| x: int; y: int |] ==> (\$- x = y) <-> (\$- y = x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equation-zminus-intify*: $(intify(x) = \$- y) <-> (intify(y) = \$- x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-equation-intify*: $(\$- x = intify(y)) <-> (\$- y = intify(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.13.2 The next several equations are permutative: watch out!

lemma *zless-zminus*: $(x \$< \$- y) <-> (y \$< \$- x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zless*: $(\$- x \$< y) <-> (\$- y \$< x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-zminus*: $(x \ \$\leq \ \$- \ y) \ <-> \ (y \ \$\leq \ \$- \ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zle*: $(\$- \ x \ \$\leq \ y) \ <-> \ (\$- \ y \ \$\leq \ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

31 Arithmetic on Binary Integers

theory *Bin* **imports** *Int Datatype* **begin**

consts *bin* :: *i*

datatype

bin = *Pls*
| *Min*
| *Bit* (*w*: *bin*, *b*: *bool*) (**infixl** *BIT* 90)

syntax

-Int :: *xnum* ==> *i* (-)

consts

integ-of :: *i* ==> *i*
NCons :: [*i*,*i*] ==> *i*
bin-succ :: *i* ==> *i*
bin-pred :: *i* ==> *i*
bin-minus :: *i* ==> *i*
bin-adder :: *i* ==> *i*
bin-mult :: [*i*,*i*] ==> *i*

primrec

integ-of-Pls: *integ-of* (*Pls*) = $\$ \# \ 0$
integ-of-Min: *integ-of* (*Min*) = $\$ - (\$ \# 1)$
integ-of-BIT: *integ-of* (*w BIT b*) = $\$ \# b \ \$ + \text{integ-of}(w) \ \$ + \text{integ-of}(w)$

primrec

NCons-Pls: *NCons* (*Pls*,*b*) = *cond*(*b*,*Pls BIT b*,*Pls*)
NCons-Min: *NCons* (*Min*,*b*) = *cond*(*b*,*Min*,*Min BIT b*)
NCons-BIT: *NCons* (*w BIT c*,*b*) = *w BIT c BIT b*

primrec

bin-succ-Pls: $\text{bin-succ } (Pls) = Pls \text{ BIT } 1$
bin-succ-Min: $\text{bin-succ } (Min) = Pls$
bin-succ-BIT: $\text{bin-succ } (w \text{ BIT } b) = \text{cond}(b, \text{bin-succ}(w) \text{ BIT } 0, NCons(w, 1))$

primrec

bin-pred-Pls: $\text{bin-pred } (Pls) = Min$
bin-pred-Min: $\text{bin-pred } (Min) = Min \text{ BIT } 0$
bin-pred-BIT: $\text{bin-pred } (w \text{ BIT } b) = \text{cond}(b, NCons(w, 0), \text{bin-pred}(w) \text{ BIT } 1)$

primrec

bin-minus-Pls:
bin-minus $(Pls) = Pls$
bin-minus-Min:
bin-minus $(Min) = Pls \text{ BIT } 1$
bin-minus-BIT:
bin-minus $(w \text{ BIT } b) = \text{cond}(b, \text{bin-pred}(NCons(\text{bin-minus}(w), 0)), \text{bin-minus}(w) \text{ BIT } 0)$

primrec

bin-adder-Pls:
bin-adder $(Pls) = (\text{lam } w:\text{bin. } w)$
bin-adder-Min:
bin-adder $(Min) = (\text{lam } w:\text{bin. } \text{bin-pred}(w))$
bin-adder-BIT:
bin-adder $(v \text{ BIT } x) =$
 $(\text{lam } w:\text{bin.}$
 $\text{bin-case } (v \text{ BIT } x, \text{bin-pred}(v \text{ BIT } x),$
 $\%w \text{ y. } NCons(\text{bin-adder } (v) \text{ ' cond}(x \text{ and } y, \text{bin-succ}(w), w),$
 $x \text{ xor } y),$
 $w))$

constdefs

bin-add $:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$
bin-add $(v, w) == \text{bin-adder}(v) \text{ ' } w$

primrec

bin-mult-Pls:
bin-mult $(Pls, w) = Pls$
bin-mult-Min:
bin-mult $(Min, w) = \text{bin-minus}(w)$
bin-mult-BIT:
bin-mult $(v \text{ BIT } b, w) = \text{cond}(b, \text{bin-add}(NCons(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0), w), NCons(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

declare *bin.intros* [*simp*, *TC*]

lemma *NCons-Pls-0*: $NCons(Pls, 0) = Pls$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NCons-Pls-1*: $NCons(Pls, 1) = Pls \text{ BIT } 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NCons-Min-0*: $NCons(Min, 0) = Min \text{ BIT } 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NCons-Min-1*: $NCons(Min, 1) = Min$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NCons-BIT*: $NCons(w \text{ BIT } x, b) = w \text{ BIT } x \text{ BIT } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *NCons-simps* [*simp*] =
NCons-Pls-0 NCons-Pls-1 NCons-Min-0 NCons-Min-1 NCons-BIT

lemma *integ-of-type* [*TC*]: $w: bin \implies integ\text{-}of(w) : int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NCons-type* [*TC*]: $[| w: bin; b: bool |] \implies NCons(w, b) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-succ-type* [*TC*]: $w: bin \implies bin\text{-}succ(w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-pred-type* [*TC*]: $w: bin \implies bin\text{-}pred(w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-minus-type* [*TC*]: $w: bin \implies bin\text{-}minus(w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-type* [*rule-format*, *TC*]:
 $v: bin \implies \text{ALL } w: bin. bin\text{-}add(v, w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-mult-type* [*TC*]: $[| v: bin; w: bin |] \implies bin\text{-}mult(v, w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

31.0.3 The Carry and Borrow Functions, *bin-succ* and *bin-pred*

lemma *integ-of-NCons* [simp]:

$[| w: \text{bin}; b: \text{bool} |] \implies \text{integ-of}(\text{NCons}(w, b)) = \text{integ-of}(w \text{ BIT } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-succ* [simp]:

$w: \text{bin} \implies \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-succ}(w)) = \$\#1 \ \$+ \text{integ-of}(w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-pred* [simp]:

$w: \text{bin} \implies \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-pred}(w)) = \$- (\$ \#1) \ \$+ \text{integ-of}(w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

31.0.4 *bin-minus*: Unary Negation of Binary Integers

lemma *integ-of-minus*: $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-minus}(w)) = \$- \text{integ-of}(w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

31.0.5 *bin-add*: Binary Addition

lemma *bin-add-Pls* [simp]: $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(\text{Pls}, w) = w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-Pls-right*: $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(w, \text{Pls}) = w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-Min* [simp]: $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(\text{Min}, w) = \text{bin-pred}(w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-Min-right*: $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(w, \text{Min}) = \text{bin-pred}(w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-Pls* [simp]: $\text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } x, \text{Pls}) = v \text{ BIT } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-Min* [simp]: $\text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } x, \text{Min}) = \text{bin-pred}(v \text{ BIT } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-BIT* [simp]:

$[| w: \text{bin}; y: \text{bool} |] \implies \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } x, w \text{ BIT } y) =$
 $\text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, \text{cond}(x \text{ and } y, \text{bin-succ}(w), w)), x \text{ xor } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-add* [rule-format]:

$v: \text{bin} \implies$
 $\text{ALL } w: \text{bin}. \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v, w)) = \text{integ-of}(v) \ \$+ \text{integ-of}(w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-integ-of-eq*:

$$[[v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin}]] \\ \implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$ - \text{integ-of}(w) = \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add } (v, \text{bin-minus}(w)))$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

31.0.6 *bin-mult*: Binary Multiplication

lemma *integ-of-mult*:

$$[[v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin}]] \\ \implies \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-mult}(v, w)) = \text{integ-of}(v) \$ * \text{integ-of}(w)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

31.1 Computations

lemma *bin-succ-1*: $\text{bin-succ}(w \text{ BIT } 1) = \text{bin-succ}(w) \text{ BIT } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-succ-0*: $\text{bin-succ}(w \text{ BIT } 0) = \text{NCons}(w, 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-pred-1*: $\text{bin-pred}(w \text{ BIT } 1) = \text{NCons}(w, 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-pred-0*: $\text{bin-pred}(w \text{ BIT } 0) = \text{bin-pred}(w) \text{ BIT } 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-minus-1*: $\text{bin-minus}(w \text{ BIT } 1) = \text{bin-pred}(\text{NCons}(\text{bin-minus}(w), 0))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-minus-0*: $\text{bin-minus}(w \text{ BIT } 0) = \text{bin-minus}(w) \text{ BIT } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-11*: $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } 1, w \text{ BIT } 1) =$
 $\text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, \text{bin-succ}(w)), 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-10*: $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } 1, w \text{ BIT } 0) =$
 $\text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, w), 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-0*: $[[w: \text{bin}; y: \text{bool}]] \\ \implies \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } 0, w \text{ BIT } y) = \text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, w), y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-mult-1*: $\text{bin-mult}(v \text{ BIT } 1, w) = \text{bin-add}(\text{NCons}(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0), w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-mult-0*: $\text{bin-mult}(v \text{ BIT } 0, w) = \text{NCons}(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-of-0*: $\$ \# 0 = \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-of-succ*: $\$ \# \text{succ}(n) = \# 1 \$ + \$ \# n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zminus-0* [simp]: $\$ - \# 0 = \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-0-intify* [simp]: $\# 0 \$ + z = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-0-right-intify* [simp]: $z \$ + \# 0 = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-1-intify* [simp]: $\# 1 \$ * z = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-1-right-intify* [simp]: $z \$ * \# 1 = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-0* [simp]: $\# 0 \$ * z = \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-0-right* [simp]: $z \$ * \# 0 = \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-minus1* [simp]: $\# -1 \$ * z = \$ - z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-minus1-right* [simp]: $z \$ * \# -1 = \$ - z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

31.2 Simplification Rules for Comparison of Binary Numbers

Thanks to Norbert Voelker

lemma *eq-integ-of-eq*:
 $\llbracket v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin} \rrbracket$

$$\implies ((\text{integ-of}(v)) = \text{integ-of}(w)) \leftrightarrow$$

$$\text{iszero } (\text{integ-of } (\text{bin-add } (v, \text{bin-minus}(w))))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *iszero-integ-of-Pls*: $\text{iszero } (\text{integ-of}(Pls))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nonzero-integ-of-Min*: $\sim \text{iszero } (\text{integ-of}(Min))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iszero-integ-of-BIT*:

$$[| w: \text{bin}; x: \text{bool} |]$$

$$\implies \text{iszero } (\text{integ-of } (w \text{ BIT } x)) \leftrightarrow (x=0 \ \& \ \text{iszero } (\text{integ-of}(w)))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *iszero-integ-of-0*:

$$w: \text{bin} \implies \text{iszero } (\text{integ-of } (w \text{ BIT } 0)) \leftrightarrow \text{iszero } (\text{integ-of}(w))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *iszero-integ-of-1*: $w: \text{bin} \implies \sim \text{iszero } (\text{integ-of } (w \text{ BIT } 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less-integ-of-eq-neg*:

$$[| v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin} |]$$

$$\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$< \text{integ-of}(w)$$

$$\leftrightarrow \text{znegative } (\text{integ-of } (\text{bin-add } (v, \text{bin-minus}(w))))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *not-neg-integ-of-Pls*: $\sim \text{znegative } (\text{integ-of}(Pls))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neg-integ-of-Min*: $\text{znegative } (\text{integ-of}(Min))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neg-integ-of-BIT*:

$$[| w: \text{bin}; x: \text{bool} |]$$

$$\implies \text{znegative } (\text{integ-of } (w \text{ BIT } x)) \leftrightarrow \text{znegative } (\text{integ-of}(w))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *le-integ-of-eq-not-less*:

$$(\text{integ-of}(x) \$\leq (\text{integ-of}(w))) \leftrightarrow \sim (\text{integ-of}(w) \$< (\text{integ-of}(x)))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

```

declare bin-succ-BIT [simp del]
         bin-pred-BIT [simp del]
         bin-minus-BIT [simp del]
         NCons-Pls [simp del]
         NCons-Min [simp del]
         bin-adder-BIT [simp del]
         bin-mult-BIT [simp del]

```

```

declare integ-of-Pls [simp del] integ-of-Min [simp del] integ-of-BIT [simp del]

```

```

lemmas bin-arith-extra-simps =
  integ-of-add [symmetric]
  integ-of-minus [symmetric]
  integ-of-mult [symmetric]
  bin-succ-1 bin-succ-0
  bin-pred-1 bin-pred-0
  bin-minus-1 bin-minus-0
  bin-add-Pls-right bin-add-Min-right
  bin-add-BIT-0 bin-add-BIT-10 bin-add-BIT-11
  diff-integ-of-eq
  bin-mult-1 bin-mult-0 NCons-simps

```

```

lemmas bin-arith-simps =
  bin-pred-Pls bin-pred-Min
  bin-succ-Pls bin-succ-Min
  bin-add-Pls bin-add-Min
  bin-minus-Pls bin-minus-Min
  bin-mult-Pls bin-mult-Min
  bin-arith-extra-simps

```

```

lemmas bin-rel-simps =
  eq-integ-of-eq iszero-integ-of-Pls nonzero-integ-of-Min
  iszero-integ-of-0 iszero-integ-of-1
  less-integ-of-eq-neg
  not-neg-integ-of-Pls neg-integ-of-Min neg-integ-of-BIT
  le-integ-of-eq-not-less

```

```

declare bin-arith-simps [simp]
declare bin-rel-simps [simp]

```

lemma *add-integ-of-left* [simp]:

[[*v*: *bin*; *w*: *bin*]]
 $\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ (\text{integ-of}(w) \$+ z) = (\text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v,w)) \$+ z)$
 <proof>

lemma *mult-integ-of-left* [simp]:

[[*v*: *bin*; *w*: *bin*]]
 $\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$* (\text{integ-of}(w) \$* z) = (\text{integ-of}(\text{bin-mult}(v,w)) \$* z)$
 <proof>

lemma *add-integ-of-diff1* [simp]:

[[*v*: *bin*; *w*: *bin*]]
 $\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ (\text{integ-of}(w) \$- c) = \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v,w)) \$- (c)$
 <proof>

lemma *add-integ-of-diff2* [simp]:

[[*v*: *bin*; *w*: *bin*]]
 $\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ (c \$- \text{integ-of}(w)) =$
 $\text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v, \text{bin-minus}(w))) \$+ (c)$
 <proof>

declare *int-of-0* [simp] *int-of-succ* [simp]

lemma *zdiff0* [simp]: $\#0 \$- x = \$-x$
 <proof>

lemma *zdiff0-right* [simp]: $x \$- \#0 = \text{intify}(x)$
 <proof>

lemma *zdiff-self* [simp]: $x \$- x = \#0$
 <proof>

lemma *znegative-iff-zless-0*: $k: \text{int} \implies \text{znegative}(k) <-> k \$< \#0$
 <proof>

lemma *zero-zless-imp-znegative-zminus*: $[\#0 \$< k; k: \text{int}] \implies \text{znegative}(\$-k)$
 <proof>

lemma *zero-zle-int-of* [simp]: $\#0 \$<= \$\# n$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-of-0* [simp]: $\text{nat-of}(\#0) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-le-int0-lemma*: $[z \leq \#0; z: \text{int}] \implies \text{nat-of}(z) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-le-int0*: $z \leq \#0 \implies \text{nat-of}(z) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-of-eq-0-imp-natify-eq-0*: $\#n = \#0 \implies \text{natify}(n) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-zminus-int-of*: $\text{nat-of}(\$ - \#n) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-of-nat-of*: $\#0 \leq z \implies \# \text{nat-of}(z) = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *int-of-nat-of* [simp] *nat-of-zminus-int-of* [simp]

lemma *int-of-nat-of-if*: $\# \text{nat-of}(z) = (\text{if } \#0 \leq z \text{ then } \text{intify}(z) \text{ else } \#0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-nat-iff-int-zless*: $[m: \text{nat}; z: \text{int}] \implies (m < \text{nat-of}(z)) \iff (\#m \leq z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-nat-conj-lemma*: $\#0 \leq z \implies (\text{nat-of}(w) < \text{nat-of}(z)) \iff (w \leq z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-nat-conj*: $(\text{nat-of}(w) < \text{nat-of}(z)) \iff (\#0 \leq z \ \& \ w \leq z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-minus-reorient* [simp]:
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = \$ - x) \iff (\$ - x = \text{integ-of}(w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-add-reorient* [simp]:
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = x \$ + y) \iff (x \$ + y = \text{integ-of}(w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-diff-reorient* [simp]:
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = x \$ - y) \iff (x \$ - y = \text{integ-of}(w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma integ-of-mult-reorient [simp]:
  (integ-of(w) = x $* y) <-> (x $* y = integ-of(w))
<proof>

<ML>

end

```

```

theory IntArith imports Bin
uses int-arith.ML begin

end

```

32 The Division Operators Div and Mod

```

theory IntDiv imports IntArith OrderArith begin

```

```

constdefs
  quorem :: [i,i] => o
  quorem == %<a,b> <q,r>.
    a = b$*q $+ r &
    (#0$<b & #0$<=r & r$<b | ~(#0$<b) & b$<r & r $<= #0)

  adjust :: [i,i] => i
  adjust(b) == %<q,r>. if #0 $<= r$-b then <#2$*q $+ #1,r$-b>
    else <#2$*q,r>

```

```

constdefs posDivAlg :: i => i

```

```

  posDivAlg(ab) ==
    wfrec(measure(int*int, %<a,b>. nat-of (a $- b $+ #1)),
      ab,
      %<a,b> f. if (a$<b | b$<=#0) then <#0,a>
        else adjust(b, f ‘ <a,#2$*b>))

```

```

constdefs negDivAlg :: i => i

```

```

  negDivAlg(ab) ==
    wfrec(measure(int*int, %<a,b>. nat-of ($- a $- b)),
      ab,
      %<a,b> f. if (#0 $<= a$+b | b$<=#0) then <#-1,a$+b>

```

*else adjust(b, f ' <a,#2\$*b>))*

constdefs

negateSnd :: i => i
negateSnd == %<q,r>. <q, \$-r>

divAlg :: i => i
divAlg ==
%<a,b>. if #0 \$<= a then
if #0 \$<= b then posDivAlg (<a,b>)
else if a=#0 then <#0,#0>
else negateSnd (negDivAlg (<\$-a,\$-b>))
else
if #0\$<b then negDivAlg (<a,b>)
else negateSnd (posDivAlg (<\$-a,\$-b>))

zdiv :: [i,i]=>i **(infixl zdiv 70)**
a zdiv b == fst (divAlg (<intify(a), intify(b)>))

zmod :: [i,i]=>i **(infixl zmod 70)**
a zmod b == snd (divAlg (<intify(a), intify(b)>))

lemma *zpos-add-zpos-imp-zpos*: $[\#0 \$< x; \#0 \$< y] \implies \#0 \$< x \$+ y$
<proof>

lemma *zpos-add-zpos-imp-zpos*: $[\#0 \$<= x; \#0 \$<= y] \implies \#0 \$<= x \$+ y$
<proof>

lemma *zneg-add-zneg-imp-zneg*: $[x \$< \#0; y \$< \#0] \implies x \$+ y \$< \#0$
<proof>

lemma *zneg-or-0-add-zneg-or-0-imp-zneg-or-0*:
 $[x \$<= \#0; y \$<= \#0] \implies x \$+ y \$<= \#0$
<proof>

lemma *zero-lt-zmagnitude*: $[\#0 \$< k; k \in \text{int}] \implies 0 < \text{zmagnitude}(k)$
<proof>

lemma *zless-add-succ-iff*:

$(w \$< z \$+ \$\# \text{succ}(m)) <-> (w \$< z \$+ \$\#m \mid \text{intify}(w) = z \$+ \$\#m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-succ-lemma*:

$z \in \text{int} ==> (w \$+ \$\# \text{succ}(m) \$<= z) <-> (w \$+ \$\#m \$< z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-succ-zle-iff*: $(w \$+ \$\# \text{succ}(m) \$<= z) <-> (w \$+ \$\#m \$< z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-add1-iff-zle*: $(w \$< z \$+ \#1) <-> (w \$<= z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add1-zle-iff*: $(w \$+ \#1 \$<= z) <-> (w \$< z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add1-left-zle-iff*: $(\#1 \$+ w \$<= z) <-> (w \$< z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-mono-lemma*: $k \in \text{nat} ==> i \$<= j ==> i \$* \$\#k \$<= j \$* \$\#k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono1*: $[i \$<= j; \#0 \$<= k] ==> i \$*k \$<= j \$*k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono1-neg*: $[i \$<= j; k \$<= \#0] ==> j \$*k \$<= i \$*k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono2*: $[i \$<= j; \#0 \$<= k] ==> k \$*i \$<= k \$*j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono2-neg*: $[i \$<= j; k \$<= \#0] ==> k \$*j \$<= k \$*i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono*:

$[i \$<= j; k \$<= l; \#0 \$<= j; \#0 \$<= k] ==> i \$*k \$<= j \$*l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono2-lemma* [rule-format]:

$$[[i \$< j; k \in \text{nat}]] ==> 0 < k \dashrightarrow \$\#k \$* i \$< \$\#k \$* j$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono2*: $[[i \$< j; \#0 \$< k]] ==> k \$* i \$< k \$* j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono1*: $[[i \$< j; \#0 \$< k]] ==> i \$* k \$< j \$* k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono*:

$$[[i \$< j; k \$< l; \#0 \$< j; \#0 \$< k]] ==> i \$* k \$< j \$* l$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono1-neg*: $[[i \$< j; k \$< \#0]] ==> j \$* k \$< i \$* k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono2-neg*: $[[i \$< j; k \$< \#0]] ==> k \$* j \$< k \$* i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-eq-lemma*:

$$[[m \in \text{int}; n \in \text{int}]] ==> (m = \#0 \mid n = \#0) <-> (m \$* n = \#0)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-eq-0-iff* [iff]: $(m \$* n = \#0) <-> (\text{intify}(m) = \#0 \mid \text{intify}(n) = \#0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-lemma*:

$$[[k \in \text{int}; m \in \text{int}; n \in \text{int}]]$$

$$==> (m \$* k \$< n \$* k) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \ \& \ m \$< n) \mid (k \$< \#0 \ \& \ n \$< m))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-cancel2*:

$$(m \$* k \$< n \$* k) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \ \& \ m \$< n) \mid (k \$< \#0 \ \& \ n \$< m))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-cancel1*:

$$(k \$* m \$< k \$* n) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \ \& \ m \$< n) \mid (k \$< \#0 \ \& \ n \$< m))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-cancel2*:

$$(m \$* k \$<= n \$* k) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \dashrightarrow m \$<= n) \ \& \ (k \$< \#0 \dashrightarrow$$

$n\$ \leq m$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-cancel1*:

$(k\$*m \$ \leq k\$*n) <-> ((\#0 \$ < k \dashrightarrow m\$ \leq n) \& (k \$ < \#0 \dashrightarrow n\$ \leq m))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-eq-iff-zle*: $[| m \in int; n \in int |] \implies m=n <-> (m \$ \leq n \& n \$ \leq m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-cancel2-lemma*:

$[| k \in int; m \in int; n \in int |] \implies (m\$*k = n\$*k) <-> (k \neq 0 \mid m=n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-cancel2 [simp]*:

$(m\$*k = n\$*k) <-> (intify(k) = \#0 \mid intify(m) = intify(n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-cancel1 [simp]*:

$(k\$*m = k\$*n) <-> (intify(k) = \#0 \mid intify(m) = intify(n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

32.1 Uniqueness and monotonicity of quotients and remainders

lemma *unique-quotient-lemma*:

$[| b\$*q' \$ + r' \$ \leq b\$*q \$ + r; \#0 \$ \leq r'; \#0 \$ < b; r \$ < b |]$
 $\implies q' \$ \leq q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unique-quotient-lemma-neg*:

$[| b\$*q' \$ + r' \$ \leq b\$*q \$ + r; r \$ \leq \#0; b \$ < \#0; b \$ < r' |]$
 $\implies q \$ \leq q'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unique-quotient*:

$[| quorem (<a,b>, <q,r>); quorem (<a,b>, <q',r'>); b \in int; b \sim \#0; q \in int; q' \in int |] \implies q = q'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unique-remainder*:

$[| quorem (<a,b>, <q,r>); quorem (<a,b>, <q',r'>); b \in int; b \sim \#0; q \in int; q' \in int; r \in int; r' \in int |] \implies r = r'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

32.2 Correctness of posDivAlg, the Division Algorithm for $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$

lemma *adjust-eq* [*simp*]:

$$\text{adjust}(b, \langle q, r \rangle) = (\text{let } \text{diff} = r - b \text{ in}$$

$$\text{if } \#0 \leq \text{diff} \text{ then } \langle \#2 * q + \#1, \text{diff} \rangle$$

$$\text{else } \langle \#2 * q, r \rangle)$$

<proof>

lemma *posDivAlg-termination*:

$$[\#0 \leq b; \sim a \leq b] \implies \text{nat-of}(a - \#2 * b + \#1) < \text{nat-of}(a - b + \#1)$$

<proof>

lemmas *posDivAlg-unfold* = *def-wfrec* [*OF posDivAlg-def wf-measure*]

lemma *posDivAlg-eqn*:

$$[\#0 \leq b; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}] \implies$$

$$\text{posDivAlg}(\langle a, b \rangle) =$$

$$(\text{if } a \leq b \text{ then } \langle \#0, a \rangle \text{ else } \text{adjust}(b, \text{posDivAlg}(\langle a, \#2 * b \rangle)))$$

<proof>

lemma *posDivAlg-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
assumes *prem*:

$$!!a \ b. [\#0 \leq b; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int};$$

$$\sim (a \leq b \mid b \leq \#0) \implies P(\langle a, \#2 * b \rangle)] \implies P(\langle a, b \rangle)$$
shows $\langle u, v \rangle \in \text{int} * \text{int} \implies P(\langle u, v \rangle)$

<proof>

lemma *posDivAlg-induct*:
assumes *u-int*: $u \in \text{int}$
and *v-int*: $v \in \text{int}$
and *ih*: $!!a \ b. [\#0 \leq b; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int};$

$$\sim (a \leq b \mid b \leq \#0) \implies P(a, \#2 * b)] \implies P(a, b)$$
shows $P(u, v)$

<proof>

lemma *intify-eq-0-iff-zle*: $\text{intify}(m) = \#0 \iff (m \leq \#0 \ \& \ \#0 \leq m)$

<proof>

32.3 Some convenient biconditionals for products of signs

lemma *zmult-pos*: $[\#0 \leq i; \#0 \leq j] \implies \#0 \leq i * j$

<proof>

lemma *zmult-neg*: $[i \leq \#0; j \leq \#0] \implies \#0 \leq i * j$

<proof>

lemma *zmult-pos-neg*: $[| \#0 \$< i; j \$< \#0 |] ==> i \$* j \$< \#0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-0-less-lemma*:
 $[| x \in int; y \in int |]$
 $==> (\#0 \$< x \$* y) <-> (\#0 \$< x \& \#0 \$< y \mid x \$< \#0 \& y \$< \#0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-0-less-mult-iff*:
 $(\#0 \$< x \$* y) <-> (\#0 \$< x \& \#0 \$< y \mid x \$< \#0 \& y \$< \#0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-0-le-lemma*:
 $[| x \in int; y \in int |]$
 $==> (\#0 \$<= x \$* y) <-> (\#0 \$<= x \& \#0 \$<= y \mid x \$<= \#0 \& y$
 $\$<= \#0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-0-le-mult-iff*:
 $(\#0 \$<= x \$* y) <-> ((\#0 \$<= x \& \#0 \$<= y) \mid (x \$<= \#0 \& y \$<=$
 $\#0))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-less-0-iff*:
 $(x \$* y \$< \#0) <-> (\#0 \$< x \& y \$< \#0 \mid x \$< \#0 \& \#0 \$< y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-le-0-iff*:
 $(x \$* y \$<= \#0) <-> (\#0 \$<= x \& y \$<= \#0 \mid x \$<= \#0 \& \#0 \$<= y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-type* $[rule-format]$:
 $[| a \in int; b \in int |] ==> posDivAlg(<a,b>) \in int * int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-correct* $[rule-format]$:
 $[| a \in int; b \in int |]$
 $==> \#0 \$<= a \dashrightarrow \#0 \$< b \dashrightarrow quorem (<a,b>, posDivAlg(<a,b>))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

32.4 Correctness of `negDivAlg`, the division algorithm for `a ÷ 0` and `b ÷ 0`

lemma *negDivAlg-termination*:

$$[[\#0 \ \$< \ b; \ a \ \$+ \ b \ \$< \ \#0 \]]$$

$$\implies \text{nat-of}(\$- \ a \ \$- \ \#2 \ \$* \ b) < \text{nat-of}(\$- \ a \ \$- \ b)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *negDivAlg-unfold* = *def-wfrec* [*OF negDivAlg-def wf-measure*]

lemma *negDivAlg-eqn*:

$$[[\#0 \ \$< \ b; \ a : \text{int}; \ b : \text{int} \]] \implies$$

$$\text{negDivAlg}(<a, b>) =$$

$$(\text{if } \#0 \ \$\leq a \$+ b \text{ then } <\#-1, a \$+ b>$$

$$\text{else } \text{adjust}(b, \text{negDivAlg}(<a, \#2 \$* b>)))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:

assumes *prem*:

$$!!a \ b. [[a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int};$$

$$\sim (\#0 \ \$\leq a \$+ b \mid b \ \$\leq \#0) \longrightarrow P(<a, \#2 \$* b>)]]$$

$$\implies P(<a, b>)$$

shows $<u, v> \in \text{int} * \text{int} \longrightarrow P(<u, v>)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-induct*:

assumes *u-int*: $u \in \text{int}$
and *v-int*: $v \in \text{int}$
and *ih*: $!!a \ b. [[a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int};$

$$\sim (\#0 \ \$\leq a \$+ b \mid b \ \$\leq \#0) \longrightarrow P(a, \#2 \$* b)]]$$

$$\implies P(a, b)$$

shows $P(u, v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-type*:

$$[[a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int} \]] \implies \text{negDivAlg}(<a, b>) \in \text{int} * \text{int}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-correct* [*rule-format*]:

$$[[a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int} \]]$$

$$\implies a \ \$< \ \#0 \ \longrightarrow \ \#0 \ \$< \ b \ \longrightarrow \ \text{quorem}(<a, b>, \text{negDivAlg}(<a, b>))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.5 Existence shown by proving the division algorithm to be correct

lemma *quorem-0*: $[|b \neq \#0; b \in \text{int}|] \implies \text{quorem} (<\#0, b>, <\#0, \#0>)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-zero-divisor*: $\text{posDivAlg}(<a, \#0>) = <\#0, a>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-0* [simp]: $\text{posDivAlg} (<\#0, b>) = <\#0, \#0>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-arith-lemma*: $\sim (\#0 \ \$\leq \ \#-1 \ \$+ \ b) \implies (b \ \$\leq \ \#0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-minus1* [simp]: $\text{negDivAlg} (<\#-1, b>) = <\#-1, b \ \$- \ \#1>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negateSnd-eq* [simp]: $\text{negateSnd} (<q, r>) = <q, \ \$-r>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negateSnd-type*: $qr \in \text{int} * \text{int} \implies \text{negateSnd} (qr) \in \text{int} * \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quorem-neg*:
 $[| \text{quorem} (<\$-a, \$-b>, qr); a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; qr \in \text{int} * \text{int} |]$
 $\implies \text{quorem} (<a, b>, \text{negateSnd}(qr))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *divAlg-correct*:
 $[|b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}|] \implies \text{quorem} (<a, b>, \text{divAlg}(<a, b>))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *divAlg-type*: $[|a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}|] \implies \text{divAlg}(<a, b>) \in \text{int} * \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-intify1* [simp]: $\text{intify}(x) \text{ zdiv } y = x \text{ zdiv } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-intify2* [simp]: $x \text{ zdiv } \text{intify}(y) = x \text{ zdiv } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-type* [iff, TC]: $z \text{ zdiv } w \in \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-intify1 [simp]: intify(x) zmod y = x zmod y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-intify2 [simp]: x zmod intify(y) = x zmod y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-type [iff, TC]: z zmod w \in int*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-ZDIV: a zdiv #0 = #0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-ZMOD: a zmod #0 = intify(a)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmod-zdiv-equality:*
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} \rrbracket \implies a = b \$* (a \text{ zdiv } b) \$+ (a \text{ zmod } b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zdiv-equality: intify(a) = b \$* (a zdiv b) \$+ (a zmod b)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pos-mod: #0 \$< b \implies #0 \$<= a zmod b & a zmod b \$< b*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *pos-mod-sign = pos-mod [THEN conjunct1, standard]*
and *pos-mod-bound = pos-mod [THEN conjunct2, standard]*

lemma *neg-mod: b \$< #0 \implies a zmod b \$<= #0 & b \$< a zmod b*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *neg-mod-sign = neg-mod [THEN conjunct1, standard]*
and *neg-mod-bound = neg-mod [THEN conjunct2, standard]*

lemma *quorem-div-mod:*
 $\llbracket b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{quorem } (<a, b>, <a \text{ zdiv } b, a \text{ zmod } b>)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *quorem-div*:

$$[| \text{quorem}(<a,b>,<q,r>); b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int} |] \\ \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = q$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quorem-mod*:

$$[| \text{quorem}(<a,b>,<q,r>); b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int}; r \in \text{int} |] \\ \implies a \text{ zmod } b = r$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-pos-trivial-raw*:

$$[| a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 \leq a; a < b |] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-pos-trivial*: $[| \#0 \leq a; a < b |] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-neg-neg-trivial-raw*:

$$[| a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; a \leq \#0; b < a |] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-neg-neg-trivial*: $[| a \leq \#0; b < a |] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-le-0-lemma*: $[| a+b \leq \#0; \#0 < a; \#0 < b |] \implies \text{False}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-neg-trivial-raw*:

$$[| a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0 |] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-neg-trivial*: $[| \#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0 |] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-pos-trivial-raw*:

$$[| a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 \leq a; a < b |] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = a$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-pos-trivial*: $[| \#0 \leq a; a < b |] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = \text{intify}(a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-neg-neg-trivial-raw*:

$$[| a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; a \leq \#0; b < a |] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = a$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-neg-neg-trivial*: $[| a \leq 0; b < a |] \implies a \bmod b = \text{intify}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-neg-trivial-raw*:
 $[| a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 < a; a+b \leq 0 |] \implies a \bmod b = a+b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-neg-trivial*: $[| \#0 < a; a+b \leq 0 |] \implies a \bmod b = a+b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zminus-zminus-raw*:
 $[| a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} |] \implies (-a) \text{zdiv} (-b) = a \text{zdiv} b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zminus-zminus [simp]*: $(-a) \text{zdiv} (-b) = a \text{zdiv} b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zminus-zminus-raw*:
 $[| a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} |] \implies (-a) \bmod (-b) = -(a \bmod b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zminus-zminus [simp]*: $(-a) \bmod (-b) = -(a \bmod b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.6 division of a number by itself

lemma *self-quotient-aux1*: $[| \#0 < a; a = r + a*q; r < a |] \implies \#1 \leq q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *self-quotient-aux2*: $[| \#0 < a; a = r + a*q; \#0 \leq r |] \implies q \leq \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *self-quotient*:
 $[| \text{quorem}(<a,a>, <q,r>); a \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int}; a \neq \#0 |] \implies q = \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *self-remainder*:
 $[| \text{quorem}(<a,a>, <q,r>); a \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int}; r \in \text{int}; a \neq \#0 |] \implies r = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-self-raw*: $[a \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}] \implies a \text{ zdiv } a = \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-self* [simp]: $\text{intify}(a) \neq \#0 \implies a \text{ zdiv } a = \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-self-raw*: $a \in \text{int} \implies a \text{ zmod } a = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-self* [simp]: $a \text{ zmod } a = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.7 Computation of division and remainder

lemma *zdiv-zero* [simp]: $\#0 \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-eq-minus1*: $\#0 \text{ \$< } b \implies \#-1 \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zero* [simp]: $\#0 \text{ zmod } b = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-minus1*: $\#0 \text{ \$< } b \implies \#-1 \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-minus1*: $\#0 \text{ \$< } b \implies \#-1 \text{ zmod } b = b \text{ \$- } \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-pos*: $[\#0 \text{ \$< } a; \#0 \text{ \$<=} b] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \text{fst } (\text{posDivAlg}(<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-pos*:
 $[\#0 \text{ \$< } a; \#0 \text{ \$<=} b] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = \text{snd } (\text{posDivAlg}(<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-neg-pos*:
 $[a \text{ \$< } \#0; \#0 \text{ \$< } b] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \text{fst } (\text{negDivAlg}(<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-neg-pos*:

$$[| a \$ < \#0; \#0 \$ < b |]$$

$$\implies a \text{ zmod } b = \text{snd } (\text{negDivAlg}(<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *zdiv-pos-neg*:

$$[| \#0 \$ < a; b \$ < \#0 |]$$

$$\implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \text{fst } (\text{negateSnd}(\text{negDivAlg } (<\$-a, \$-b>)))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *zmod-pos-neg*:

$$[| \#0 \$ < a; b \$ < \#0 |]$$

$$\implies a \text{ zmod } b = \text{snd } (\text{negateSnd}(\text{negDivAlg } (<\$-a, \$-b>)))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *zdiv-neg-neg*:

$$[| a \$ < \#0; b \$ \leq \#0 |]$$

$$\implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \text{fst } (\text{negateSnd}(\text{posDivAlg}(<\$-a, \$-b>)))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *zmod-neg-neg*:

$$[| a \$ < \#0; b \$ \leq \#0 |]$$

$$\implies a \text{ zmod } b = \text{snd } (\text{negateSnd}(\text{posDivAlg}(<\$-a, \$-b>)))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

declare *zdiv-pos-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zdiv-neg-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zdiv-pos-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zdiv-neg-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zmod-pos-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zmod-neg-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zmod-pos-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zmod-neg-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *posDivAlg-eqn* [of **concl**: integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *negDivAlg-eqn* [of **concl**: integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]

lemma *zmod-1* [simp]: $a \text{ zmod } \#1 = \#0$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *zdiv-1* [simp]: $a \text{ zdiv } \#1 = \text{intify}(a)$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *zmod-minus1-right* [simp]: $a \text{ zmod } \#-1 = \#0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-minus1-right-raw*: $a \in int \implies a \text{ zdiv } \#-1 = \$-a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-minus1-right*: $a \text{ zdiv } \#-1 = \$-a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare *zdiv-minus1-right* [simp]

32.8 Monotonicity in the first argument (divisor)

lemma *zdiv-mono1*: $[| a \$\leq a'; \#0 \$< b |] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b \$\leq a' \text{ zdiv } b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono1-neg*: $[| a \$\leq a'; b \$< \#0 |] \implies a' \text{ zdiv } b \$\leq a \text{ zdiv } b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

32.9 Monotonicity in the second argument (dividend)

lemma *q-pos-lemma*:

$[| \#0 \$\leq b' \$* q' \$+ r'; r' \$< b'; \#0 \$< b' |] \implies \#0 \$\leq q'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-lemma*:

$[| b \$* q \$+ r = b' \$* q' \$+ r'; \#0 \$\leq b' \$* q' \$+ r';$
 $r' \$< b'; \#0 \$\leq r; \#0 \$< b'; b' \$\leq b |]$
 $\implies q \$\leq q'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-raw*:

$[| \#0 \$\leq a; \#0 \$< b'; b' \$\leq b; a \in int |]$
 $\implies a \text{ zdiv } b \$\leq a \text{ zdiv } b'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2*:

$[| \#0 \$\leq a; \#0 \$< b'; b' \$\leq b |]$
 $\implies a \text{ zdiv } b \$\leq a \text{ zdiv } b'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *q-neg-lemma*:

$[| b' \$* q' \$+ r' \$< \#0; \#0 \$\leq r'; \#0 \$< b' |] \implies q' \$< \#0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-neg-lemma*:

$[| b \$* q \$+ r = b' \$* q' \$+ r'; b' \$* q' \$+ r' \$< \#0;$
 $r \$< b; \#0 \$\leq r'; \#0 \$< b'; b' \$\leq b |]$
 $\implies q' \$\leq q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-neg-raw*:

$$[[a \text{ \$} < \#0; \#0 \text{ \$} < b'; b' \text{ \$} \leq b; a \in \text{int}]] \\ \implies a \text{ zdiv } b' \text{ \$} \leq a \text{ zdiv } b$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-neg*: $[[a \text{ \$} < \#0; \#0 \text{ \$} < b'; b' \text{ \$} \leq b]]$

$$\implies a \text{ zdiv } b' \text{ \$} \leq a \text{ zdiv } b$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

32.10 More algebraic laws for zdiv and zmod

lemma *zmult1-lemma*:

$$[[\text{quorem}(<b, c>, <q, r>); c \in \text{int}; c \neq \#0]] \\ \implies \text{quorem}(<a\$*b, c>, <a\$*q \text{ \$} + (a\$*r) \text{ zdiv } c, (a\$*r) \text{ zmod } c>)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult1-eq-raw*:

$$[[b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]] \\ \implies (a\$*b) \text{ zdiv } c = a\$*(b \text{ zdiv } c) \text{ \$} + a\$*(b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zdiv } c$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult1-eq*: $(a\$*b) \text{ zdiv } c = a\$*(b \text{ zdiv } c) \text{ \$} + a\$*(b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zdiv } c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult1-eq-raw*:

$$[[b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]] \implies (a\$*b) \text{ zmod } c = a\$*(b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zmod } c$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult1-eq*: $(a\$*b) \text{ zmod } c = a\$*(b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zmod } c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult1-eq'*: $(a\$*b) \text{ zmod } c = ((a \text{ zmod } c) \text{ \$} * b) \text{ zmod } c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-distrib*: $(a\$*b) \text{ zmod } c = ((a \text{ zmod } c) \text{ \$} * (b \text{ zmod } c)) \text{ zmod } c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-self1 [simp]*: $\text{intify}(b) \neq \#0 \implies (a\$*b) \text{ zdiv } b = \text{intify}(a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-self2 [simp]*: $\text{intify}(b) \neq \#0 \implies (b\$*a) \text{ zdiv } b = \text{intify}(a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-self1 [simp]*: $(a\$*b) \text{ zmod } b = \#0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-self2 [simp]*: $(b\$*a) \text{ zmod } b = \#0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd1-lemma*:

$[[\text{quorem}(\langle a, c \rangle, \langle aq, ar \rangle); \text{quorem}(\langle b, c \rangle, \langle bq, br \rangle);$
 $c \in \text{int}; c \neq \#0]]$
 $\implies \text{quorem}(\langle a\$+b, c \rangle, \langle aq \$+ bq \$+ (ar\$+br) \text{zdiv } c, (ar\$+br) \text{zmod}$
 $c \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zadd1-eq-raw*:

$[[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]] \implies$
 $(a\$+b) \text{zdiv } c = a \text{zdiv } c \$+ b \text{zdiv } c \$+ ((a \text{zmod } c \$+ b \text{zmod } c) \text{zdiv } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zadd1-eq*:

$(a\$+b) \text{zdiv } c = a \text{zdiv } c \$+ b \text{zdiv } c \$+ ((a \text{zmod } c \$+ b \text{zmod } c) \text{zdiv } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zadd1-eq-raw*:

$[[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]]$
 $\implies (a\$+b) \text{zmod } c = (a \text{zmod } c \$+ b \text{zmod } c) \text{zmod } c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zadd1-eq*: $(a\$+b) \text{zmod } c = (a \text{zmod } c \$+ b \text{zmod } c) \text{zmod } c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-div-trivial-raw*:

$[[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}]] \implies (a \text{zmod } b) \text{zdiv } b = \#0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-div-trivial* [simp]: $(a \text{zmod } b) \text{zdiv } b = \#0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-mod-trivial-raw*:

$[[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}]] \implies (a \text{zmod } b) \text{zmod } b = a \text{zmod } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-mod-trivial* [simp]: $(a \text{zmod } b) \text{zmod } b = a \text{zmod } b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zadd-left-eq*: $(a\$+b) \text{zmod } c = ((a \text{zmod } c) \$+ b) \text{zmod } c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zadd-right-eq*: $(a\$+b) \text{zmod } c = (a \$+ (b \text{zmod } c)) \text{zmod } c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zadd-self1* [*simp*]:
 $\text{intify}(a) \neq \#0 \implies (a\$+b) \text{ zdiv } a = b \text{ zdiv } a \$+ \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zadd-self2* [*simp*]:
 $\text{intify}(a) \neq \#0 \implies (b\$+a) \text{ zdiv } a = b \text{ zdiv } a \$+ \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zadd-self1* [*simp*]: $(a\$+b) \text{ zmod } a = b \text{ zmod } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zadd-self2* [*simp*]: $(b\$+a) \text{ zmod } a = b \text{ zmod } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.11 proving a $\text{zdiv } (b*c) = (a \text{ zdiv } b) \text{ zdiv } c$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-aux1*:
 $[| \#0 \$< c; \ b \$< r; \ r \$\leq \#0 |] \implies b\$*c \$< b\$*(q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-aux2*:
 $[| \#0 \$< c; \ b \$< r; \ r \$\leq \#0 |] \implies b \$* (q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r \$\leq \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-aux3*:
 $[| \#0 \$< c; \ \#0 \$\leq r; \ r \$< b |] \implies \#0 \$\leq b \$* (q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-aux4*:
 $[| \#0 \$< c; \ \#0 \$\leq r; \ r \$< b |] \implies b \$* (q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r \$< b \$* c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-lemma*:
 $[| \text{quorem } (<a,b>, <q,r>); \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int}; \ b \neq \#0; \ \#0 \$< c |]$
 $\implies \text{quorem } (<a,b\$*c>, <q \text{ zdiv } c, b\$*(q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r>)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-eq-raw*:
 $[| \#0 \$< c; \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int} |] \implies a \text{ zdiv } (b\$*c) = (a \text{ zdiv } b) \text{ zdiv } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-eq*: $\#0 \$< c \implies a \text{ zdiv } (b\$*c) = (a \text{ zdiv } b) \text{ zdiv } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult2-eq-raw*:
 $[| \#0 \$< c; \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int} |]$
 $\implies a \text{ zmod } (b\$*c) = b\$*(a \text{ zdiv } b \text{ zmod } c) \$+ a \text{ zmod } b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult2-eq*:

$\#0 \ \$< c \implies a \ zmod \ (b\$*c) = b\$*(a \ zdiv \ b \ zmod \ c) \ \$+ \ a \ zmod \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

32.12 Cancellation of common factors in "zdiv"

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult1-aux1*:

$[| \#0 \ \$< b; \ intify(c) \neq \#0 \ |] \implies (c\$*a) \ zdiv \ (c\$*b) = a \ zdiv \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult1-aux2*:

$[| b \ \$< \#0; \ intify(c) \neq \#0 \ |] \implies (c\$*a) \ zdiv \ (c\$*b) = a \ zdiv \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult1-raw*:

$[| intify(c) \neq \#0; \ b \in int \ |] \implies (c\$*a) \ zdiv \ (c\$*b) = a \ zdiv \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult1*: $intify(c) \neq \#0 \implies (c\$*a) \ zdiv \ (c\$*b) = a \ zdiv \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult2*: $intify(c) \neq \#0 \implies (a\$*c) \ zdiv \ (b\$*c) = a \ zdiv \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

32.13 Distribution of factors over "zmod"

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult1-aux1*:

$[| \#0 \ \$< b; \ intify(c) \neq \#0 \ |]$
 $\implies (c\$*a) \ zmod \ (c\$*b) = c \ \$* \ (a \ zmod \ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult1-aux2*:

$[| b \ \$< \#0; \ intify(c) \neq \#0 \ |]$
 $\implies (c\$*a) \ zmod \ (c\$*b) = c \ \$* \ (a \ zmod \ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult1-raw*:

$[| b \in int; \ c \in int \ |] \implies (c\$*a) \ zmod \ (c\$*b) = c \ \$* \ (a \ zmod \ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult1*: $(c\$*a) \ zmod \ (c\$*b) = c \ \$* \ (a \ zmod \ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult2*: $(a\$*c) \ zmod \ (b\$*c) = (a \ zmod \ b) \ \$* \ c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma zdiv-neg-pos-less0: [|  $a \text{ \$} < \#0$ ;  $\#0 \text{ \$} < b$  |] ==>  $a \text{ zdiv } b \text{ \$} < \#0$ 
<proof>

lemma zdiv-nonneg-neg-le0: [|  $\#0 \text{ \$} \leq a$ ;  $b \text{ \$} < \#0$  |] ==>  $a \text{ zdiv } b \text{ \$} \leq \#0$ 
<proof>

lemma pos-imp-zdiv-nonneg-iff:  $\#0 \text{ \$} < b$  ==> ( $\#0 \text{ \$} \leq a \text{ zdiv } b$ ) <-> ( $\#0 \text{ \$} \leq a$ )
<proof>

lemma neg-imp-zdiv-nonneg-iff:  $b \text{ \$} < \#0$  ==> ( $\#0 \text{ \$} \leq a \text{ zdiv } b$ ) <-> ( $a \text{ \$} \leq \#0$ )
<proof>

lemma pos-imp-zdiv-neg-iff:  $\#0 \text{ \$} < b$  ==> ( $a \text{ zdiv } b \text{ \$} < \#0$ ) <-> ( $a \text{ \$} < \#0$ )
<proof>

lemma neg-imp-zdiv-neg-iff:  $b \text{ \$} < \#0$  ==> ( $a \text{ zdiv } b \text{ \$} < \#0$ ) <-> ( $\#0 \text{ \$} < a$ )
<proof>

<ML>

end

```

33 Cardinal Arithmetic Without the Axiom of Choice

```

theory CardinalArith imports Cardinal OrderArith ArithSimp Finite begin

```

```

constdefs

```

```

  InfCard      ::  $i \Rightarrow o$ 
  InfCard( $i$ ) == Card( $i$ ) & nat le  $i$ 

  cmult        ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$       (infixl  $|*|$  70)
   $i \text{ } |*| \text{ } j$  ==  $|i*j|$ 

  cadd         ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$       (infixl  $|+|$  65)
   $i \text{ } |+| \text{ } j$  ==  $|i+j|$ 

  csquare-rel  ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
  csquare-rel( $K$ ) ==
    rvimage( $K*K$ ,

```

$lam <x,y>:K*K. <x \text{ Un } y, x, y>, \\ rmult(K, Memrel(K), K*K, rmult(K, Memrel(K), K, Memrel(K)))$

$jump\text{-}cardinal :: i=>i$

— This def is more complex than Kunen's but it more easily proved to be a cardinal

$jump\text{-}cardinal(K) == \\ \bigcup X \in Pow(K). \{z. r: Pow(K*K), well\text{-}ord(X,r) \ \& \ z = ordertype(X,r)\}$

$csucc :: i=>i$

— needed because $jump\text{-}cardinal(K)$ might not be the successor of K

$csucc(K) == LEAST L. Card(L) \ \& \ K < L$

syntax (*xsymbols*)

$op \ |+| \quad :: [i,i] ==> i \quad (\text{infixl } \oplus \ 65)$

$op \ |*| \quad :: [i,i] ==> i \quad (\text{infixl } \otimes \ 70)$

syntax (*HTML output*)

$op \ |+| \quad :: [i,i] ==> i \quad (\text{infixl } \oplus \ 65)$

$op \ |*| \quad :: [i,i] ==> i \quad (\text{infixl } \otimes \ 70)$

lemma *Card-Union* [*simp,intro,TC*]: $(ALL \ x:A. Card(x)) ==> Card(Union(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card-UN*: $(!!x. x:A ==> Card(K(x))) ==> Card(\bigcup x \in A. K(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card-OUN* [*simp,intro,TC*]:
 $(!!x. x:A ==> Card(K(x))) ==> Card(\bigcup x < A. K(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *n-lesspoll-nat*: $n \in nat ==> n \prec nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-Card-imp-lesspoll*: $[| Card(K); b \in K |] ==> b \prec K$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-lemma*: $[| \sim A \prec B; C \prec B |] ==> A - C \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.1 Cardinal addition

Note: Could omit proving the algebraic laws for cardinal addition and multiplication. On finite cardinals these operations coincide with addition and multiplication of natural numbers; on infinite cardinals they coincide with union (maximum). Either way we get most laws for free.

33.1.1 Cardinal addition is commutative

lemma *sum-commute-epoll*: $A+B \approx B+A$
<proof>

lemma *cadd-commute*: $i \mid + \mid j = j \mid + \mid i$
<proof>

33.1.2 Cardinal addition is associative

lemma *sum-assoc-epoll*: $(A+B)+C \approx A+(B+C)$
<proof>

lemma *well-ord-cadd-assoc*:
[[*well-ord*(i, r_i); *well-ord*(j, r_j); *well-ord*(k, r_k)]]
==> $(i \mid + \mid j) \mid + \mid k = i \mid + \mid (j \mid + \mid k)$
<proof>

33.1.3 0 is the identity for addition

lemma *sum-0-epoll*: $0+A \approx A$
<proof>

lemma *cadd-0 [simp]*: $\text{Card}(K) ==> 0 \mid + \mid K = K$
<proof>

33.1.4 Addition by another cardinal

lemma *sum-lepoll-self*: $A \lesssim A+B$
<proof>

lemma *cadd-le-self*:
[[$\text{Card}(K)$; $\text{Ord}(L)$]] ==> $K \text{ le } (K \mid + \mid L)$
<proof>

33.1.5 Monotonicity of addition

lemma *sum-lepoll-mono*:
[[$A \lesssim C$; $B \lesssim D$]] ==> $A + B \lesssim C + D$
<proof>

lemma *cadd-le-mono*:
[[$K' \text{ le } K$; $L' \text{ le } L$]] ==> $(K' \mid + \mid L') \text{ le } (K \mid + \mid L)$
<proof>

33.1.6 Addition of finite cardinals is "ordinary" addition

lemma *sum-succ-epoll*: $\text{succ}(A)+B \approx \text{succ}(A+B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cadd-succ-lemma*:

$[| \text{Ord}(m); \text{Ord}(n) |] \implies \text{succ}(m) \mid + \mid n = \mid \text{succ}(m \mid + \mid n) \mid$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-cadd-eq-add*: $[| m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat} |] \implies m \mid + \mid n = m \# + n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.2 Cardinal multiplication

33.2.1 Cardinal multiplication is commutative

lemma *prod-commute-epoll*: $A * B \approx B * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmult-commute*: $i \mid * \mid j = j \mid * \mid i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.2.2 Cardinal multiplication is associative

lemma *prod-assoc-epoll*: $(A * B) * C \approx A * (B * C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-cmult-assoc*:

$[| \text{well-ord}(i, ri); \text{well-ord}(j, rj); \text{well-ord}(k, rk) |]$
 $\implies (i \mid * \mid j) \mid * \mid k = i \mid * \mid (j \mid * \mid k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.2.3 Cardinal multiplication distributes over addition

lemma *sum-prod-distrib-epoll*: $(A + B) * C \approx (A * C) + (B * C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-cadd-cmult-distrib*:

$[| \text{well-ord}(i, ri); \text{well-ord}(j, rj); \text{well-ord}(k, rk) |]$
 $\implies (i \mid + \mid j) \mid * \mid k = (i \mid * \mid k) \mid + \mid (j \mid * \mid k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.2.4 Multiplication by 0 yields 0

lemma *prod-0-epoll*: $0 * A \approx 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmult-0 [simp]*: $0 \mid * \mid i = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.2.5 1 is the identity for multiplication

lemma *prod-singleton-eqpoll*: $\{x\} * A \approx A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmult-1 [simp]*: $Card(K) ==> 1 *| K = K$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.3 Some inequalities for multiplication

lemma *prod-square-lepoll*: $A \lesssim A * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmult-square-le*: $Card(K) ==> K \leq K *| K$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.3.1 Multiplication by a non-zero cardinal

lemma *prod-lepoll-self*: $b: B ==> A \lesssim A * B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmult-le-self*:
 $[| Card(K); Ord(L); 0 < L |] ==> K \leq (K *| L)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.3.2 Monotonicity of multiplication

lemma *prod-lepoll-mono*:
 $[| A \lesssim C; B \lesssim D |] ==> A * B \lesssim C * D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmult-le-mono*:
 $[| K' \leq K; L' \leq L |] ==> (K' *| L') \leq (K *| L)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.4 Multiplication of finite cardinals is "ordinary" multiplication

lemma *prod-succ-eqpoll*: $succ(A) * B \approx B + A * B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmult-succ-lemma*:
 $[| Ord(m); Ord(n) |] ==> succ(m) *| n = n |+| (m *| n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-cmult-eq-mult*: $[| m: nat; n: nat |] ==> m *| n = m \# * n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmult-2*: $Card(n) ==> 2 \mid * \mid n = n \mid + \mid n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-lepoll-prod*: $2 \lesssim C ==> B+B \lesssim C*B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-imp-sum-lepoll-prod*: $[\mid A \lesssim B; 2 \lesssim A \mid] ==> A+B \lesssim A*B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.5 Infinite Cardinals are Limit Ordinals

lemma *nat-cons-lepoll*: $nat \lesssim A ==> cons(u,A) \lesssim A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-cons-epoll*: $nat \lesssim A ==> cons(u,A) \approx A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-succ-epoll*: $nat \leq A ==> succ(A) \approx A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-nat*: $InfCard(nat)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-is-Card*: $InfCard(K) ==> Card(K)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-Un*:
 $[\mid InfCard(K); Card(L) \mid] ==> InfCard(K \ Un \ L)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-is-Limit*: $InfCard(K) ==> Limit(K)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordermap-epoll-pred*:
 $[\mid well-ord(A,r); x:A \mid] ==> ordermap(A,r) 'x \approx Order.pred(A,x,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.5.1 Establishing the well-ordering

lemma *csquare-lam-inj*:
 $Ord(K) ==> (lam \langle x,y \rangle : K*K. \langle x \ Un \ y, x, y \rangle) : inj(K*K, K*K*K)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-csquare*: $\text{Ord}(K) \implies \text{well-ord}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.5.2 Characterising initial segments of the well-ordering

lemma *csquareD*:

$\llbracket \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \langle z, z \rangle \rangle : \text{csquare-rel}(K); x < K; y < K; z < K \rrbracket \implies x \text{ le } z \ \& \ y \text{ le } z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-csquare-subset*:

$z < K \implies \text{Order.pred}(K * K, \langle z, z \rangle, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \leq \text{succ}(z) * \text{succ}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *csquare-ltI*:

$\llbracket x < z; y < z; z < K \rrbracket \implies \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \langle z, z \rangle \rangle : \text{csquare-rel}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *csquare-or-eqI*:

$\llbracket x \text{ le } z; y \text{ le } z; z < K \rrbracket \implies \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \langle z, z \rangle \rangle : \text{csquare-rel}(K) \mid x = z \ \& \ y = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.5.3 The cardinality of initial segments

lemma *ordermap-z-lt*:

$\llbracket \text{Limit}(K); x < K; y < K; z = \text{succ}(x \text{ Un } y) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ordermap}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \restriction \langle x, y \rangle <$
 $\text{ordermap}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \restriction \langle z, z \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordermap-csquare-le*:

$\llbracket \text{Limit}(K); x < K; y < K; z = \text{succ}(x \text{ Un } y) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \mid \text{ordermap}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \restriction \langle x, y \rangle \mid \text{le} \mid \text{succ}(z) \mid \mid * \mid \mid \text{succ}(z) \mid$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-csquare-le*:

$\llbracket \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ALL } y : K. \text{InfCard}(y) \dashrightarrow y \mid * \mid y = y \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ordertype}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \text{ le } K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-csquare-eq*: $\text{InfCard}(K) \implies K \mid * \mid K = K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-InfCard-square-eq*:

$\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{InfCard}(|A|) \rrbracket \implies A * A \approx A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-square-eqpoll*: $InfCard(K) \implies K \times K \approx K$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inf-Card-is-InfCard*: $[| \sim Finite(i); Card(i) |] \implies InfCard(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.5.4 Toward's Kunen's Corollary 10.13 (1)

lemma *InfCard-le-cmult-eq*: $[| InfCard(K); L \leq K; 0 < L |] \implies K \mid * \mid L = K$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-cmult-eq*: $[| InfCard(K); InfCard(L) |] \implies K \mid * \mid L = K \cup L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-cdouble-eq*: $InfCard(K) \implies K \mid + \mid K = K$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-le-cadd-eq*: $[| InfCard(K); L \leq K |] \implies K \mid + \mid L = K$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-cadd-eq*: $[| InfCard(K); InfCard(L) |] \implies K \mid + \mid L = K \cup L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.6 For Every Cardinal Number There Exists A Greater One

*text** This result is Kunen's Theorem 10.16, which would be trivial using AC **lemma**
Ord-jump-cardinal: $Ord(jump-cardinal(K))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *jump-cardinal-iff*:
 $i : jump-cardinal(K) \iff$
 $(\exists X \ r \ X. \ r \leq K * K \ \& \ X \leq K \ \& \ well-ord(X, r) \ \& \ i = ordertype(X, r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *K-lt-jump-cardinal*: $Ord(K) \implies K < jump-cardinal(K)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card-jump-cardinal-lemma*:
 $[| well-ord(X, r); \ r \leq K * K; \ X \leq K;$
 $\quad f : bij(ordertype(X, r), jump-cardinal(K)) |]$
 $\implies jump-cardinal(K) : jump-cardinal(K)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card-jump-cardinal*: $\text{Card}(\text{jump-cardinal}(K))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.7 Basic Properties of Successor Cardinals

lemma *csucc-basic*: $\text{Ord}(K) \implies \text{Card}(\text{csucc}(K)) \ \& \ K < \text{csucc}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Card-csucc* = *csucc-basic* [THEN conjunct1, standard]

lemmas *lt-csucc* = *csucc-basic* [THEN conjunct2, standard]

lemma *Ord-0-lt-csucc*: $\text{Ord}(K) \implies 0 < \text{csucc}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *csucc-le*: $[\text{Card}(L); K < L] \implies \text{csucc}(K) \text{ le } L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-csucc-iff*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K)] \implies i < \text{csucc}(K) \iff |i| \text{ le } K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-lt-csucc-iff*:
 $[\text{Card}(K'); \text{Card}(K)] \implies K' < \text{csucc}(K) \iff K' \text{ le } K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-csucc*: $\text{InfCard}(K) \implies \text{InfCard}(\text{csucc}(K))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.7.1 Removing elements from a finite set decreases its cardinality

lemma *Fin-imp-not-cons-lepoll*: $A: \text{Fin}(U) \implies x \sim : A \dashv\dashv \sim \text{cons}(x, A) \lesssim A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-imp-cardinal-cons* [simp]:
 $[\text{Finite}(A); a \sim : A] \implies |\text{cons}(a, A)| = \text{succ}(|A|)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-imp-succ-cardinal-Diff*:
 $[\text{Finite}(A); a : A] \implies \text{succ}(|A - \{a\}|) = |A|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-imp-cardinal-Diff*: $[\text{Finite}(A); a : A] \implies |A - \{a\}| < |A|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-cardinal-in-nat* [simp]: $\text{Finite}(A) \implies |A| : \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-Un-Int*:

$[|Finite(A); Finite(B)|] ==> |A| \# + |B| = |A \cup B| \# + |A \cap B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-Un-disjoint*:

$[|Finite(A); Finite(B); A \cap B = 0|] ==> |A \cup B| = |A| \# + |B|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-partition* [rule-format]:

$Finite(C) ==>$
 $Finite(\bigcup C) -->$
 $(\forall c \in C. |c| = k) -->$
 $(\forall c1 \in C. \forall c2 \in C. c1 \neq c2 --> c1 \cap c2 = 0) -->$
 $k \# * |C| = |\bigcup C|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.7.2 Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski, proofs by lcp

lemmas *nat-implies-well-ord* = *nat-into-Ord* [THEN *well-ord-Memrel*, *standard*]

lemma *nat-sum-egpoll-sum*: $[| m:nat; n:nat |] ==> m + n \approx m \# + n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-subset-natD* [rule-format]: $Ord(i) ==> i \leq nat --> i : nat \mid i=nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-nat-subset-into-Card*: $[| Ord(i); i \leq nat |] ==> Card(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Diff-sing-eq-diff-1*: $[| Finite(A); x:A |] ==> |A - \{x\}| = |A| \# - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-lt-imp-Diff-not-0* [rule-format]:

$Finite(B) ==> ALL A. |B| < |A| --> A - B \sim 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

34 Theory Main: Everything Except AC

theory *Main* **imports** *List IntDiv CardinalArith* **begin**

34.1 Iteration of the function F

consts *iterates* :: $[i=>i,i,i] => i \quad ((-\hat{\omega} \text{ '(-)}) [60,1000,1000] 60)$

primrec

$F^{\wedge}0 \ (x) = x$
 $F^{\wedge}(succ(n)) \ (x) = F(F^{\wedge}n \ (x))$

constdefs

iterates-omega :: $[i=>i,i] => i$
iterates-omega(F,x) == $\bigcup_{n \in nat.} F^{\wedge}n \ (x)$

syntax (*xsymbols*)

iterates-omega :: $[i=>i,i] => i \quad ((-\hat{\omega} \text{ '(-)}) [60,1000] 60)$

syntax (*HTML output*)

iterates-omega :: $[i=>i,i] => i \quad ((-\hat{\omega} \text{ '(-)}) [60,1000] 60)$

lemma *iterates-triv*:

$[[\ n \in nat; \ F(x) = x \]] ==> F^{\wedge}n \ (x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iterates-type* [*TC*]:

$[[\ n:nat; \ a:A; \ !!x. \ x:A ==> F(x) : A \]]$
 $==> F^{\wedge}n \ (a) : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iterates-omega-triv*:

$F(x) = x ==> F^{\wedge}\omega \ (x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-iterates* [*simp*]:

$[[\ n \in nat; \ !!i. \ Ord(i) ==> Ord(F(i)); \ Ord(x) \]]$
 $==> Ord(F^{\wedge}n \ (x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iterates-commute*: $n \in nat ==> F(F^{\wedge}n \ (x)) = F^{\wedge}n \ (F(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

34.2 Transfinite Recursion

Transfinite recursion for definitions based on the three cases of ordinals

constdefs

transrec3 :: $[i, i, [i,i] => i, [i,i] => i] => i$
transrec3(k, a, b, c) ==
transrec($k, \lambda x \ r.$
 if $x=0$ then a
 else if *Limit*(x) then $c(x, \lambda y \in x. \ r'y$)
 else $b(\text{Arith.pred}(x), \ r \text{ 'Arith.pred}(x))$)

lemma *transrec3-0* [simp]: $\text{transrec3}(0, a, b, c) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec3-succ* [simp]:
 $\text{transrec3}(\text{succ}(i), a, b, c) = b(i, \text{transrec3}(i, a, b, c))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec3-Limit*:
 $\text{Limit}(i) ==>$
 $\text{transrec3}(i, a, b, c) = c(i, \lambda j \in i. \text{transrec3}(j, a, b, c))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

34.3 Remaining Declarations

lemmas *posDivAlg-induct* = *posDivAlg-induct* [consumes 2]
and *negDivAlg-induct* = *negDivAlg-induct* [consumes 2]

end

35 The Axiom of Choice

theory *AC* **imports** *Main* **begin**

This definition comes from Halmos (1960), page 59.

axioms *AC*: $[| a: A; !!x. x:A ==> (EX y. y:B(x)) |] ==> EX z. z : Pi(A, B)$

lemma *AC-Pi*: $[| !!x. x \in A ==> (\exists y. y \in B(x)) |] ==> \exists z. z \in Pi(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AC-ball-Pi*: $\forall x \in A. \exists y. y \in B(x) ==> \exists y. y \in Pi(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AC-Pi-Pow*: $\exists f. f \in (\Pi X \in Pow(C) - \{0\}. X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AC-func*:
 $[| !!x. x \in A ==> (\exists y. y \in x) |] ==> \exists f \in A \rightarrow Union(A). \forall x \in A. f'x \in x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-empty-family*: $[| 0 \notin A; x \in A |] ==> \exists y. y \in x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AC-func0*: $0 \notin A ==> \exists f \in A \rightarrow Union(A). \forall x \in A. f'x \in x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AC-func-Pow*: $\exists f \in (Pow(C) - \{0\}) \rightarrow C. \forall x \in Pow(C) - \{0\}. f'x \in x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *AC-Pi0*: $0 \notin A \implies \exists f. f \in (\prod x \in A. x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

36 Zorn's Lemma

theory *Zorn* **imports** *OrderArith AC Inductive* **begin**

Based upon the unpublished article “Towards the Mechanization of the Proofs of Some Classical Theorems of Set Theory,” by Abrial and Laffitte.

constdefs

Subset-rel :: $i \Rightarrow i$
Subset-rel(*A*) == $\{z \in A * A. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& \ x \leq y \ \& \ x \neq y\}$

chain :: $i \Rightarrow i$
chain(*A*) == $\{F \in Pow(A). \forall X \in F. \forall Y \in F. X \leq Y \mid Y \leq X\}$

super :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$
super(*A*, *c*) == $\{d \in chain(A). c \leq d \ \& \ c \neq d\}$

maxchain :: $i \Rightarrow i$
maxchain(*A*) == $\{c \in chain(A). super(A, c) = 0\}$

constdefs

increasing :: $i \Rightarrow i$
increasing(*A*) == $\{f \in Pow(A) \rightarrow Pow(A). \forall x. x \leq A \implies x \leq f'x\}$

Lemma for the inductive definition below

lemma *Union-in-Pow*: $Y \in Pow(Pow(A)) \implies Union(Y) \in Pow(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We could make the inductive definition conditional on $next \in increasing(S)$ but instead we make this a side-condition of an introduction rule. Thus the induction rule lets us assume that condition! Many inductive proofs are therefore unconditional.

consts

TFin :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$

inductive

domains $TFin(S, next) \leq Pow(S)$

intros

nextI: $[| x \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |]$

$$==> next'x \in TFin(S, next)$$

$$Pow\text{-}UnionI: Y \in Pow(TFin(S, next)) ==> Union(Y) \in TFin(S, next)$$

monos *Pow-mono*
con-defs *increasing-def*
type-intros *CollectD1 [THEN apply-funtype] Union-in-Pow*

36.1 Mathematical Preamble

lemma *Union-lemma0*: $(\forall x \in C. x \leq A \mid B \leq x) ==> Union(C) \leq A \mid B \leq Union(C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-lemma0*:
 $[\mid c \in C; \forall x \in C. A \leq x \mid x \leq B] ==> A \leq Inter(C) \mid Inter(C) \leq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

36.2 The Transfinite Construction

lemma *increasingD1*: $f \in increasing(A) ==> f \in Pow(A) \rightarrow Pow(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *increasingD2*: $[\mid f \in increasing(A); x \leq A] ==> x \leq f'x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *TFin-UnionI = PowI [THEN TFin.Pow-UnionI, standard]*

lemmas *TFin-is-subset = TFin.dom-subset [THEN subsetD, THEN PowD, standard]*

Structural induction on $TFin(S, next)$

lemma *TFin-induct*:
 $[\mid n \in TFin(S, next);$
 $!!x. [\mid x \in TFin(S, next); P(x); next \in increasing(S)] ==> P(next'x);$
 $!!Y. [\mid Y \leq TFin(S, next); \forall y \in Y. P(y)] ==> P(Union(Y))$
 $]\implies P(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

36.3 Some Properties of the Transfinite Construction

lemmas *increasing-trans = subset-trans [OF - increasingD2,*
OF - - TFin-is-subset]

Lemma 1 of section 3.1

lemma *TFin-linear-lemma1*:
 $[\mid n \in TFin(S, next); m \in TFin(S, next);$
 $\forall x \in TFin(S, next). x \leq m \rightarrow x = m \mid next'x \leq m]$
 $==> n \leq m \mid next'm \leq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemma 2 of section 3.2. Interesting in its own right! Requires $next \in increasing(S)$ in the second induction step.

lemma *TFin-linear-lemma2*:

$$[| m \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |]$$

$$==> \forall n \in TFin(S, next). n \leq m \leftrightarrow n = m \mid next'n \leq m$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

a more convenient form for Lemma 2

lemma *TFin-subsetD*:

$$[| n \leq m; m \in TFin(S, next); n \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |]$$

$$==> n = m \mid next'n \leq m$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

Consequences from section 3.3 – Property 3.2, the ordering is total

lemma *TFin-subset-linear*:

$$[| m \in TFin(S, next); n \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |]$$

$$==> n \leq m \mid m \leq n$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemma 3 of section 3.3

lemma *equal-next-upper*:

$$[| n \in TFin(S, next); m \in TFin(S, next); m = next'm |] ==> n \leq m$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

Property 3.3 of section 3.3

lemma *equal-next-Union*:

$$[| m \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |]$$

$$==> m = next'm \leftrightarrow m = Union(TFin(S, next))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

36.4 Hausdorff's Theorem: Every Set Contains a Maximal Chain

NOTE: We assume the partial ordering is \subseteq , the subset relation!

* Defining the "next" operation for Hausdorff's Theorem *

lemma *chain-subset-Pow*: $chain(A) \leq Pow(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *super-subset-chain*: $super(A, c) \leq chain(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maxchain-subset-chain*: $maxchain(A) \leq chain(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *choice-super*:

$$[| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X); X \in chain(S); X \notin maxchain(S) |]$$

$\implies ch \text{ ' } super(S,X) \in super(S,X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *choice-not-equals*:

$[[ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X); X \in chain(S); X \notin maxchain(S)$
 $]]$
 $\implies ch \text{ ' } super(S,X) \neq X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This justifies Definition 4.4

lemma *Hausdorff-next-exists*:

$ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X) \implies$
 $\exists next \in increasing(S). \forall X \in Pow(S).$
 $next \text{ ' } X = if(X \in chain(S) - maxchain(S), ch \text{ ' } super(S,X), X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemma 4

lemma *TFin-chain-lemma4*:

$[[c \in TFin(S,next);$
 $ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X);$
 $next \in increasing(S);$
 $\forall X \in Pow(S). next \text{ ' } X =$
 $if(X \in chain(S) - maxchain(S), ch \text{ ' } super(S,X), X)]]$
 $\implies c \in chain(S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Hausdorff*: $\exists c. c \in maxchain(S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

36.5 Zorn's Lemma: If All Chains in S Have Upper Bounds In S, then S contains a Maximal Element

Used in the proof of Zorn's Lemma

lemma *chain-extend*:

$[[c \in chain(A); z \in A; \forall x \in c. x \leq z]]$ $\implies cons(z,c) \in chain(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Zorn*: $\forall c \in chain(S). Union(c) \in S \implies \exists y \in S. \forall z \in S. y \leq z \implies y = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

36.6 Zermelo's Theorem: Every Set can be Well-Ordered

Lemma 5

lemma *TFin-well-lemma5*:

$[[n \in TFin(S,next); Z \leq TFin(S,next); z:Z; \sim Inter(Z) \in Z]]$
 $\implies \forall m \in Z. n \leq m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Well-ordering of $TFin(S, next)$

lemma *well-ord-TFin-lemma*: $[| Z \leq TFin(S, next); z \in Z |] \implies Inter(Z) \in Z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

This theorem just packages the previous result

lemma *well-ord-TFin*:

$next \in increasing(S)$

$\implies well-ord(TFin(S, next), Subset-rel(TFin(S, next)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

* Defining the "next" operation for Zermelo's Theorem *

lemma *choice-Diff*:

$[| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(S) - \{0\}. X); X \subseteq S; X \neq S |] \implies ch'(S-X) \in S-X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

This justifies Definition 6.1

lemma *Zermelo-next-exists*:

$ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(S) - \{0\}. X) \implies$

$\exists next \in increasing(S). \forall X \in Pow(S).$

$next'X = (if X=S then S else cons(ch'(S-X), X))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The construction of the injection

lemma *choice-imp-injection*:

$[| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(S) - \{0\}. X);$

$next \in increasing(S);$

$\forall X \in Pow(S). next'X = if(X=S, S, cons(ch'(S-X), X)) |]$

$\implies (\lambda x \in S. Union(\{y \in TFin(S, next). x \notin y\}))$

$\in inj(S, TFin(S, next) - \{S\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The wellordering theorem

theorem *AC-well-ord*: $\exists r. well-ord(S, r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

37 Cardinal Arithmetic Using AC

theory *Cardinal-AC* imports *CardinalArith Zorn* begin

37.1 Strengthened Forms of Existing Theorems on Cardinals

lemma *cardinal-epoll*: $|A| \text{ epoll } A$

<proof>

The theorem $||A|| = |A|$

lemmas *cardinal-idem* = *cardinal-epoll* [*THEN* *cardinal-cong*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemma *cardinal-epE*: $|X| = |Y| \implies X \text{ epoll } Y$

<proof>

lemma *cardinal-epoll-iff*: $|X| = |Y| \iff X \text{ epoll } Y$

<proof>

lemma *cardinal-disjoint-Un*:

$[| |A|=|B|; |C|=|D|; A \text{ Int } C = 0; B \text{ Int } D = 0 |]$
 $\implies |A \text{ Un } C| = |B \text{ Un } D|$

<proof>

lemma *lepoll-imp-Card-le*: $A \text{ lepoll } B \implies |A| \text{ le } |B|$

<proof>

lemma *cadd-assoc*: $(i \text{ } + \text{ } j) \text{ } + \text{ } k = i \text{ } + \text{ } (j \text{ } + \text{ } k)$

<proof>

lemma *cmult-assoc*: $(i \text{ } * \text{ } j) \text{ } * \text{ } k = i \text{ } * \text{ } (j \text{ } * \text{ } k)$

<proof>

lemma *cadd-cmult-distrib*: $(i \text{ } + \text{ } j) \text{ } * \text{ } k = (i \text{ } * \text{ } k) \text{ } + \text{ } (j \text{ } * \text{ } k)$

<proof>

lemma *InfCard-square-ep*: $\text{InfCard}(|A|) \implies A * A \text{ epoll } A$

<proof>

37.2 The relationship between cardinality and le-pollence

lemma *Card-le-imp-lepoll*: $|A| \text{ le } |B| \implies A \text{ lepoll } B$

<proof>

lemma *le-Card-iff*: $\text{Card}(K) \implies |A| \text{ le } K \iff A \text{ lepoll } K$

<proof>

lemma *cardinal-0-iff-0* [*simp*]: $|A| = 0 \iff A = 0$

<proof>

lemma *cardinal-lt-iff-lesspoll*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i < |A| \iff i \text{ lesspoll } A$

<proof>

lemma *cardinal-le-imp-lepoll*: $i \leq |A| \implies i \lesssim A$

<proof>

37.3 Other Applications of AC

lemma *surj-implies-inj*: $f: \text{surj}(X, Y) \implies \exists X \, g. g: \text{inj}(Y, X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *surj-implies-cardinal-le*: $f: \text{surj}(X, Y) \implies |Y| \leq |X|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-UN-le*:
 $[| \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ALL } i:K. |X(i)| \leq K |] \implies |\bigcup i \in K. X(i)| \leq K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-UN-lt-csucc*:
 $[| \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ALL } i:K. |X(i)| < \text{csucc}(K) |]$
 $\implies |\bigcup i \in K. X(i)| < \text{csucc}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-UN-Ord-lt-csucc*:
 $[| \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ALL } i:K. j(i) < \text{csucc}(K) |]$
 $\implies (\bigcup i \in K. j(i)) < \text{csucc}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-UN-subset*:
 $[| f: \text{inj}(A, B); a:A |] \implies$
 $(\bigcup x \in A. C(x)) \leq (\bigcup y \in B. C(\text{if } y: \text{range}(f) \text{ then } \text{converse}(f) 'y \text{ else } a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-UN-Ord-lt-csucc*:
 $[| \text{InfCard}(K); |W| \leq K; \text{ALL } w:W. j(w) < \text{csucc}(K) |]$
 $\implies (\bigcup w \in W. j(w)) < \text{csucc}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

38 Infinite-Branching Datatype Definitions

theory *InfDatatype* **imports** *Datatype Univ Finite Cardinal-AC* **begin**

lemmas *fun-Limit-VfromE* =

Limit-VfromE [*OF apply-funtype InfCard-csucc* [*THEN InfCard-is-Limit*]]

lemma *fun-Vcsucc-lemma*:

$\llbracket f: D \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)); |D| \leq K; InfCard(K) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists j. f: D \rightarrow Vfrom(A, j) \ \& \ j < csucc(K)$

<proof>

lemma *subset-Vcsucc*:

$\llbracket D \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K)); |D| \leq K; InfCard(K) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists j. D \leq Vfrom(A, j) \ \& \ j < csucc(K)$

<proof>

lemma *fun-Vcsucc*:

$\llbracket |D| \leq K; InfCard(K); D \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $D \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)) \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K))$

<proof>

lemma *fun-in-Vcsucc*:

$\llbracket f: D \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)); |D| \leq K; InfCard(K);$
 $D \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies f: Vfrom(A, csucc(K))$

<proof>

lemmas *fun-in-Vcsucc' = fun-in-Vcsucc* [*OF - - subsetI*]

lemma *Card-fun-Vcsucc*:

$InfCard(K) \implies K \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)) \leq Vfrom(A, csucc(K))$

<proof>

lemma *Card-fun-in-Vcsucc*:

$\llbracket f: K \rightarrow Vfrom(A, csucc(K)); InfCard(K) \rrbracket \implies f: Vfrom(A, csucc(K))$

<proof>

lemma *Limit-csucc*: $InfCard(K) \implies Limit(csucc(K))$

<proof>

lemmas *Pair-in-Vcsucc = Pair-in-VLimit* [*OF - - Limit-csucc*]

lemmas *Inl-in-Vcsucc = Inl-in-VLimit* [*OF - Limit-csucc*]

lemmas *Inr-in-Vcsucc = Inr-in-VLimit* [*OF - Limit-csucc*]

lemmas *zero-in-Vcsucc = Limit-csucc* [*THEN zero-in-VLimit*]

lemmas *nat-into-Vsucc = nat-into-VLimit [OF - Limit-csucc]*

lemmas *InfCard-nat-Un-cardinal = InfCard-Un [OF InfCard-nat Card-cardinal]*

lemmas *le-nat-Un-cardinal =*
Un-upper2-le [OF Ord-nat Card-cardinal [THEN Card-is-Ord]]

lemmas *UN-upper-cardinal = UN-upper [THEN subset-imp-lepoll, THEN lepoll-imp-Card-le]*

lemmas *Data-Arg-intros =*
SigmaI InlI InrI
Pair-in-univ Inl-in-univ Inr-in-univ
zero-in-univ A-into-univ nat-into-univ UnCI

lemmas *inf-datatype-intros =*
InfCard-nat InfCard-nat-Un-cardinal
Pair-in-Vsucc Inl-in-Vsucc Inr-in-Vsucc
zero-in-Vsucc A-into-Vfrom nat-into-Vsucc
Card-fun-in-Vsucc fun-in-Vsucc' UN-I

end

theory *Main-ZFC imports Main InfDatatype begin*

end